

1 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) ) 2 COUNTY OF RICHLAND ) 3 4 STATE REGULATION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES 5 6 REVIEW COMMITTEE 7 SANTEE COOPER SCREENING SUBCOMMITTEE 8 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 9 \_ \_ \_ 10 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LUKE A. RANKIN, SR., 11 CHAIRMAN REPRESENTATIVE DAVID MACK, III 12 DAN JONES HEATHER ANDERSON, ESQUIRE 13 14 March 29, 2018 DATE: 15 10:02 A.M. TIME: 16 17 Gressette Building, Room 209 LOCATION: 1101 Pendleton Street 18 Columbia, SC 19 REPORTED BY: LORI S. MORTGE, 20 Certified Court Reporter 21 A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES 22 Fast, Accurate & Friendly Charleston, SC Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC 23 (843) 722-8414 (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376 24 Columbia, SC Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC (803) 731-5224 (864) 234-7030 25 (704) 573-3919

R

	Maich 29, 2016
1	2 SENATOR RANKIN: We're going to get
2	started. We have a very narrow window. We have a
3	subcommittee that comes in here at 10:30. We're
4	going to stay as long as we can until we get run out
5	of here. So if you have questions, again, fire
6	away. And, Heather, get us started. Tell us why
7	we're here and then I'm going to do a quick
8	introduction.
9	MS. ANDERSON: Good morning. Y'all are
10	here this morning to ask some questions of
11	Mr. Leaird who the Governor has nominated for
12	appointment to the Santee Cooper Board of Directors.
13	And, of course, Mr. Chairman, if you'd like to have
14	Mr. Leaird sworn in.
15	SENATOR RANKIN: All right, sir. And
16	I'm back and forth Leaird or Leaird?
17	MR. LEAIRD: Leaird.
18	SENATOR RANKIN: Leaird, okay. Raise
19	your hand right hand, please.
20	CHARLES H. LEAIRD
21	being first duly sworn by the Chairman, testified as
22	follows:
23	SENATOR RANKIN: And who is the young
24	lady to your left? Would that be a court reporter?
25	THE WITNESS: I believe so.

1 SENATOR RANKIN: It's an odd thing that 2 she's sitting there with you. If she's playing footsie with us, how are we going to know that other 3 than you telling us? 4 5 THE WITNESS: I think there's a 6 partition here, Senator. 7 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. Good. Good. Before we get started I want to introduce a new 8 9 addition to the PERC committee who needs no 10 introduction but who has long waited his first 11 meeting and probably thought it would never happen 12 in the light of things that had been going on House 13 and Senate wise related to PERC. Probably it's a 14 miracle that we're meeting. 15 We're glad to have our old stalwart here 16 to my right, but Dan Jones is a new member. 17 Dan, welcome. MR. JONES: 18 Thank you. 19 SENATOR RANKIN: And ever so briefly 20 tell us a little bit about yourself so Mr. Leaird 21 will have some clue who his inquisitor is. 2.2 MR. JONES: Well, after 39 years of 23 being on that side of the table it looks strange 24 from up here for those of you familiar. I started 25 working in Government relations in '79 so I've been

 $A \|W\| R$ 

	March 23, 2010
1	4 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON hanging around the Statehouse for a long time. And
2	it's a privilege for me to serve as a citizen and
3	retired. I'm glad I made it without offending some
4	of these people, but look forward to working on
5	these issues in the months ahead.
6	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Very good.
7	Mr. Leaird, do you have any questions of
8	Mr. Jones I'm kidding.
9	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
10	SENATOR RANKIN: You don't get to ask
11	him any on the record, at least.
12	All right. Heather Mr. Leaird,
13	you've been sworn and we have some questions for you
14	and we'll give you an opportunity either now or
15	later if you have an opening statement that you'd
16	like to make. You do not have to do that, don't
17	encourage that, but you're certainly welcome to now
18	or at the end of these questions.
19	THE WITNESS: Maybe at the end if you
20	don't mind.
21	SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. All right.
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. ANDERSON:
24	Q. Good morning, Mr. Leaird. Could you
25	please state your full name and current address for

A W R

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 the record? Charles Herbert Leaird, 1030 Foxridge 2 Α. 3 Court, Sumter, South Carolina 29150. 4 Ο. We received your complete confirmation package. Are there any changes or is there 5 additional information that you'd like to provide б 7 for your personal data questionnaire or statement of economic interest? 8 9 Α. No, ma'am. 10 MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, if we can 11 have his edited personal data questionnaire entered 12 into the record. 13 Madam Court Reporter, SENATOR RANKIN: 14 you'll note that for the record. Thank you. 15 (EXH. 1, Edited Senate Confirmation 16 Personal Data Questionnaire, was marked 17 for identification.) BY MS. ANDERSON: 18 19 And we also sent you a set of questions Q. and received your responses. And if we asked you 20 21 these questions today would your answers be the 22 same? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α. MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, if we could 24 25 also have those entered into the record.

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

scheduledepo.com

R

	March 29, 2016
1	6 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON SENATOR RANKIN: So noted.
2	(EXH. 2, Mr. Charles Leaird -
3	Preliminary Questions, was marked for
4	identification.)
5	MS. ANDERSON: And for a very brief
6	background summary, Mr. Leaird, he received his
7	bachelor of science from Clemson Clemson
8	University. He was a CEO for Black River Electric
9	Co-Op from 1983 to 2015. And he was a CEO of the
10	Lynches River Electric Cooperative from 1970 to
11	1982. And prior to his employment with the Co-Ops
12	he served in the U.S. Marine Corps.
13	Q. Mr. Leaird, could you describe what you
14	did as CEO with the Co-Ops? I know there probably
15	wasn't a typical day-to-day, but provide a little
16	bit more of the background, the experience.
17	A. Chief executive officer has to work with
18	the Board of Directors and also manage all the
19	functions functions of the Co-Op, particularly
20	employees, public relations, consumer relations.
21	It's a wide range. Cooperatives distribution
22	cooperatives are small in this state so a CEO has to
23	do a lot of functions.
24	Q. And as a housekeeping matter on your
25	personal data questionnaire on Number 21 you

R

W

A

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 mentioned that while you were CEO of Black River that there was a lawsuit in which you were named. 2 Can you provide a little bit more information as to 3 4 that? There was a consumer dispute and the 5 Α. consumer had an issue about rates. And he ended up б 7 suing the Co-Op and myself and the chairman of the board, but it was later dropped. 8 9 What experience would you bring Q. Okay. 10 to the Santee Cooper Board of Directors that would be beneficial to an energy utility board? 11 12 Well, I think I know the utility Α. 13 business pretty well and I have had relations with 14 Santee Cooper since 1970, way back. And I've always 15 taken a great interest in Santee Cooper. I regret 16 the situation that exists today very much so and 17 I -- I really hope that I will be able to help in 18 some way -- help resolve some of the issues that are 19 going on now. 20 Thank you. If you'll take a look at the Ο. 21 preliminary questions and responses that you 22 submitted to us, and I'll go through a few of those 23 questions and get some additional information

24 | clarification on those questions.

25

 $A \|W\| R$ 

On Page 1, Question A3, you stated that:

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON Santee Cooper needs board members who have a keen interest in protecting the interest of the people and businesses it serves. I also believe that transparency would have prevented some of the past mistakes.

Could you elaborate on that, please? б 7 Α. Well, there have been some issues, as we all know, with the Freedom of Information Act and 8 9 secrecy. And in a -- Santee Cooper is an agency of 10 the State of South Carolina so it's a government --11 quasi government. I believe if some of these 12 matters were more available, let's say, to the 13 General Assembly and possibly the Governor and the 14 Governor and the -- the Advisory Board to Santee 15 Cooper, which is the Governor and constitutional --16 basically constitutional officers, we may not be in 17 the situation that we're in right now. I think 18 transparency is a -- a good thing.

Q. Okay. And in Question A4, part of your response was -- the last sentence that you had was: I can't help but wonder where the Board was when the decision was made on Summer 2 and 3.

23 Could you also elaborate on that 24 response as well?

25

A. Well, I recall when -- when those

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 decisions were made, certainly wasn't knowledgeable of the details or necessarily the time frame or the 2 players involved who made the decision, but I never 3 saw -- I was sitting on the Central board at that 4 5 time and, of course, they were asking us to extend the contract out to 2058 which eventually was done, б 7 but I was against that the whole time. Just didn't make any sense to me for a utility, not knowing 8 9 what's going to happen in the next five years, much 10 less in the next 35 years, to sign a contract that 11 amounted to sign a promissory note on the nuclear 12 plant. And there's a host of other issues -- you 13 know, other points that I can make but it was -- it 14 was a no brainer, in my opinion, not to do it.

15 And I want to state for the record that Ο. 16 on Page 2 your question to A7 regarding political 17 contributions in the past five years that you 18 could -- that there was onlt one that you could 19 recall to Senator McElveen. After a search of the 20 State Ethics Commission web site, I'd like to 21 confirm on the record that there was only one 22 donation made to Senator McElveen in the past five 23 years in your name.

24On Page 3, Question B4, you stated: I25want to see Santee Cooper continue to exist as a

10 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON quasi-state entity; however, with 8 billion in debt 1 and their assets being much less, it is hard to 2 visualize a model going forward which would not 3 require a heavy burden for the ratepayers. 4 5 How do you envision Santee Cooper's future? б 7 Α. Well, let me say that I hope Santee Cooper survives. I was hoping to get around to --8 to make this comparison and I think what we're all 9 10 thinking is about a sale of Santee Cooper. And this 11 would be how I would judge the matter from one side 12 all the way to the other. 13 If the State's going to sell -- if the 14 General Assembly is going to sell South Carolina for 15 \$15 billion and the debt's paid off -- this is 16 hypothetical -- and they allowed the purchasing 17 utility to put 15 billion into the rate base, that's 18 not going to help the ratepayers of South Carolina. On the other hand, if they can sell it 19 for 15 billion and pay off -- redeem the bonds, pay 20 21 the debt off and allow the purchasing utility to 22 only put the debt, eight billion let's say, into the 23 rate base, therefore affording the ratepayers a more reasonable rate going forward than what Santee 24 25 Cooper would end up with, then that would be a for



CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 sale situation in my opinion. Thank you. And kind of in the same vein 2 Ο. 3 to that, in the Question B5 and your response was in 4 regard to surplus equipment or property that you 5 think that the Board would be well advised to -- to not sell or lease any assets. And just to clarify, б 7 does that also include surplus property or equipment? 8 9 Α. Well, insignificant equipment and 10 surplus would not be an issue, I don't think, but I was thinking more about the substantial assets. 11 12 Okay. And this is another question for Ο. 13 clarification. On B9, dealing with the fuel sources 14 for Santee Cooper, you mentioned that about 50 15 percent consists of gas, nuclear, off system 16 purchases, hydro and renewables. 17 Does -- what does the other 50 percent 18 constitute? 19 Well, the latest figures that I've seen Α. still show 42 -- 52 percent coal, and then all the 20 21 other -- predominantly off system purchases which, 22 more than likely, would be gas and the gas that they 23 use in the Anderson plant is a substantial portion of the remainder. And then there's some renewables 24 25 and -- and some gas turbines and some landfill gas

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 generation. What is your opinion regarding the role 2 Ο. 3 of renewable energy in Santee Cooper's energy needs? 4 Α. Well, I think the renewable energy -and I suppose what we're talking about here in South 5 Carolina is predominantly solar. It's come a long б 7 way, the price has gone down. The reliability of the equipment is probably better. 8 9 The one big thing you say with the 10 renewables and particularly solar is the emissions 11 of the carbon and so forth. That's a -- that's a 12 good thing. And from a more recent standpoint I 13 think the future looks bright for renewables in 14 South Carolina. 15 And you've already mentioned that one of Ο. 16 the biggest challenges you think that Santee Cooper 17 currently faces is the amount of the debt and the 18 lack of assets. Are -- can you name two more 19 challenges that Santee Cooper is currently facing and ideas on how to address those challenges? 20

A. Well, they're facing a tremendous political challenge right now. You know, things are not going good for Santee Cooper politically and that all needs to be healed if it's possible.

AWR

25

And -- and I don't know about the

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 employment -- employee situation at Santee Cooper, but there's only one or two employees left down 2 there that I -- I remember, so they've had a big 3 turnover in employment. I would think that Human 4 5 Resources would be another primary issue for Santee б Cooper.

