

1 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)) 2 COUNTY OF RICHLAND) 3 4 STATE REGULATION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES 5 6 REVIEW COMMITTEE 7 SANTEE COOPER SCREENING SUBCOMMITTEE 8 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 9 _ _ _ 10 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LUKE A. RANKIN, SR., 11 CHAIRMAN REPRESENTATIVE DAVID MACK, III 12 DAN JONES HEATHER ANDERSON, ESQUIRE 13 14 March 29, 2018 DATE: 15 10:02 A.M. TIME: 16 17 Gressette Building, Room 209 LOCATION: 1101 Pendleton Street 18 Columbia, SC 19 REPORTED BY: LORI S. MORTGE, 20 Certified Court Reporter 21 A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES 22 Fast, Accurate & Friendly Charleston, SC Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC 23 (843) 722-8414 (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376 24 Columbia, SC Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC (803) 731-5224 (864) 234-7030 25 (704) 573-3919

R

| | Maich 29, 2016 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 2 SENATOR RANKIN: We're going to get |
| 2 | started. We have a very narrow window. We have a |
| 3 | subcommittee that comes in here at 10:30. We're |
| 4 | going to stay as long as we can until we get run out |
| 5 | of here. So if you have questions, again, fire |
| 6 | away. And, Heather, get us started. Tell us why |
| 7 | we're here and then I'm going to do a quick |
| 8 | introduction. |
| 9 | MS. ANDERSON: Good morning. Y'all are |
| 10 | here this morning to ask some questions of |
| 11 | Mr. Leaird who the Governor has nominated for |
| 12 | appointment to the Santee Cooper Board of Directors. |
| 13 | And, of course, Mr. Chairman, if you'd like to have |
| 14 | Mr. Leaird sworn in. |
| 15 | SENATOR RANKIN: All right, sir. And |
| 16 | I'm back and forth Leaird or Leaird? |
| 17 | MR. LEAIRD: Leaird. |
| 18 | SENATOR RANKIN: Leaird, okay. Raise |
| 19 | your hand right hand, please. |
| 20 | CHARLES H. LEAIRD |
| 21 | being first duly sworn by the Chairman, testified as |
| 22 | follows: |
| 23 | SENATOR RANKIN: And who is the young |
| 24 | lady to your left? Would that be a court reporter? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I believe so. |
| | |

1 SENATOR RANKIN: It's an odd thing that 2 she's sitting there with you. If she's playing footsie with us, how are we going to know that other 3 than you telling us? 4 5 THE WITNESS: I think there's a 6 partition here, Senator. 7 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. Good. Good. Before we get started I want to introduce a new 8 9 addition to the PERC committee who needs no 10 introduction but who has long waited his first 11 meeting and probably thought it would never happen 12 in the light of things that had been going on House 13 and Senate wise related to PERC. Probably it's a 14 miracle that we're meeting. 15 We're glad to have our old stalwart here 16 to my right, but Dan Jones is a new member. 17 Dan, welcome. MR. JONES: 18 Thank you. 19 SENATOR RANKIN: And ever so briefly 20 tell us a little bit about yourself so Mr. Leaird 21 will have some clue who his inquisitor is. 2.2 MR. JONES: Well, after 39 years of 23 being on that side of the table it looks strange 24 from up here for those of you familiar. I started 25 working in Government relations in '79 so I've been

 $A \|W\| R$

| | March 23, 2010 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 4 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON hanging around the Statehouse for a long time. And |
| 2 | it's a privilege for me to serve as a citizen and |
| 3 | retired. I'm glad I made it without offending some |
| | |
| 4 | of these people, but look forward to working on |
| 5 | these issues in the months ahead. |
| 6 | SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Very good. |
| 7 | Mr. Leaird, do you have any questions of |
| 8 | Mr. Jones I'm kidding. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: No, sir. |
| 10 | SENATOR RANKIN: You don't get to ask |
| 11 | him any on the record, at least. |
| 12 | All right. Heather Mr. Leaird, |
| 13 | you've been sworn and we have some questions for you |
| 14 | and we'll give you an opportunity either now or |
| 15 | later if you have an opening statement that you'd |
| 16 | like to make. You do not have to do that, don't |
| 17 | encourage that, but you're certainly welcome to now |
| 18 | or at the end of these questions. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Maybe at the end if you |
| 20 | don't mind. |
| 21 | SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. All right. |
| 22 | EXAMINATION |
| 23 | BY MS. ANDERSON: |
| 24 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Leaird. Could you |
| 25 | please state your full name and current address for |
| | |

A W R

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 the record? Charles Herbert Leaird, 1030 Foxridge 2 Α. 3 Court, Sumter, South Carolina 29150. 