7 Ο. In the question regarding if a company is doing business with Santee Cooper and you're 8 personally acquainted with the company's management 9 10 or familiar with their line of work, you were asked 11 how would you handle the situation and if you think 12 it could be a benefit or a conflict of interest. 13 And your response was: It could be a benefit or a 14 conflict of interest depending on how the director 15 reacts.

Can you elaborate on that, please? 17 Well, of course, it would be a conflict Α. 18 if the director tried to achieve personal -- any 19 sort of personal gain. That would be definitely a But if a director knew someone who Santee 20 no-no. 21 Cooper wanted to enter into a business agreement 22 with, let's say a wholesale power contractor or 23 something like that, then it may be -- may be 24 beneficial to have a personal relationship. It 25 couldn't -- it couldn't result in any gains to the



16

14 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 director. Please provide an overview of your view 2 Ο. 3 of the role of director on the Santee Cooper board and how was that role different from its executive 4 5 staff? Α. Well, directors are not employees. б 7 They're advisory. And basically the role of the directors is to set policy and -- and do oversight. 8 Of course, they have the task of selecting the CEO. 9 10 It's -- it's a line that doesn't need to be crossed 11 by the director getting into management activities. 12 Aside from annual audits by outside Ο. 13 firms, what other types of independent expertise 14 should a member of the Board utilize in 15 decision-making? 16 Well, of course, you have to have legal Α. 17 advice on almost every issue basis, but I'm not -- I 18 never have been real big on using consultants. Ιf you don't know what to tell a consultant -- in other 19 20 words, if you're asking somebody to solve your own 21 problems, chances are it might not turn out too 22 qood. I think there's been a lot of consulting 23 done, based on what I have observed at Santee 24 Cooper, that probably hasn't turned out well for 25 them.

	Maich 29, 2010
1	15 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON Q. What has your experience been with
2	interpreting financial documents?
3	A. Well, of course, I'm not a lawyer and
4	but you've got to be able to read a contract to
5	understand it.
6	Q. Does your affiliation with any
7	professional, civic, charitable or other similar
8	organization present a conflict with your
9	appointment?
10	A. No, ma'am.
11	Q. And do you have any direct or indirect
12	business relationships with Santee Cooper or any of
13	its wholesale power customers?
14	A. No. I, of course, know the Central
15	group pretty well and I may know some other people
16	that buy power from Santee Cooper, but I don't have
17	any direct relationship nowadays.
18	Q. Do you have any financial conflicts of
19	interest that can result from your appointment?
20	A. No, ma'am.
21	Q. And if a conflict were to arise,
22	financial or otherwise, what would you do?
23	A. I would certainly excuse myself from any
24	decision-making.
25	Q. Do you have any holdings in any

A W R

16 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 electrical utilities or other entities that may be impacted by the decision of the Santee Cooper board? 2 Well, I stated I think I own stock in 3 Α. 4 Duke Power Company and Southern Company and there 5 may be some others that I'm not aware of, but I certainly would categorize myself as a standoff б 7 investor. Do you understand the obligations and 8 Ο. responsibilities of this position? 9 10 I do. Α. 11 Ο. What have you done in preparation for 12 serving as a member of the Board? 13 Well, I've read all their reports, their Α. 14 up-to-date reports, and I have talked with some 15 people about Santee Cooper and I read the newspaper 16 and I'm aware of what's going on right now. I have 17 all that experience from being active on the Central 18 board and the managing distribution cooperatives. I 19 don't know how you could know more. I'm not bragging but I know -- I know the business. 20 21 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Leaird. 22 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 23 SENATOR RANKIN: Any questions from the gentlemen at this point? If not, I'm going to kind 24 25 of jump in here. Do you have anything?

	IVIAIGIT 29, 2010
1	17 REPRESENTATIVE MACK: (Moves head from
2	side to side.)
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY SENATOR RANKIN:
5	Q. Forgive me, I kind of ping pong back and
6	forth. My mind is perhaps like a pinball, so let me
7	go to your question about Number 4 and back in
8	your questionnaire preliminary questions. You said
9	I hope they survive; right?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. You recall that?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. What does that mean?
14	A. Well, it would be a bad thing for South
15	Carolina not to have a public service authority in
16	existence. You know, they've been around all these
17	years and and they've they've done a great job
18	with economic development. There's been disputes
19	with the cooperatives, but there was always hope
20	that those disputes would be resolved. I don't I
21	don't know of anybody that just wants to see Santee
22	Cooper sold off.
23	But as I stated in my questionnaire the
24	rate the ratepayers come first. You know, if the
25	there's an opportunity to sell Santee Cooper and it

	March 23, 2010
1	18 benefits the State of South Carolina and the
2	ratepayers, I believe it ought to be done.
3	Q. Well, you are you are no stranger to
4	a long relationship with Santee Cooper. You, as
5	both Lynch River Lynches River's CEO and then the
6	Black River Co-Op's CEO, you are well aware of the
7	back and forth the relationship, the marriage
8	that you have your previous professional hat that
9	Central enjoyed with Santee Cooper. So this is
10	not this is not new to you; right?
11	A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
12	Q. You were asked you said you were
13	it was a no brainer not to do the V.C. Summer
14	decision but Central endorsed that decision and
15	agreed, I guess, by extension of the rates to 2058
16	or thereabouts to pursue this plan; right?
17	A. That's correct. But it was not what
18	they wanted, in my opinion.
19	Q. Well, time has proven your no brainer
20	decision to be the wise decision but, nonetheless,
21	there was an agreement that you and the other Co-Ops
22	pursued with Santee Cooper to go into this project;
23	correct?
24	A. I, personally, did not ever agree
25	you know, Senator, I fought that thing like a tiger

1	19 and and in the end I was told, well, if we don't
2	do it they're going to they're going to go ahead
3	and enter into the agreement and build the plant and
4	charge us for it and amortize it over through the
5	end of the existing contract, which I think went to
6	'28 which meant we would have to pay a whole lot
7	more as compared to being spread out to '58. It was
8	a matter of how long you're going to amortize it.
9	I personally think, and I want to
10	emphasize personally, that Central if they had
11	been steadfast in their objection might have saved
12	the day. But I also recall and this is
13	important when at a Board meeting Kelly Marsh,
14	who's the top executive with Electric & Gas, came
15	over to Central and someone asked him the question
16	what would you do if Santee Cooper would not be a
17	partner, he said, we're going to go ahead and do it
18	anyhow. We have the financial backing to do it.
19	That was his answer.
20	Q. So your point to that?
21	A. The point is is I don't think a small

A. The point is is I don't think a small
group of people could have averted the construction.
Once it was rolling, it was rolling.

24 Q. And, again, that note, whenever -- what 25 is it? Success has many fathers, failure is a

	IVIAIGIT 29, 2010
1	20 bastard child. Many of us, including the 170
2	members or those who voted for the Base Load Review
3	Act, don't recall perhaps vividly being in support
4	of it. That decision was your opposition was
5	certainly the right decision as it turns out.
6	The selling of Santee Cooper, at the
7	outset I harken back to your history with this
8	subject and as a customer of the largest customer
9	of Santee Cooper. The political winds that are
10	blowing about Santee Cooper are not different than
11	they were back when Governor Sanford was in office.
12	Do you agree?
13	A. I agree with that.
14	Q. Except they're blowing in a totally
15	different direction now; right?
16	A. Outside of Governor Sanford and his
17	family, let's say, I don't think there was a whole
18	lot of interest in selling Santee Cooper back then.
19	But now the interest in selling Santee Cooper is
20	much broader.
21	Q. And where do you land on that? And not
22	you as the citizen, not you as the former CEO, but
23	you as the would-be appointee who is charged by
24	law, which I know you're aware of. If you are
25	successful in this and the Senate confirms you,

21 where do you fall on to or not to sell Santee 1 2 Cooper? I would be against. If I'm confirmed I 3 Α. would be against selling. 4 5 Ο. And why? 6 Because I have a loyalty to Santee Α. 7 Cooper. And, again, I'm not --8 Q. 9 Α. I pledge to support them. 10 I'm not going to test you on what that 0. 11 is but you're familiar with it by either literally 12 or in subject that you, as a Santee Cooper director, 13 must discharge your duties in good faith with the 14 care an ordinary prudent person would exercise in a 15 manner you reasonably believe to be in the best 16 interest of Santee Cooper, defined and determined by 17 balancing three factors -- customer interest, 18 economic development of service area and 19 preservation of financial integrity of Santee 20 Cooper. Again, you know that; right? 21 I read that a great many times in the Α. 2.2 last two or three months, but that doesn't mean if 23 everything turns sour and it appears that there would be a better solution that a Board member would 24 25 blindly support not selling Santee Cooper -- well,



1	22 let's all let's face the facts. The Board of
2	Santee Cooper is not going to play much of a role,
3	if any, in the sale or the preservation of Santee
4	Cooper as I see it. I think that rests totally with
5	the General Assembly and the Governor.
6	Q. Before we changed the law ten years ago
7	it was assumed that the Governor could do it. But
8	we changed the law that allows only now the General
9	Assembly to have that right; correct?
10	A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
11	Q. And you recall, again, back in the day
12	you worked you were on Central when? When did
13	you
14	A. When I retired, Senator, I was the
15	longest serving member that had ever been on the
16	Central board. I was on there for 45 years.
17	Q. So what I'll call it going off-line,
18	some would call it rogue behavior of some of the
19	Board members back in the day. We won't name names,
20	but those who either with the blessing, with a wink
21	and a nod of the Governor or his family played
22	footsie with the credit rating agencies, Credit
23	Suisse particularly, with an eye towards evaluating
24	its potential sale. So fast forward to today and
25	you've been your Question Number 3 answer: I



23 believe that transparency would have prevented some 1 2 of the past mistakes. Do you particularly cite or take issue 3 with any of the Board members or their action or 4 behavior with the lens of what their duty is and 5 what your duty will be if you're confirmed? 6 7 Α. Going forward? Looking back. Q. 8 9 Looking back. Α. 10 You had made the answer to Question 3, 0. 11 again: I also believe that transparency would 12 prevented some of the past mistakes. 13 Are you citing not -- not trying to name 14 names, but what conduct that has been violated by 15 corporately the Board that you think calls into 16 question their transparency? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Or their fiduciary duty? 0. 19 Yes. Let me -- let me explain it this Α. 20 As I recall when the Santee Cooper people came way. 21 to Central and told them that they were going to 2.2 enter into an agreement with Electric & Gas as a 45 23 percent partner to build a nuclear plant, the 24 decisions were already made to do it. Central did 25 not have any -- in my recollection Central did not



24 have any input into the decision to do it. 1 Thev 2 came to Central and said we need you to extend this contract so we can get -- we can borrow money to do 3 it, is basically what it amounted to. 4 I certainly think if -- in the 5 6 generation planning phase of Santee Cooper if it had 7 been more transparent about what their intentions were that situation -- the predicament we find 8 ourselves in today may not -- make it a better 9 10 burden. 11 Not to belabor the point, but what 0. 12 specifically are you citing that could have been 13 averted that had they done it with more transparency 14 we could have averted? Do you have an example that 15 you're pointing to? 16 Α. No specific -- specific example, 17 Senator. 18 The question of debt in your -- again, 0. 19 you rated that as the Number 1 issue. Answer 10, 20 the biggest challenge being the \$8 billion debt and 21 adverse effects from the debt. 2.2 Are you -- if you have it I would 23 commend you to reading it, the Moody's report issued 24 February 26, 2018 that specifically addresses the 25 financial stability and rating -- credit rating of

	25
1	25 Santee Cooper. Are you familiar with that Moody's
2	report?
3	A. I'm familiar with the flier that they
4	sent out, but I haven't read the report.
5	Q. Well, the \$8 billion in debt in terms of
6	its Santee Cooper's leverage historically
7	again, but for taking on V.C. Summer 45 percent, if
8	you can back that out which is, again, an incredible
9	hypothetical, has Santee Cooper not always been very
10	heavily leveraged?
11	A. They I'm and I'm not certain about
12	this, it's just my personal recollection. They
13	never had a strong net worth. In other words,
14	they they have been leveraged, that's correct.
15	And that's been their theory, you know, to stay
16	leveraged, I believe.
17	Q. Because they don't have to issue
18	they're not issuing capital. It is, as you say, a
19	quasi
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. So they don't they have borrowing
22	capacity. They can't go to the market and raise
23	capital and sell a private equity private shares;
24	right?
25	A. Would you mind repeating it?

Г

1	
1	26 Q. We're on the same page on that. And
2	this is I may be far in the weeds but I guess
3	the question is there's a sense someone could call
4	it a false narrative, some could call it an accurate
5	narrative, that Santee Cooper cannot survive under
6	its existing financial structure that is teetering
7	on the brink of collapse. And, again, I'm
8	paraphrasing but there's two different camps on
9	this. Where would you if you had an opinion on
10	that, where would you fall?
11	A. In a market market conditions and
12	rate comparability, market conditions and rate
13	comparability has a lot to do with the utility
14	business. In other words, if a consumer says that I
15	can buy from Electric & Gas cheaper than I can buy
16	from Duke Power Company, which is actually opposite
17	right now, but that puts pressure on the higher
18	utility to have a good relationship with the
19	consumer, let's say.
20	Going specifically right to the question
21	that you asked me, I do think there is a path that
22	Santee Cooper could survive with the debt that
23	they've got. It's going to be very difficult. But
24	if you look at the rates that they have right now
25	they're not not all that bad, you know. They're



1	27 pretty much the same as Duke Power Company.
2	Q. And there's been talk of having to raise
3	that rate and if you can't hear me at any
4	point
5	A. I can hear you.
6	Q. Okay. There's been talk of raising the
7	rate to go seven percent, I've heard perhaps nine
8	percent over the balance of the term of the bonds.
9	Have you got an opinion of whether that is a viable
10	decision and, again, whether that would comport with
11	any credit rating agencies' opinions?
12	A. Well, they traditionally have had one
13	and a half times debt service coverage to to get
14	the bond rating that they've got. And they've got
15	to keep that effort or the bond ratings are going to
16	go down. They're leveraged up to the top, Senator,
17	in my opinion.
18	Q. The political challenge which you say,
19	again, in answer to Question 10 earlier was the
20	second biggest is the political, again, challenge
21	which we've touched on. I'm curious, you have been
22	nominated by a governor who makes no secret of the
23	fact, repeatedly to the public, that the only path
24	forward for Santee Cooper is to sell it. Do you
25	agree with his opinion and, if not, where do you



disagree?

1

2	A. Well, the Governor never asked me what
3	my position was. The only thing the Governor ever
4	asked asked me was my qualifications. He didn't
5	indicate that he was talking to me one way or the
6	other about his desires to sell or not sell. So,
7	frankly, I don't know where the Governor is. I'm
8	just going to be honest with you. So it wouldn't be
9	proper for me to say whether I agree with him or
10	not, Senator, in my position right now.
11	Q. Well, I'm going to disagree with you
12	because you while you have been nominated by him
13	as you at the outset said what you read in the
14	papers. I guess my particular question is, have you
15	had a discussion with Governor McMaster about his
16	intentions to sell this and your position and
17	whether you agree with him or not?
18	A. No, sir. He has not brought that
19	subject up with me.
20	Q. Okay. You said that you had discussed
21	in preparation for today's hearing and/or this
22	nomination process or confirmation process with a
23	number of people. Who have you discussed in
24	preparation for today or this process? Who all have
25	you discussed your nomination with?

1	29 A. I talked I've talked with Central
2	people a little bit, just curious as to know what
3	their positions were. They did not divulge to me
4	in-depth their business. I've talked with the
5	Association of Electric Cooperatives a little bit,
6	they didn't say much except they supported my
7	candidacy.
8	I've talked with some people at Santee
9	Cooper, I've talked with some Santee Cooper board
10	members, and basically what I've told all three is
11	that I'm in the middle. I'm not committed one way
12	or the other. If I get to serve, if the Senate
13	confirms me, I will serve with an open mind and in
14	the best interest of the ratepayers of South
15	Carolina. I'm not hooked to anybody.
16	Q. And I appreciate that answer because,
17	ultimately, again, going back to your qualifications
18	your loyalty, your fealty does not lie with the
19	Governor. It allies or lies upon or rests with
20	the best interests of Santee Cooper. Again,
21	customer interest, economic development of the
22	service area and preservation of financial integrity
23	of Santee Cooper. That's your gold star, that's
24	your pillar that you're to follow, not the political
25	winds of this group who a decade before is to

AWR

preserve it/a decade later to sell it. 1 Do you 2 agree? 3 Α. I agree. Your -- tagging back again to my 4 0. invitation of ping pong or pinball, I'm quilty of 5 it, but specifically Question Number 4. You -- you 6 7 It is hard to visualize a model going answered: forward that would not require a heavy burden for 8 9 the ratepayers. 10 Do you think -- again, with your 11 experience in this industry, that there is a free 12 lunch and that we should be able to walk away from 13 the debt without some consequence? 14 Α. No, I don't believe that. 15 0. So what -- how do you define what makes 16 the heavy -- the burden heavy for the ratepayers? 17 Is there a particular percentage going up? 18 Well, the debt service is X amount, Α. 19 whatever it is, on \$8 or 9 billion. That's a direct 20 ongoing expense, it's got to be put into the rate 21 If it were less, it would be less. The rate base. 2.2 would be less -- in theory. 23 All these years Santee Cooper's had one 24 tremendous advantage. They've had very little in 25 lieu -- payments to the State in lieu of income tax,

31 they've not paid much property tax, they've had a 1 2 tremendous advantage in selling bonds. I looked at the overall cost on the bonds outstanding and it's 3 in the neighborhood of three and a half percent. 4 They've done a great job, you know, placing their 5 bonds at a very low rate. Their commercial rate is 6 7 even lower than that. We should have a very good electric rate from Santee Cooper with all those 8 9 breaks. 10 And is that -- do you disagree with 0. 11 that as the history and that is the charge and the 12 advantage? I mean, is that a bad thing? 13 No, sir. That's not a bad thing, it's a Α. 14 good thing. But I'm saying it should have showed up 15 on the bottom line. 16 0. And do you think that it has not shown 17 up on the bottom line? 18 Not as much as -- I've never -- in my Α. 19 experience on the Central board there's not been a 20 high degree of satisfaction that they were charging 21 the right rates. 2.2 And I'm chasing a rabbit. Take me down 0. 23 that rabbit hole. What -- what do you mean? 24 Well, you know, if you're buying Α. 25 insurance from State Farm and they send you a \$900

	32
1	bill for your automobile and you think it's too
2	high, I guess any consumer buying it would be
3	natural for individual distribution cooperatives to
4	think that the rate that they were getting from
5	Santee Cooper may be too high. Significantly I
6	don't think that we were ever real comfortable,
7	let's put it this way, with the rate-making process.
8	Q. And that which has been factored into
9	the whatever the contract would send it into
10	2058, the Intergovernmental what is your term for
11	that?
12	A. They call it the Coordination Agreement,
13	I believe. They the Central position was it
14	achieved some advantages like you know when
15	you're buying the cost of service contract it's just
16	like you know what that is. So I think they
17	thought that they were able to achieve some
18	parameters as far as the cost was concerned, let's
19	say, and maybe some other things. But in hindsight,
20	of course, it was not beneficial.
21	Q. And, again, one little further step down
22	this rabbit hole chase. Your rates not yours but
23	your predecessor's rates would be higher than the
24	Santee Cooper residential rate; correct?

25

A. Yes, sir. I believe it always was.

March 29, 20
3 As as close as we could manage Black River we
never could get it right down to the bottom line
where Santee Cooper was. But Santee Cooper's
residential business is vastly different from the
electric cooperatives' residential business. Santee
Cooper I don't know what their density is along
the Coast, and you know very well where it is, it's
very high as compared to any other power company in
South Carolina let's say. So they had this
advantage of all the watermelons in the truck, you
know, whereas the electric Co-Op has to struggle
with seven or eight or nine members per mile. The
discharges are much greater.
Q. The conversations with folks in
preparation of this, you cited a number of \$15
billion as in two scenarios of that as a price.
Where did that figure come from?
A. I read that in the newspaper. That's
NextEra's offer as been reported in the newspaper.
I don't have any factual basis for that.
Q. Because reading it in the paper don't
make it so necessarily; right?
A. Well, even you've got to be careful
these days.

Q. So have you had any conversations with

б

1	34 anyone from NextEra?
2	A. No, sir.
3	Q. Or any other would-be buyer?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. All right. And you gave two scenarios,
6	one that would if the 15 billion was put back into
7	the rate base that would not be a check or a
8	positive for the ratepayers, the second if the \$8
9	billion debt was put back into the rate base
10	flesh that out a little more for me. How are you
11	deciding on one is a good and the other is a bad?
12	A. I was just using that as an example.
13	Moreover let's put it this way. If a power
14	company an out-of-state power company comes in
15	here and buys Santee Cooper and as they would put
16	all their costs into the rate base, I don't have any
17	reason to believe that they could manage Santee
18	Cooper better than Santee Cooper could. So if we
19	end up with the same rate to the ratepayers, that's
20	an advantage.
21	Q. Those rates are going to go up?
22	A. I know. Senator, they've gone up all my
23	life every ten years, let's say. They have to
24	have a rate increase. When you're in the utility
25	business and rates were going down, I often said a

monkey could run a utility. But you're in the 1 2 utility business and the rates are going up, that's when it gets tough. 3 The two final areas --4 0. SENATOR RANKIN: I feel like I need to 5 6 apologize to the chairman because I'm just talking 7 and talking and talking. Y'all jump in if you have any questions or leave if you're tired of me asking 8 9 my questions. 10 Mr. Leaird, perhaps more acutely to you, Ο. 11 I'm almost done. Economic development, we have not 12 talked about that. Do you have page and verse 13 examples of -- Exhibit A, B, C examples of positive 14 impact that Santee Cooper has had in the State of 15 South Carolina with regard to that second marker, 16 that being economic development? 17 Yes, sir. Santee Cooper has always been Α. 18 a positive player with economic development and they 19 had a close association with electric Co-Ops in that 20 regard and they provided incentives which is important. I don't know that -- I don't know how 21 2.2 they're justified, but they are important. 23 I had an opportunity a while back when I 24 was managing Black River, we had located two 25 Caterpillar plants in Sumter. And we went up to



York, Pennsylvania and -- along with members of the Palmetto Economic Development Corporation and Santee Cooper and represented the State of South Carolina and ourselves very well and were able to make a deal and get that business and it meant a lot to the people who work in Sumter, South Carolina, that specific example.

8 Anything that any utility can do to help 9 locate an industry and keep an industry in South 10 Carolina is a good thing. Of course, there's always 11 a limit as to how much resources you could put into 12 it.

13 And, again, in your \$15 billion if the Ο. 14 rate is -- that's put back into the rate base, 15 hypothetical, the ability for a would-be buyer --16 and, again, we only have on the table effectively 17 one offer, that being from NextEra who is based in 18 Florida, do you have any concerns whether they could 19 fulfill the duty or the past history of economic 20 development for the State of South Carolina?

A. I don't have any real reason to doubt that they would not be good or -- I just don't think that managing a South Carolina business from Tallahassee or wherever they're located would do as well as managing it from Moncks Corner.

37 Okav. Consultants. You mentioned that 1 0. 2 if you have to hire a consultant, you know, again it's not -- you're not managing it well -- something 3 to that effect. 4 Well, here's the problem with 5 Α. consultants in my opinion. You don't know -- let's 6 7 say you were going to hire a consul -- a consultant to help us understand this problem or help us solve 8 9 this problem and the consultant comes and he 10 assesses the situation and gives you an opinion. 11 I've seen cases where that didn't work very well. 12 My position is if you know -- if you know your business, you know what the problems are, 13 14 you have the resources to do it, come closer to 15 solving the problem than a consultant can. 16 0. Is there a particular example of a 17 recent employment of a consultant that has peaked 18 your interest in your noting that to us today? 19 No, sir. That's experience from over Α. 20 the years. 21 How does that relate to Santee Cooper, Ο. 2.2 though? 23 Well, I think they've relied -- based on Α. 24 my opinion with -- excuse me. Based on my 25 conversations with some of the other Board members, A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

scheduledepo.com

 $A \|W\| R$ 

38 I think they relied heavily on the use of 1 2 consultants. And who have they indicated --3 Ο. Α. They didn't name --4 5 What type consultants? 0. 6 They didn't name one, Senator. It's --Α. 7 you know, I know that they engaged consultants today, you know, you read that in the paper. You 8 may know more about it than I do, I'm sure you do. 9 10 You're talking about the press release 0. vesterday of Clear View or Clear somebody? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Who was hired by Santee Cooper? Ο. 14 Α. To help them evaluate what the business 15 was worth and possibly to advise them on a sale. 16 Ο. Do you think that that is a violation, 17 if that is a Board decision, of their legal 18 fiduciary duty to the ratepayers? 19 Α. I don't know. I can't answer that 20 question. 21 What would you need to know to form an 0. 2.2 answer to that question? What information would you 23 need to know? 24 I need to have a better understanding of Α. 25 the law.

39 With regard to your fiduciary duty or ... 1 Ο. 2 No, with regard to the General Α. 3 Assembly's responsibility. And, again, just a rabbit chase here, 4 0. 5 what -- what -- what makes your answer one way or 6 the other? If the law is X then you're aware that 7 the law is Y, you're where? Α. Well, I believe the Governor's 8 questioned the fact that it would have been 9 10 better -- I think he stated in the newspaper, for 11 whatever that's worth, that it would be better for 12 the General Assembly to make that decision to hire 13 the consultant rather than Santee Cooper do it. Т 14 don't know whether that's right or not. 15 If there is -- and no doubt there is not 0. 16 any question about the Governor's position on 17 selling Santee Cooper. But if there's a doubt, if 18 there's some preconceived notion that 170 members of 19 the General Assembly want to sell Santee Cooper, the 20 best offer come as they may, is it not in your 21 charge under the law, existing law, in your 2.2 fiduciary duty to have some sense of weighing one 23 offer versus another? Though it is not your 24 decision as a Board member to sell or not to sell, 25 do you think that that's a violation of a fiduciary

duty for you as a Board member --

A. No, I don't.

3

1

2

Q. -- for the ratepayer?

A. No, I don't. I'm just questioning
whether my own judgment -- staff judgment and other
Board members' judgment would be less than -- than
that of a consultant. That's where I was coming
from on that issue.

9 We -- you may not be but you're familiar 0. 10 with, again, the political winds of all things Santee Cooper/V.C. Summer. And myself and others 11 12 have been charged to study this subject, again 13 SCANA, SCE&G and Santee Cooper. And I don't recall 14 the date but we were in the kind of wrap-up session 15 and there was discussion of an evaluation that 16 someone in the General Assembly has commissioned to 17 appraise the value of Santee Cooper. That \$15 18 billion figure that you offered that you have 19 divined from the paper or read in the paper has some 20 basis from some reports, somebody, some spoken word 21 perhaps.

At that hearing I made the motion that Santee Cooper should have the ability to do the very thing to appraise its own value in my efforts to try to look out for the ratepayer. Do you think that

AWR

1 that is a wrong opinion, that my view of that is off
2 base, if you understand my question?

3 Α. I understand. It depends on the consultant and I think what I was trying to point 4 5 out, the point I was trying to make, Senator, a consultant walks into the room, you've got to be 6 7 able to sit down and say this is what we want you to Then the next thing that happens is he says, I 8 do. need answers to all these questions. It might take 9 10 him a month to come up with all the answers.

11 Well, you've got that in your 12 possession, management knows about it. Those 13 answers -- they give the answers to the consultants. 14 Why is it not in our best interest to make our own 15 decisions rather than relying on the consultants? 16 I'm not saying a consultant is always bad, but I'm just saying I wouldn't -- I wouldn't put all my 17 18 rate-making -- or decision-making facts in the court 19 of the consultant.

20 Q. And I recall the longtime familiarity 21 with what you have been acutely aware of, and I 22 think there was some effort long ago for a cost of 23 service analysis that you, on behalf of Central, 24 either successfully persuaded Santee Cooper to 25 obtain or were chagrinned when they didn't do it.

42 1 Do you recall that? There was a cost of service analysis 2 Α. done on their residential business. 3 That's been eight, ten -- however many 4 0. 5 years? 6 Yes, at least. Α. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. Maybe 15. 9 And that was done by some consultant? 0. 10 I don't think so. I -- could have been Α. 11 a consultant involved, but I think Santee Cooper 12 finally gave the figures -- you know, Central can do 13 They have the ability to do that. that. 14 0. I -- forgive me if it appears that I'm 15 trying to pick on the subject of the consultant, but 16 it seemed more relevant given the news release 17 yesterday of an employment of a consultant on 18 evaluation of Santee Cooper. So as you know, if 19 you've read the paper in the last few days, the 20 Senate employed a consultant to help us to determine what rate the interim rate could be set at that 21 2.2 SCANA is charging its customers. Paige White was 23 employed by the South Carolina Senate to come up 24 with that view because, admittedly, we are way in 25 over our heads on that subject and whether that

opinion is credible or not can be debated beyond.
 But that was an example of us needing help that we
 are not capable --

4

A. Right.

-- of performing on our own. Back to 5 0. 6 this employment of financial evaluation by Santee 7 Cooper, do you have an opinion as to whether that was a prudent decision and that you, within your 8 obligation and your fiduciary duty, that you would 9 10 have agreed with or disagreed with if you were 11 consulted as a Board member about the decision to 12 employ them?

13 I would -- if I were a sitting member of Α. Santee Cooper's board, I'd have an opinion on that. 14 15 I certainly wouldn't have done it in the executive There's rate consultants all over the 16 session. 17 place, Senator, and they have formulas that they go 18 by and they're all the same. I mean, you just put 19 the numbers in and turn the crank and it's not a 20 mystery, you know. I know that the Senate 21 wouldn't -- or your staff wouldn't be capable of 2.2 doing it, but there is -- must be 100 consultants 23 close by that can do it. All these power companies 24 can do it.

25

Q. But that's specifically as to rates

State Regulation of Public Utilities Screening Subccmeating Before the Honorable Luke A Rankin, Sr March 29, 2018 44 you're talking about or in general as to valuation? 1 2 Well, rates -- I was speaking of rates Α. 3 there. That's not what Santee Cooper's done. 4 0. Clear View -- is it Clear View -- Clear somebody. 5 6 Yes, I read that. Yes, sir, I read Α. 7 that. Is that a rate analysis? 8 Q. I was not familiar with it. I don't 9 Α. 10 know. 11 A Moody's investor service, a Moody's. 0. 12 Is that a consultant, again, of a different ilk or 13 is that somebody that we should give heed to, their 14 opinions? Is it... I don't know. I couldn't -- I can't 15 Α. answer that. I just don't know enough about them. 16 17 Okay. 0. There is some law in South Carolina 18 Α. 19 about how you evaluate a utility, about -- if one --20 for instance, if a municipal kicks out a Co-Op and 21 the Co-Op has to rebuild or partial plan and lose 2.2 customers and all that sort of thing, there's a 23 standard that you go by to determine the damages. 24 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. All right. 25 Representative?

AWR

	Maich 29, 2010
1	45 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Mack.
2	SENATOR RANKIN: I know who you are.
3	REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman. A quick question and a very, very
5	quick commentary that you can respond to if you'd
6	like.
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK:
9	Q. The question is you touched on some
10	of it. Going forward, what with your experience do
11	you believe we should do? Because, again, it's a
12	very complex area and I've used the term many times
13	in these meetings and with the media that in my
14	estimation, and I think in everybody's estimation,
15	we're not going to quote, unquote, make the
16	ratepayer whole, that's not going to happen based on
17	what has happened. But going forward, your thoughts
18	pragmatically on what we should do?
19	A. What we should do, do you mean the
20	General Assembly?
21	Q. For the ratepayer no, with regards to
22	everyone in this. The General Assembly, the Board,
23	with regards to your position, your philosophy, with
24	your background, what do you believe should be done
25	for the ratepayer?

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK A. Well, you can't be frugal in managing your business unless you manage -- you've got to imagine you've heard the old phrase you've got to manage the pennies before you manage the dimes and the quarters and the dollars and you get on up the figures like has been discussed here.

7 Santee Cooper in the future has got to make sure -- and I'm not inferring that they haven't 8 done this in past. I'm just saying in the future 9 10 they've got to make every dollar count, and they 11 certainly cannot make any more mistakes like they've 12 made in the past. You know, we've talked about the 13 nuclear thing here this morning, there was the 14 other -- the other situation where they tried to 15 build a coal plant over there and that failed. 16 That's the type of mistakes that they cannot afford 17 to make in the future. And they need a board that 18 will be very vigilant as far as their spending is 19 concerned.

20 Q. And my very, very quick commentary as 21 relates to consultants. I'm of the belief that 22 consultants in anything that you have them in, you 23 make the decision who's going to come in and how 24 much advice you're going to take from them if any. 25 Hundred percent, 50 percent, ten percent, zero --



47 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MR. JONES 1 and even if it's five to ten percent, that might be a significant five or ten percent; but, at the same 2 time it's the responsibility of that entity -- in 3 this case we're talking about Santee Cooper. It's 4 their responsibility ultimately, and you've said it 5 many times, to make the decision, to make the call, б to watch the dollars, to decide what direction we're 7 going to go and how we're going to get there. 8

But I think in terms of consultants --9 10 of course we live in a global society now, just not 11 only somebody from this country but anyone with 12 expertise -- but the decision has to be made with 13 Santee Cooper or whomever that entity is how much, 14 if any, of that information they're going to get 15 from consultants. So I don't think we should just 16 rule out consultants but you have to be very, very 17 careful with regard to the bottom line how you're 18 going to use any of that information if at all.

A. Representative Mack, that's exactly thepoint that I was trying to make.

21 22

24

23

REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Okay.

SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. JONES:

A

R

	March 29, 2016
1	48 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MR. JONES Q. And thank you for your service and I
2	might say your willingness to serve. The this
3	question that I only had one but it may open up
4	another can of worms and we'll have to defer. You
5	were on Central's board for a long time still
б	are?
7	A. No, sir. I'm not on it now.
8	Q. You were for a long time?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. When the decision was made to build VC1,
11	what was different? What changed things? That was
12	a partnership, agreed upon. Were you in favor of
13	that, to build a joint joint ownership?
14	A. I don't remember any discussion about
15	that, Mr. Jones, but one of the things I said about
16	2 and 3 we would be a lot better off if we had just
17	built 1 instead of 2. I believe that the V.C.
18	Summer 1 was built somewhere around 1980, in that
19	time frame. There was a more favorable attitude
20	toward building a nuclear plant amongst the public
21	than there is now, and they had a tremendous problem
22	with building that first plant. You remember they
23	had all kinds of work stoppages and work-related
24	abuses and delivery problems same problems that
25	happened right now.

	Watch 29, 2010
1	49 Q. That's why I wondered.
2	A. You recall that and I do, too.
3	Q. Why why go back and do it again?
4	A. Well, that's a good question.
5	Q. Of course, I'm looking back.
6	A. That's a great question.
7	Q. But I I feel like and you brought
8	up transparency. And the partnership seems to lack
9	some communication or a lot of communication
10	among the co-owners. I just wondered if you
11	could I don't guess you would bring it to one
12	issue, but there's a huge difference in the
13	cooperation it seems. I mean, things that I've read
14	in discovery are incredible.
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY SENATOR RANKIN:
17	Q. Okay. Mr. Leaird, I appreciate your
18	hanging out here with us and the we were just
19	pulling up the press release yesterday about this
20	group to confirm the name of it. Centerview
21	Partners, hired in part to help, quote, seek
22	settlement recoveries from SCANA. Again, the press
23	reporting this: Financial advisers also agree to,
24	quote, participate in negotiations between the
25	utilities. Contract released Wednesday floats

AWR

50 possibility of a cash settlement or other kind of 1 2 arrangements such as discounted electricity. And that, again, in the vein of a 3 consultant being hired. And I have heard, as you 4 mentioned, the fact that that was done in executive 5 session as a big problem. Governor McMaster cites 6 7 apparently the law that prohibits, as we talked earlier, about the Sanford era days of the Board 8 privately pursuing negotiations of a sale that they 9 10 not inquire into the feasibility of the sale of its 11 assets is the mandate of the 2005 law that, again, 12 we're talking about here. That, again, makes it 13 clearer not at all to me what the purpose of their 14 role is here. 15 So I'm going to ask you a couple of 16 things and then let you close it, if you want to 17 make some closing statements, and unless there's 18 other questions we'll wrap this up.

So, again, do you have a position any differently now, after this long conversation, on the sale of Santee Cooper and the opinion you stated earlier?

A. Well, I knew I was going to say too muchwhen I came in here, that's just my nature.

Q. You haven't, let me assure you. For my

purposes you have not; otherwise, I'd quit talking
 and ask you questions if you said too much.

I can't take my Co -- Co-Op and cut it 3 Α. off and throw it in the trash, you know. So a lot 4 of my experience and opinions come from that. You 5 know, the best way to leave it is we've got to be in 6 7 favor of doing the best we can by the ratepayers. We've got to go back to the basics and see where we 8 can make some progress. I don't have anything that 9 10 I would change.

11 Q. So your opinion is that it should not be 12 sold?

13 Well, it shouldn't be sold for a get out Α. 14 of business price. I'm saying if -- I would work diligently not to sell it. But if it appeared that 15 16 it was in the best interest to the ratepayers, in 17 other words, they're going to give them a cheaper 18 rate and better service, you know, the question 19 about the rates, Senator, all that is in play, I 20 just don't see Santee Cooper going away. I hope 21 not. But some -- if the General Assembly voted to 2.2 sell Santee Cooper, I would more likely side with 23 the General Assembly.

24 Q. Well -- and, ultimately, it isn't your 25 decision, but your answer that you would pursue your

	Maich 29, 2010
1	52 diligence or, again, whatever the exact words you
2	said to not put in a position to not sell it is all
3	that we can ask of you because, ultimately, you
4	won't decide. 170 members
5	A. That's right.
6	Q of the General Assembly will.
7	A. That's right.
8	Q. You invoked the coat the Co-Op coat
9	and which no one would ever ask you to take off
10	your past, your experiences define who you are. Is
11	there a conflict, in your view, of your historical
12	coat that you wore and Santee Cooper? And if there
13	is you were asked earlier in the general sense a
14	conflict, what would you do? We know what
15	everyone would recuse, denounce, et cetera,
16	particularly with Central and Lynches and Black
17	River. Do you see any conflict of your ability to
18	carry out your fiduciary duty on behalf of the
19	ratepayer?
20	A. No, sir. I think all the Co-Ops are
21	asking for is a fair deal, a level playing field.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. They don't want to harm Santee Cooper.
24	Q. Anything you'd like to say in closing?
25	A. I had some things I wanted to say but I
	A       W       R       A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO       Page 52         scheduledepo.com       Page 52

1	53 think I'll leave it where it is.
2	It's just one other thing that troubles
3	me a little bit is all these lawsuits that are
4	flying around. You know, everybody's got everybody
5	in a suit. And one of the reasons in this hearing
6	that I wanted to state what my position was way back
7	about the building of the nuclear plants is I don't
8	want to get sued in this matter. You know, I want
9	to make sure that I have my little retirement nest
10	egg when I meet my maker.
11	Q. And you mean, for the record, personally
12	sued, not as the Board or not as the Santee Cooper
13	who is in litigation now?
14	A. Sir, say again, please?
15	Q. You're stating that you were against
16	V.C. Summer, you fought like a tiger and I think you
17	earlier way back said that you were against this.
18	Your not wanting to be sued is in the context of
19	you, personally, as a would-be board member?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Because you know you are in litigation
22	now not you, but Santee Cooper is in litigation?
23	A. I do know that.
24	Q. With the world, with Central, and not
25	and SCANA, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. But,
	A W R       A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO       Page 53         scheduledepo.com       Page 53

	Maich 29, 2018
1	54 again, yours is from a personal
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q standpoint, which who would argue
4	with you on that?
5	A. That's right.
б	Q. With that as the context you are walking
7	into it freely, willingly and voluntarily, you want
8	to do this still?
9	A. Yes, sir. I do. I've thought about it
10	a good bit. I do want to do it.
11	Q. And I appreciate your as Mr. Jones
12	said at the outset of his comments, I appreciate
13	your willingness to do this. You are walking into
14	perhaps the most volatile, the most odd, most
15	political trauma that this state has seen that makes
16	the 2005 era with Sanford's appointees and their
17	their rogue behavior look tame. And so your
18	willingness to do this, God speed to you. You're
19	going to have to have Solomon's wisdom as your
20	guidepost as well as the law so with that, unless
21	there's any comments, anything further you'd like to
22	add? Otherwise we'll close.
23	A. No, sir.
24	SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Leaird.
25	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
	A W R       A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO       Page 54         scheduledepo.com       Page 54



56 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 3 SS: 4 5 COUNTY OF LEXINGTON б 7 8 I, Lori S. Mortge, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 9 South Carolina at large, do hereby certify that the 10 above-entitled cause was heard as hereinafter set 11 12 out; that I was authorized to and did report in 13 shorthand the proceedings and evidence adduced and offered in the said proceedings, and that the 14 foregoing and annexed pages, numbered 2 through 55, 15 16 inclusive, comprise a true and correct transcription 17 of my stenographic report of the said cause taken during the said hearing. 18 19 In witness whereof, I have hereunto 20 affixed my signature this 30th day of March, 2018. 21 22 23 24 CCR 25 Lori S. Mortge, CCR and Notary Public My Commission Expires: 12/13/26 A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Page 56 A∥W R scheduledepo.com

			March 29, 2018
1	INDEX		57
2			
3		Page	Line
4	CHARLES H. LEAIRD	2	20
5	EXAMINATION	4	22
6	BY MS. ANDERSON		
7	EXAMINATION	17	3
8	BY SENATOR RANKIN		
9	EXAMINATION	45	7
10	BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK		
11	EXAMINATION	47	24
12	BY MR. JONES		
13	EXAMINATION	49	15
14	BY SENATOR RANKIN		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	REQUESTED INFORMAT	ION INDEX	
21			
22	(No Information Re	equested)	
23			
24			
25	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOC	CIATES (800)	743-depo
	A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSO scheduledepo.c	CIATES (800) 743-E com	DEPO Page 57

1	EXHIBITS	58
2		
3	Page Line	
4	EXH. 1, Edited Senate 5 15	
5	Confirmation Personal Data	
6	Questionnaire	
7	EXH. 2, Mr. Charles Leaird - 6 2	
8	Preliminary Questions	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPC	)
	A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO scheduledepo.com	Page 58

		1		
	2015		advantages	24 6:5 11:23 16:21
Exhibits	6:9	9	32:14	annual
LEAIRD.EXH.001	2018	9	adverse	14:12
5:15 58:4	24:24	30:19	24:21	answers
LEAIRD.EXH.002	2058	50.17	advice	5:21 41:9,10,13
6:2 58:7	9:6 18:15 32:10	A	14:17 46:24	apologize
0.2 50.7	21		advise	35:6
\$	6:25	a.m.	38:15	apparently
Ψ	<b>26</b> 24:24	55:2	advised	50:7
\$15		A3	11:5	appeared
10:15 33:15 36:13	<b>28</b> 19:6	7:25	advisers 49:23	51:15
40:17		A4		appears
\$8	<b>29150</b> 5:3	8:19	advisory 8:14 14:7	21:23 42:14
24:20 25:5 30:19	5.5	A7		appointee
34:8	2	9:16	affiliation 15:6	20:23
<b>\$900</b>	3	ability		appointees
31:25	3	36:15 40:23 42:13	<b>afford</b> 46:16	54:16
	8:22 9:24 22:25	52:17		<b>appointment</b> 2:12 15:9,19
1	23:10 48:16	abuses	affording 10:23	
1	35	48:24		<b>appraise</b> 40:17,24
5:15 7:25 24:19	9:10	accurate	agencies 22:22	
48:17,18	39	26:4		<b>area</b> 21:18 29:22 45:12
10	3:22	achieve	agencies' 27:11	
24:19 27:19		13:18 32:17	agency	areas 35:4
100	4	achieved	8:9	
43:22		32:14	agree	<b>argue</b> 54:3
1030	<b>4</b> 17:7 30:6	acquainted 13:9	18:24 20:12,13	arise
5:2	<b>42</b>		27:25 28:9,17	15:21
10:30	<b>42</b> 11:20	Act 8:8 20:3	30:2,3 49:23	arrangements
2:3	45	action	agreed	50:2
11:23	<b>45</b> 22:16 23:22 25:7	23:4	18:15 43:10 48:12	Assembly
55:1	22.10 23.22 23.7	active	agreement	8:13 10:14 22:5,9
15	5	16:17	13:21 18:21 19:3	39:12,19 40:16
10:17,20 34:6 42:8		activities	23:22 32:12	45:20,22 51:21,23
170	50	14:11	ahead	52:6
20:1 39:18 52:4	11:14,17 46:25	acutely	4:5 19:2,17	Assembly's
1970	52	35:10 41:21	<b>allies</b> 29:19	39:3
6:10 7:14	11:20	add		assesses
1980	58	54:22	allowed 10:16	37:10
48:18	19:7	addition	amortize	assets 10:2 11:6,11 12:18
<b>1982</b> 6:11		3:9	19:4,8	50:11
	7	additional	amount	association
<b>1983</b> 6:9	70	5:6 7:23	12:17 30:18	29:5 35:19
0.2	<b>79</b> 3:25	address	amounted	assumed
2	5.25	4:25 12:20	9:11 24:4	22:7
<u> </u>	8	addresses	analysis	assure
2	<b>.</b>	24:24	41:23 42:2 44:8	50:25
6:2 8:22 9:16	8	admittedly	and/or	attitude
48:16,17	10:1	42:24	28:21	48:19
2005		advantage	Anderson	audits
50:11 54:16		30:24 31:2,12	2:9 4:23 5:10,18,	14:12
		33:10 34:20		

AWR

				March 29, 2018
authority	behalf	borrow		14:9 18:5,6 20:22
17:15	41:23 52:18	24:3	<u> </u>	
1,110				cetera
automobile	behavior	borrowing	call	52:15 53:25
32:1	22:18 23:5 54:17	25:21	22:17,18 26:3,4	chagrinned
averted	belabor	bottom	32:12 47:6	41:25
19:22 24:13,14	24:11	31:15,17 33:2		chairman
aware	belief	47:17	calls	2:13,21 5:10,24
16:5,16 18:6 20:24	46:21	bragging	23:15	7:7 16:22 35:6
39:6 41:21	beneficial	16:20	camps	45:4 47:23
	7:11 13:24 32:20	brainer	26:8	challenge
		9:14 18:13,19	candidacy	12:22 24:20 27:18,
<u> </u>	benefit		29:7	20
B4	13:12,13	breaks	capable	
9:24	benefits	31:9	43:3,21	challenges
	18:1	briefly	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12:16,19,20
B5	big	3:19	capacity	chances
11:3	12:9 13:3 14:18	bright	25:22	14:21
B9	50:6	12:13	capital	change
11:13	biggest	bring	25:18,23	51:10
bachelor	12:16 24:20 27:20	7:9 49:11	carbon	changed
6:7	bill	brink	12:11	22:6,8 48:11
back	32:1	26:7	care	charge
2:16 7:14 17:5,7			21:14	19:4 31:11 39:21
18:7 20:7,11,18	billion	broader	careful	
22:11,19 23:8,9	10:1,15,17,20,22	20:20	33:23 47:17	charged
25:8 29:17 30:4	24:20 25:5 30:19	brought		20:23 40:12
34:6,9 35:23 36:14	33:16 34:6,9 36:13 40:18	28:18 49:7	Carolina	charging
43:5 49:3,5 51:8		build	5:3 8:10 10:14,18	31:20 42:22
53:6,17	bit	19:3 23:23 46:15	12:6,14 17:15 18:1	charitable
background	3:20 6:16 7:3 29:2,	48:10,13	29:15 33:9 35:15	15:7
6:6,16 45:24	5 53:3 54:10	building	36:3,6,10,20,23 42:23 44:18	Charles
backing	Black	48:20,22 53:7		2:20 5:2 6:2
19:18	6:8 7:1 18:6 33:1	built	carry	
	35:24 52:16	48:17,18	52:18	<b>chase</b> 32:22 39:4
bad	blessing		case	
17:14 26:25 31:12, 13 34:11 41:16	22:20	burden	47:4	chasing
	blindly	10:4 24:10 30:8,16	cases	31:22
balance	21:25	business	37:11	cheaper
27:8		7:13 13:8,21 15:12	cash	26:15 51:17
balancing	<b>blowing</b> 20:10,14	16:20 26:14 29:4	50:1	check
21:17		33:4,5 34:25 35:2		34:7
base	<b>board</b>	36:5,23 37:13	categorize 16:6	Chief
10:17,23 20:2	2:12 6:18 7:8,10,	38:14 42:3 46:2		6:17
30:21 34:7,9,16	11 8:1,14,21 9:4 11:5 14:3,14 16:2,	51:14	Caterpillar	child
36:14 41:2	12,18 19:13 21:24	businesses	35:25	20:1
based	22:1,16,19 23:4,15	8:3	Centerview	
14:23 36:17 37:23,	29:9 31:19 37:25	buy	49:20	cite
24 45:16	38:17 39:24 40:1,6	15:16 26:15	Central	23:3
basically	43:11,14 45:22	buyer	9:4 15:14 16:17	cited
8:16 14:7 24:4	46:17 48:5 50:8	34:3 36:15	18:9,14 19:10,15	33:15
29:10	53:12,19	buying	22:12,16 23:21,24,	cites
basics	bond	31:24 32:2,15	25 24:2 29:1 31:19	50:6
51:8	27:14,15		32:13 41:23 42:12	citing
	bonds	<b>buys</b> 34:15	52:16 53:24	23:13 24:12
<b>basis</b>	10:20 27:8 31:2,3,	54.15	Central's	citizen
14:17 33:20 40:20	6		48:5	4:2 20:22
bastard			CEO	T.2 20.22
20:1			6:8,9,14,22 7:1	

R AW

				March 29, 2018
<b>civic</b> 15:7 <b>clarification</b> 7:24 11:13 <b>clarify</b> 11:6 <b>Clear</b> 38:11 44:5 <b>clearer</b> 50:13	committed 29:11 committee 3:9 communication 49:9 companies 43:23 company 13:7 16:4 26:16	constitute 11:18           constitutional 8:15,16           construction 19:22           consul 37:7           consultant 14:19 37:2,7,9,15,	17 36:3 37:21 38:13 39:13,17,19 40:13,17,23 41:24 42:11,18 43:7 46:7 47:4,13 50:21 51:20,22 52:12,23 53:12,22 <b>Cooper's</b> 10:5 12:3 25:6 30:23 33:3 43:14 44:4	March 29, 2018 43:1 credit 22:22 24:25 27:11 crossed 14:10 curious 27:21 29:2 current 4:25
Clemson 6:7 close 33:1 35:19 43:23 50:16 54:22 closer 37:14 closing 50:17 52:24 clue 3:21 Co-op 6:9,19 7:7 33:11 44:20,21 51:3 52:8 Co-op's 18:6 Co-ops 6:11,14 18:21 35:19 52:20	27:1 33:8 34:14 company's 13:9 comparability 26:12,13 compared 19:7 33:8 comparison 10:9 complete 5:4 complex 45:12 comport 27:10 concerned 32:18 46:19 concerns 36:18	17 39:13 40:7 41:4,6,16,19 42:9, 11,15,17,20 44:12 50:4 consultants 14:18 37:1,6 38:2, 5,7 41:13,15 43:16,22 46:21,22 47:9,15,16 consulted 43:11 consulting 14:22 consumer 6:20 7:5,6 26:14, 19 32:2 context 53:18 54:6 continue 9:25	Cooper/v.c. 40:11 cooperation 49:13 Cooperative 6:10 cooperatives 6:21,22 16:18 17:19 29:5 32:3 cooperatives' 33:5 Coordination 32:12 Corner 36:25 corporately 23:15 Corporation 36:2	customer 20:8 21:17 29:21 customers 15:13 42:22 44:22 cut 51:3 D damages 44:23 Dan 3:16,17 data 5:7,11,16 6:25 date 40:14 day 19:12 22:11,19 day-to-day
co-owners         49:10         coal         11:20 46:15         Coast         33:7         coat         52:8,12         collapse         26:7         comfortable         32:6         commend         24:23         commentary         45:5 46:20         comments         54:12,21         commercial         31:6         Commission         9:20         commissioned         40:16	concluded         55:1         conditions         26:11,12         conduct         23:14         confirm         9:21 49:20         confirmation         5:4,15 28:22         confirmed         21:3 23:6         confirms         20:25 29:13         conflict         13:12,14,17 15:8,         21 52:11,14,17         conflicts         15:18         consequence         30:13         consists         11:15	contract 9:6,10 15:4 19:5 24:3 32:9,15 49:25 contractor 13:22 contributions 9:17 conversation 50:20 conversations 33:14,25 37:25 Cooper 2:12 7:10,14,15 8:1,9,15 9:25 10:8, 10,25 11:14 12:16, 19,23 13:1,6,8,21 14:3,24 15:12,16 16:2,15 17:22,25 18:4,9,22 19:16 20:6,9,10,18,19 21:2,7,12,16,20,25 22:2,4 23:20 24:6 25:1,9 26:5,22 27:24 29:9,20,23 31:8 32:5,24 33:3, 6 34:15,18 35:14,	Corps 6:12 correct 18:11,17,23 22:9, 10 25:14,20 32:24 48:9 53:20 54:2 cost 31:3 32:15,18 41:22 42:2 costs 34:16 count 46:10 country 47:11 couple 50:15 court 2:24 5:3,13 41:18 coverage 27:13 crank 43:19 credible	<ul> <li>day-to-day 6:15</li> <li>days 33:24 42:19 50:8</li> <li>deal 36:4 52:21</li> <li>dealing 11:13</li> <li>debated 43:1</li> <li>debt 10:1,21,22 12:17 24:18,20,21 25:5 26:22 27:13 30:13, 18 34:9</li> <li>debt's 10:15</li> <li>decade 29:25 30:1</li> <li>decide 47:7 52:4</li> <li>deciding 34:11</li> <li>decision 8:22 9:3 16:2 18:14,20 20:4,5</li> </ul>



24:1 27:10 38:17       15:11,17 30:1         39:12,24 43:8,11       46:23 47:6,12         48:10 51:25       20:15 47:7         decision-making       14:15 15:24 41:18         decisions       9:1 23:24 41:15         defer       3,11 21:12         define       21:16         defined       21:16         degree       21:13         31:20       discharge         delivery       33:13         48:24       discounted         denounce       50:2         52:15       discounted         depending       28:15 40:15 4         13:14       discussion         describe       7:5         6:13       dispute         desires       17:18,20         28:6       distribution         details       9:2	$ \begin{array}{c} 23:5,6,18 \ 36:19 \\ 38:18 \ 39:1,22 \ 40:1 \\ 43:9 \ 52:18 \\ \hline E \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline \end{array} $	4:17 end 4:18,19 10:25 19:1,5 34:19 ended 7:6 endorsed 18:14	executive 6:17 14:4 19:14 43:15 50:5 exercise 21:14 EXH 5:15 6:2
14:15       15:24       15:14,16,20         decisions       3,11       21:12         defer       48:4       directors         define       2:12       6:18         30:15       52:10       disagree         defined       21:16       discharge         defined       21:13       31:20         delivery       33:13       discharges         delivery       33:13       discounted         52:15       discounted       50:2         denounce       50:2       discounted         52:15       discussed       28:20,23,25         depending       28:15       40:15         13:14       discussion       28:15         describe       7:5       6:13         desires       17:18,20       28:6         distribution       6:21       16:18         9:2       divined       32:16	0 <b>E</b> earlier 27:19 50:8,22	7:6 endorsed 18:14	ЕХН
decisions       9:1 23:24 41:15       directors         defer       14:6,8         48:4       disagree         define       28:1,11 31:10         30:15 52:10       disagree         defined       21:16         degree       21:13         31:20       discharge         delivery       33:13         48:24       discounted         denounce       50:2         52:15       discovery         depending       28:20,23,25 4         13:14       discussed         depends       28:15 40:15 4         41:3       dispute         describe       7:5         6:13       disputes         17:18,20       distribution         6:21 16:18 32       9:2	0 27:19 50:8,22	endorsed 18:14	5:15 6:2
determine       40:19         42:20 44:23       divulge         determined       29:3         21:16       documents         17:18 21:18 29:21       dollar         35:11,16,18 36:2,       46:10         difference       46:5 47:7         49:12       donation         differently       9:22         50:20       doubt         difficult       36:21 39:15,7         dropped       dropped	5:8 17:18 21:18         29:21 35:11,16,18         36:2,19         edited         5:11,15         effect         37:4         effects         24:21         effort         27:15 41:22         efforts         46:6         27:15 41:22         efforts         40:24         egg         53:10         elaborate         8:6,23 13:16         electric         6:8,10 19:14 23:22         26:15 29:5 31:8         33:5,11 35:19         electrical         16:1         electricity         50:2         emissions         12:10         employed	energy 7:11 12:3,4 engaged 38:7 enjoyed 18:9 enter 13:21 19:3 23:22 entered 5:11,25 entities 16:1 entity 10:1 47:3,13 envision 10:5 equipment 11:4,8,9 12:8 equity 25:23 era 50:8 54:16 estimation 45:14 Ethics 9:20 evaluate 38:14 44:19 evaluating 22:23 evaluation 40:15 42:18 43:6 eventually 9:6 everybody's 45:14 53:4 exact 52:1	Exhibit 35:13 exist 9:25 existence 17:16 existing 19:5 26:6 39:21 exists 7:16 expense 30:20 experience 6:16 7:9 15:1 16:17 30:11 31:19 37:19 45:10 51:5 experiences 52:10 expertise 14:13 47:12 explain 23:19 extend 9:5 24:2 extension 18:15 eye 22:23 F face 22:11 faces 12:17, facing 12:19,21 fact 27:23 39:9 50:5 factored
diligence 52:1 Duke	13:1 employees	<b>EXAMINATION</b> 4:22 17:3 45:7	32:8
diligently 16:4 26:16 27	6:20 13:2 14:6	47:24 49:15	<b>factors</b> 21:17
dimes 46:4 directduly 2:21 duties 21:13	employment 6:11 13:1,4 37:17 42:17 43:6 encourage	<b>examples</b> 35:13 <b>excuse</b> 15:23 37:24	<b>facts</b> 22:1 41:18 <b>factual</b> 33:20

AWR

				IVIAICI 29, 2016
failed	29:22 43:6 49:23	full	great	heavy
46:15	find	4:25	7:15 17:17 21:21	10:4 30:8,16
failure	24:8	functions	31:5 49:6	heed
19:25		6:19,23		44:13
	fire		greater	
fair	2:5	future	33:13	Herbert
52:21	firms	10:6 12:13 46:7,9,	group	5:2
faith	14:13	17	15:15 19:22 29:25	high
			49:20	
21:13	flesh			31:20 32:2,5 33:8
fall	34:10	G	guess	higher
21:1 26:10	flier		18:15 26:2 28:14	26:17 32:23
		gain	32:2 49:11	
false	25:3	13:19	guidepost	hindsight
26:4	floats		54:20	32:19
familiar	49:25	gains		hire
3:24 13:10 21:11		13:25	guilty	37:2,7 39:12
25:1,3 40:9 44:9	Florida	gas	30:5	
	36:18	11:15,22,25 19:14		hired
familiarity	flying	23:22 26:15		38:13 49:21 50:4
41:20	53:4		Н	historical
family		gave		52:11
20:17 22:21	folks	34:5 42:12	half	
20:17 22:21	33:14	general	27:13 31:4	historically
Farm	follow	8:13 10:14 22:5,8		25:6
31:25	29:24		hand 2:19 10:19	history
		39:2,12,19 40:16	2:19 10:19	
fast	footsie	44:1 45:20,22	handle	20:7 31:11 36:19
22:24	3:3 22:22	51:21,23 52:6,13	13:11	holdings
fathers		generation		15:25
19:25	forgive	12:1 24:6	hanging	
	17:5 42:14		4:1 49:18	hole
favor	form	gentlemen	happen	31:23 32:22
48:12 51:7	38:21	16:24	3:11 9:9 45:16	honest
favorable		give		28:8
48:19	formulas		happened	
	43:17	4:14 41:13 44:13	45:17 48:25	hooked
fealty	forward	51:17	hard	29:15
29:18	4:4 10:3,24 22:24	glad	10:2 30:7	hope
feasibility	23:7 27:24 30:8	3:15 4:3		7:17 10:7 17:9,19
50:10			harken	51:20
30:10	45:10,17	global	20:7	
February	fought	47:10		hoping
24:24	18:25 53:16	God	harm	10:8
		54:18	52:23	
feel	Foxridge		hat	host
35:5 49:7	5:2	gold	18:8	9:12
fiduciary	frame	29:23		House
23:18 38:18 39:1,	9:2 48:19	good	head	3:12
22,25 43:9 52:18			17:1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	frankly	2:9 3:7 4:6,21,24	heads	housekeeping
field	28:7	8:18 12:12,23	42:25	6:24
52:21	free	14:22 21:13 26:18		huge
	30:11	31:7,14 34:11	healed	49:12
figure		36:10,22 49:4	12:24	
33:17 40:18	Freedom	54:10	hear	Human
figures	8:8			13:4
11:19 42:12 46:6	freely	government	27:3,5	Hundred
		3:25 8:10,11	heard	
final	54:7	governor	27:7 46:3 50:4	46:25
35:4	frugal	2:11 8:13,14,15		hydro
finally	46:1	20:11,16 22:5,7,21	hearing	11:16
42:12		27:22 28:2,3,7,15	28:21 40:22 53:5	
	fuel		Heather	hypothetical
financial	11:13	29:19 50:6	2:6 4:12	10:16 25:9 36:15
15:2,18,22 19:18	fulfill	Governor's		
21:19 24:25 26:6	36:19	39:8,16	heavily	
	50.17	, -	25:10 38:1	
	•	+	•	

 $A \| W \| R$ 

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO scheduledepo.com

[	1	1	1	warch 29, 2016
	44:20	54:11	lawyer	longtime
Ι	insurance	judge	15:3	41:20
	31:25	10:11	Leaird	looked
ideas	integrity	judgment	2:11,14,16,17,18,	31:2
12:20	21:19 29:22	40:5,6	20 3:20 4:7,12,24	lose
identification	intentions	jump	5:2 6:2,6,13 16:21	44:21
5:17 6:4	24:7 28:16	16:25 35:7	35:10 49:17 54:24	lot
ilk	interest	justified	lease	6:23 14:22 19:6
44:12	5:8 7:15 8:2 13:12,	35:22	11:6	20:18 26:13 36:5
imagine	14 15:19 20:18,19		leave	48:16 49:9 51:4
46:3	21:16,17 29:14,21	K	35:8 51:6 53:1	low
impact	37:18 41:14 51:16		left	31:6
35:14	interests	keen	2:24 13:2	lower
impacted	29:20	8:1	legal	31:7
16:2	Intergovernmental	Kelly	14:16 38:17	loyalty
important	32:10	19:13	lens	21:6 29:18
19:13 35:21,22	interim	kicks	23:5	lunch
in-depth	42:21	44:20	level	30:12
29:4	interpreting	kidding	52:21	Lynch
incentives	15:2	4:8	leverage	18:5
35:20	introduce	kind	25:6	Lynches
include	3:8	11:2 16:24 17:5	leveraged	6:10 18:5 52:16
11:7	introduction	40:14 50:1	25:10,14,16 27:16	
including	2:8 3:10	kinds	lie	М
20:1	investor	48:23	29:18	
income	16:7 44:11	knew	lies	Mack
30:25	invitation	13:20 50:23	29:19	17:1 45:1,3,8
increase	30:5	knowing	lieu	47:19,21
34:24	invoked	9:8	30:25	Madam
incredible	52:8	knowledgeable	life	5:13
25:8 49:14	involved	9:1	34:23	made
independent	9:3 42:11		light	4:3 8:22 9:1,3,22 23:10,24 40:22
14:13	issue	L	3:12	46:12 47:12 48:10
indirect	7:6 11:10 13:5		limit	make
15:11	14:17 23:3 24:19	lack	36:11	4:16 9:8,13 10:9
individual	25:17 40:8 49:12	12:18 49:8	literally	24:9 33:22 36:4
32:3	issued	lady	21:11	39:12 41:5,14
industry	24:23	2:24	litigation	45:15 46:8,10,11,
30:11 36:9	issues	land	53:13,21,22	17,23 47:6,20
inferring	4:5 7:18 8:7 9:12	20:21	live	50:17 51:9 53:9
46:8	issuing	landfill	47:10	maker
information	25:18	11:25	Load	53:10
5:6 7:3,23 8:8	it/a	largest	20:2	makes
38:22 47:14,18	30:1	20:8	locate	27:22 30:15 39:5
input		latest	36:9	50:12 54:15
24:1	J	11:19	located	manage
inquire	·	law	35:24 36:24	6:18 33:1 34:17
50:10	job	20:24 22:6,8 38:25	long	46:2,4
inquisitor	17:17 31:5	39:6,7,21 44:18	2:4 3:10 4:1 12:6	management
3:21	joint	50:7,11 54:20	18:4 19:8 41:22	13:9 14:11 41:12
insignificant	48:13	lawsuit	48:5,8 50:20	managing
11:9	Jones	7:2	longest	16:18 35:24 36:23, 25 37:3 46:1
instance	3:16,18,22 4:8	lawsuits	22:15	25 57.5 40.1
mounee	47:22,23,25 48:15	53:3		

A W R
-------

	1		1	March 29, 2018
<b>mandate</b> 50:11	<b>mind</b>	<b>neighborhood</b>	observed	oversight
	4:20 17:6 25:25	31:4	14:23	14:8
<b>manner</b> 21:15	29:13	<b>nest</b>	<b>obtain</b>	overview
	miracle	53:9	41:25	14:2
Marine	3:14	<b>net</b> 25:13	<b>odd</b>	ownership
6:12	mistakes		3:1 54:14	48:13
marked 5:16 6:3	8:5 23:2,12 46:11, 16	<b>news</b> 42:16	<b>off-line</b> 22:17	P
<b>marker</b>	<b>model</b>	<b>newspaper</b>	offending	package
35:15	10:3 30:7	16:15 33:18,19	4:3	
market	<b>Moncks</b>	39:10	<b>offer</b> 33:19 36:17 39:20,	5:5
25:22 26:11,12	36:25	Nextera		<b>paid</b>
marriage	<b>money</b>	34:1 36:17	23	10:15 31:1
18:7	24:3	Nextera's	offered	<b>Paige</b>
<b>Marsh</b>	<b>monkey</b>	33:19	40:18	42:22
19:13	35:1	<b>no-no</b>	office	<b>Palmetto</b>
<b>matter</b>	<b>month</b>	13:20	20:11	36:2
6:24 10:11 19:8	41:10	nod	officer	paper
53:8	<b>months</b>	22:21	6:17	33:21 38:8 40:19
matters	4:5 21:22	nominated	officers	42:19
8:12 Mcelveen	<b>Moody's</b> 24:23 25:1 44:11	2:11 27:22 28:12	8:16	<b>papers</b> 28:14
9:19,22	<b>morning</b> 2:9,10 4:24 46:13	nomination 28:22,25	ongoing 30:20 onlt	parameters 32:18
<b>Mcmaster</b>	<b>motion</b>	nonetheless	9:18	paraphrasing
28:15 50:6	40:22	18:20		26:8
meant	<b>moves</b>	<b>note</b>	<b>open</b>	<b>part</b>
19:6 36:5	17:1	5:14 9:11 19:24	29:13 48:3	8:19 49:21
<b>media</b>	municipal	noted	opening	<b>partial</b>
45:13	44:20	6:1	4:15	44:21
<b>meet</b> 53:10	<b>mystery</b> 43:20	<b>noting</b> 37:18	<b>opinion</b> 9:14 11:1 12:2 18:18 26:9 27:9,	participate 49:24
<b>meeting</b>	+3.20	<b>notion</b> 39:18	17,25 37:6,10,24	partition
3:11,14 19:13	N		41:1 43:1,7,14	3:6
meetings	named	nowadays	50:21 51:11	<b>partner</b>
45:13		15:17	opinions	19:17 23:23
<b>member</b> 3:16 14:14 16:12 21:24 22:15 39:24	7:2 names	<b>nuclear</b> 9:11 11:15 23:23 46:13 48:20 53:7	27:11 44:14 51:5 opportunity	<b>Partners</b> 49:21
40:1 43:11,13	22:19 23:14	<b>number</b>	4:14 17:25 35:23	<b>partnership</b>
53:19	narrative	6:25 17:7 22:25	opposite	48:12 49:8
members	26:4,5	24:19 28:23 30:6	26:16	<b>past</b>
8:1 20:2 22:19	narrow	33:15	opposition	8:4 9:17,22 23:2,
23:4 29:10 33:12	2:2	numbers	20:4	12 36:19 46:9,12
36:1 37:25 39:18	natural	43:19	ordinary	52:10
52:4 members'	32:3	0	21:14 organization	<b>path</b> 26:21 27:23
40:6	50:24	objection	15:8	<b>pay</b>
mentioned	necessarily		out-of-state	10:20 19:6
7:1 11:14 12:15	9:2 33:22	19:11	34:14	<b>payments</b>
37:1 50:5		obligation	outset	30:25
<b>middle</b> 29:11	needing	43:9	20:7 28:13 54:12	<b>peaked</b>
	43:2	obligations	outstanding	37:17
<b>mile</b> 33:12	negotiations 49:24 50:9	16:8	31:3	<b>pennies</b> 46:4

R AW

<b>Pennsylvania</b> 36:1	plants	22.0.24.12.14		1
50.1	35:25 53:7	33:8 34:13,14 43:23	<b>process</b> 28:22,24 32:7	Q
<b>people</b>	<b>play</b>	<b>pragmatically</b>	professional	qualifications
4:4 8:2 15:15	22:2 51:19	45:18	15:7 18:8	
16:15 19:22 23:20	<b>played</b> 22:21	preceding	progress	28:4 29:17
28:23 29:2,8 36:6		55:1	51:9	quarters
<b>PERC</b> 3:9,13	<b>player</b> 35:18	preconceived 39:18	<b>prohibits</b> 50:7	46:5 quasi
<b>percent</b>	<b>players</b>	predecessor's	<b>project</b>	8:11 25:19
11:15,17,20 23:23	9:3	32:23	18:22	quasi-state
25:7 27:7,8 31:4	<b>playing</b>	<b>predicament</b>	<b>promissory</b>	10:1
46:25 47:1,2	3:2 52:21	24:8	9:11	question
percentage 30:17	pledge 21:9	predominantly 11:21 12:6	<b>proper</b> 28:9	7:25 8:19 9:16,24 11:3,12 13:7 17:7 19:15 22:25 23:10,
<b>performing</b>	<b>point</b>	<b>preliminary</b>	<b>property</b>	16 24:18 26:3,20
43:5	16:24 19:20,21	6:3 7:21 17:8	11:4,7 31:1	27:19 28:14 30:6
person	24:11 27:4 41:4,5	<b>preparation</b>	protecting	38:20,22 39:16
21:14	47:20	16:11 28:21,24	8:2	41:2 45:4,9 48:3
personal 5:7,11,16 6:25 13:18,19,24 25:12	pointing 24:15	33:15 present	<b>proven</b> 18:19	49:4,6 51:18 <b>questioned</b>
54:1	<b>points</b>	15:8	<b>provide</b>	39:9
personally	9:13	preservation	5:6 6:15 7:3 14:2	questioning
13:9 18:24 19:9,10	policy	21:19 22:3 29:22	provided	40:4
53:11,19	14:8	preserve	35:20	questionnaire
persuaded	<b>political</b>	30:1	<b>prudent</b>	5:7,11,16 6:25
41:24	9:16 12:22 20:9	press	21:14 43:8	17:8,23
phase 24:6	27:18,20 29:24 40:10 54:15	38:10 49:19,22 pressure	<b>public</b> 6:20 17:15 27:23	<b>questions</b> 2:5,10 4:7,13,18 5:19,21 6:3 7:21,
<b>philosophy</b>	politically	26:17	48:20	23,24 16:22,23
45:23	12:23	<b>pretty</b>	<b>pulling</b>	17:8 35:8,9 41:9
<b>phrase</b>	pong	7:13 15:15 27:1	49:19	50:18 51:2
46:3	17:5 30:5	prevented	purchases	quick
<b>pick</b>	<b>portion</b>	8:4 23:1,12	11:16,21	2:7 45:4,5 46:20
42:15	11:23	previous	purchasing	quit
<b>pillar</b> 29:24	<b>position</b> 16:9 28:3,10,16 32:13 37:12 39:16	18:8 price	10:16,21 <b>purpose</b>	51:1 quote
<b>pinball</b>	45:23 50:19 52:2	12:7 33:16 51:14	50:13	45:15 49:21,24
17:6 30:5	53:6	primary	<b>purposes</b>	
<b>ping</b>	<b>positions</b>	13:5	51:1	R
17:5 30:5	29:3	prior	pursue	
<b>place</b>	<b>positive</b>	6:11	18:16 51:25	<b>rabbit</b>
43:17	34:8 35:13,18	private	pursued	31:22,23 32:22
placing	possession	25:23	18:22	39:4
31:5	41:12	privately	pursuing	raise
<b>plan</b>	possibility	50:9	50:9	2:18 25:22 27:2
18:16 44:21	50:1	privilege	put	raising
<b>planning</b>	<b>possibly</b>	4:2	10:17,22 30:20	27:6
24:6	8:13 38:15	problem	32:7 34:6,9,13,15	range
<b>plant</b>	<b>potential</b> 22:24	37:5,8,9,15 48:21	36:11,14 41:17	6:21
9:12 11:23 19:3		50:6	43:18 52:2	RANKIN
23:23 46:15 48:20, 22	<b>power</b> 13:22 15:13,16 16:4 26:16 27:1	<b>problems</b> 14:21 37:13 48:24	<b>puts</b> 26:17	2:1,15,18,23 3:1,7, 19 4:6,10,21 5:13 6:1 16:23 17:4 35:5 44:24 45:2

AWR

				March 29, 2018
47:22 49:16 54:24	5:4,20 6:6	repeatedly 27:23	<b>River's</b> 18:5	SCE&G 40:13
rate 10:17,23,24 17:24 26:12 27:3,7	recent 12:12 37:17	repeating 25:25	<b>rogue</b> 22:18 54:17	<b>scenarios</b> 33:16 34:5
30:20,21 31:6,8 32:4,24 34:7,9,16,	<b>recollection</b> 23:25 25:12	report	role	science
19,24 36:14 42:21	<b>record</b> 4:11 5:1,12,14,25	24:23 25:2,4 reported	12:2 14:3,4,7 22:2 50:14	6:7 search
43:16 44:8 51:18 rate-making	9:15,21 53:11	33:19	rolling 19:23	9:19
32:7 41:18 rated	recoveries 49:22	<b>reporter</b> 2:24 5:13	room	secrecy 8:9
24:19	<b>recuse</b> 52:15	reporting 49:23	41:6 <b>rule</b>	<b>secret</b> 27:22
<b>ratepayer</b> 40:3,25 45:16,21,	redeem 10:20	reports	47:16	seek 49:21
25 52:19 ratepayers	regard	16:13,14 40:20 <b>Representative</b>	<b>run</b> 2:4 35:1	selecting
10:4,18,23 17:24 18:2 29:14 30:9,16	11:4 35:15,20 39:1,2 47:17	17:1 44:25 45:1,3, 8 47:19,21	S	14:9 sell
34:8,19 38:18 51:7,16	<b>regret</b> 7:15	represented 36:3	sale	10:13,14,19 11:6 17:25 21:1 25:23
<b>rates</b> 7:6 18:15 26:24	<b>relate</b> 37:21	<b>require</b> 10:4 30:8	10:10 11:1 22:3,24 38:15 50:9,10,21	27:24 28:6,16 30:1 39:19,24 51:15,22
31:21 32:22,23 34:21,25 35:2	related 3:13	<b>residential</b> 32:24 33:4,5 42:3	<b>Sanford</b> 20:11,16 50:8	52:2 selling
43:25 44:2 51:19 rating	relates	resolve	<b>Sanford's</b> 54:16	20:6,18,19 21:4,25 31:2 39:17
22:22 24:25 27:11, 14	46:21 relations	7:18 resolved	Santee	Senate 3:13 5:15 20:25
ratings	3:25 6:20 7:13	17:20	2:12 7:10,14,15 8:1,9,14 9:25 10:5,	29:12 42:20,23
27:15 reacts	relationship 13:24 15:17 18:4,7	resources 13:5 36:11 37:14	7,10,24 11:14 12:3,16,19,23	43:20 Senator
13:15	26:18 relationships	respond 45:5	13:1,5,8,20 14:3, 23 15:12,16 16:2,	2:1,15,18,23 3:1,6, 7,19 4:6,10,21
read 15:4 16:13,15	15:12 release	<b>response</b> 8:20,24 11:3 13:13	15 17:21,25 18:4, 9,22 19:16 20:6,9,	5:13 6:1 9:19,22 16:23 17:4 18:25
21:21 25:4 28:13 33:18 38:8 40:19	38:10 42:16 49:19	responses	10,18,19 21:1,6, 12,16,19,25 22:2,3	22:14 24:17 27:16 28:10 34:22 35:5
42:19 44:6 49:13 reading	released 49:25	5:20 7:21 responsibilities	23:20 24:6 25:1,6, 9 26:5,22 27:24	38:6 41:5 43:17 44:24 45:2 47:22
24:23 33:21 real	relevant 42:16	16:9 responsibility	29:8,9,20,23 30:23 31:8 32:5,24 33:3,	49:16 51:19 54:24 send
14:18 32:6 36:21	reliability 12:7	39:3 47:3,5	5 34:15,17,18 35:14,17 36:2	31:25 32:9
reason 34:17 36:21	relied	<b>rests</b> 22:4 29:19	37:21 38:13 39:13, 17,19 40:11,13,17,	sense 9:8 26:3 39:22
reasonable 10:24	37:23 38:1 relying	<b>result</b> 13:25 15:19	23 41:24 42:11,18 43:6,14 44:4 46:7	52:13 sentence
reasons 53:5	41:15 remainder	<b>retired</b> 4:3 22:14	47:4,13 50:21 51:20,22 52:12,23	8:20 serve
rebuild	11:24	retirement	53:12,22 satisfaction	4:2 29:12,13 48:2
44:21 recall	<b>remember</b> 13:3 48:14,22	53:9 <b>Review</b>	31:20	served 6:12
8:25 9:19 17:11 19:12 20:3 22:11	renewable 12:3,4	20:2	<b>saved</b> 19:11	serves 8:3
23:20 40:13 41:20 42:1 49:2	renewables	<b>River</b> 6:8,10 7:1 18:5,6	<b>SCANA</b> 40:13 42:22 49:22	service
received	11:16,24 12:10,13	33:1 35:24 52:17	53:25	17:15 21:18 27:13 29:22 30:18 32:15

 $A \| W \| R$ 

				March 29, 2018
41:23 42:2 44:11	<b>sold</b>	<b>started</b>	successful	<b>Tallahassee</b> 36:24
48:1 51:18	17:22 51:12,13	2:2,6 3:8,24	20:25	
<b>serving</b>	<b>Solomon's</b> 54:19	<b>state</b>	successfully	<b>tame</b>
16:12 22:15		4:25 6:22 8:10	41:24	54:17
<b>session</b> 40:14 43:16 50:6	solution 21:24	9:15,20 18:1 30:25 31:25 35:14 36:3, 20 53:6 54:15	<b>sued</b> 53:8,12,18	<b>task</b> 14:9
set 5:19 14:8 42:21	<b>solve</b> 14:20 37:8	20 33:0 34:13 State's 10:13	suing 7:7	<b>tax</b> 30:25 31:1
<b>settlement</b>	solving	stated	<b>Suisse</b> 22:23	<b>teetering</b>
49:22 50:1	37:15	7:25 9:24 16:3		26:6
<b>shares</b> 25:23	<b>sort</b>	17:23 39:10 50:21	<b>suit</b>	<b>telling</b>
	13:19 44:22	Statehouse	53:5	3:4
<b>show</b>	<b>sour</b>	4:1	summary	<b>ten</b> 22:6 34:23 42:4
11:20	21:23	statement	6:6	
<b>showed</b> 31:14	<b>sources</b> 11:13	4:15 5:7 statements	Summer 8:22 18:13 25:7	46:25 47:1,2 term
<b>shown</b>	<b>South</b> 5:3 8:10 10:14,18	50:17	40:11 48:18 53:16	27:8 32:10 45:12
31:16		stating	Sumter	terms
side 3:23 10:11 17:2	12:5,14 17:14 18:1 29:14 33:9 35:15 36:3,6,9,20,23	53:15 stay	5:3 35:25 36:6 support	25:5 47:9 test
51:22	42:23 44:18	2:4 25:15	20:3 21:9,25	21:10
sign	Southern	steadfast	supported	testified
9:10,11	16:4	19:11	29:6	2:21
significant	speaking	step	suppose	theory
47:2	44:2	32:21	12:5	25:15 30:22
Significantly	specific	stock	surplus	thereabouts
32:5	24:16 36:7	16:3	11:4,7,10	18:16
similar	specifically	stoppages	survive	thing
15:7 sir	24:12,24 26:20 30:6 43:25	48:23 strange	17:9 26:5,22 survives	3:1 8:18 12:9,12 17:14 18:25 28:3 31:12,13,14 36:10
2:15 4:9 17:10,12 18:11 22:10 23:17 28:18 31:13 32:25	<b>speed</b> 54:18	3:23 stranger	10:8 sworn	40:24 41:8 44:22 46:13 53:2
34:2,4 35:17 37:19	<b>spending</b>	18:3	2:14,21 4:13	<b>things</b>
38:12 44:6 48:7	46:18	strong	system	3:12 12:22 32:19
52:20 53:14 54:9,	<b>spoken</b>	25:13	11:15,21	40:10 48:11,15
23	40:20	structure		49:13 50:16 52:25
<b>sit</b>	<b>spread</b>	26:6	table	<b>thinking</b>
41:7	19:7	struggle		10:10 11:11
<b>site</b>	stability	33:11	3:23 36:16	<b>thought</b>
9:20	24:25	study	tagging	3:11 32:17 54:9
<b>sitting</b>	<b>staff</b>	40:12	30:4	thoughts
3:2 9:4 43:13	14:5 40:5 43:21	subcommittee		45:17
situation	stalwart	2:3	25:7	<b>throw</b> 51:4
7:16 8:17 11:1	3:15	subject	talk	
13:1,11 24:8 37:10 46:14 small	standard 44:23	20:8 21:12 28:19 40:12 42:15,25	27:2,6 talked	<b>tiger</b> 18:25 53:16
6:22 19:21 society	<b>standoff</b> 16:6	<b>submitted</b> 7:22	16:14 29:1,4,8,9 35:12 46:12 50:7	time 4:1 9:2,5,7 18:19 47:3 48:5,8,19
47:10	<b>standpoint</b>	substantial	<b>talking</b>	<b>times</b>
solar	12:12 54:3	11:11,23	12:5 28:5 35:6,7	21:21 27:13 45:12
12:6,10	<b>star</b> 29:23	<b>Success</b> 19:25	38:10 44:1 47:4 50:12 51:1	47:6

A W R

ſ				March 29, 2018
tired 35:8	U	<b>violation</b> 38:16 39:25	2:2	<b>York</b> 36:1
today		visualize	winds 20:9 29:25 40:10	young
5:21 7:16 22:24	U.S.	10:3 30:7	wink	2:23
24:9 28:24 37:18	6:12	vividly	22:20	
38:8	ultimately	20:3		
today's	29:17 47:5 51:24	volatile	<b>wisdom</b> 54:19	
28:21	52:3	54:14		
told	understand		wise	
19:1 23:21 29:10	15:5 16:8 37:8	voluntarily 54:7	3:13 18:20	
top	41:2,3		wondered	
19:14 27:16	understanding	voted	49:1,10	
totally	38:24	20:2 51:21	word	
20:14 22:4	University		40:20	
	6:8	W	words	
<b>touched</b> 27:21 45:9	unquote		14:20 25:13 26:14	
	45:15	waited 3:10	51:17 52:1	
<b>tough</b> 35:3	up-to-date		wore	
	16:14	walk	52:12	
traditionally	utilities	30:12	work	
27:12	16:1 49:25	walking	6:17 13:10 36:6	
transparency		54:6,13	37:11 48:23 51:14	
8:4,18 23:1,11,16	<b>utility</b> 7:11,12 9:8 10:17,	walks	work-related	
24:13 49:8	21 26:13,18 34:24	41:6	48:23	
transparent	35:1,2 36:8 44:19	wanted	worked	
24:7	utilize	13:21 18:18 52:25	22:12	
trash	14:14	53:6	working	
51:4	17.17	wanting	3:25 4:4	
trauma	V	53:18	world	
54:15	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	watch	53:24	
tremendous	V.C.	47:7	worms	
12:21 30:24 31:2	18:13 25:7 48:17	watermelons	48:4	
48:21	53:16	33:10	worth	
troubles	valuation	web	25:13 38:15 39:11	
53:2	44:1	9:20	would-be	
truck	vastly	Wednesday	20:23 34:3 36:15	
33:10	33:4	49:25	53:19	
turbines	VC1	weeds	wrap	
11:25	48:10	26:2	50:18	
turn	vein	weighing	wrap-up	
14:21 43:19	11:2 50:3	39:22	40:14	
turned		White		
14:24	verse 35:12	42:22	wrong 41:1	
turnover		wholesale	11.1	
13:4	versus 39:23	13:22 15:13	Y	
turns		whomever	I	
20:5 21:23	viable	47:13	Y'all	
type	27:9		2:9 35:7	
38:5 46:16	<b>view</b>	<b>wide</b> 6:21		
	14:2 38:11 41:1 42:24 44:5 52:11		<b>years</b> 3:22 9:9,10,17,23	
<b>types</b> 14:13		willingly	17:17 22:6,16	
	vigilant	54:7	30:23 34:23 37:20	
typical 6:15	46:18	willingness	42:5	
0.15	violated	48:2 54:13,18	yesterday	
	23:14	window	38:11 42:17 49:19	
	1	1	1	I

R AW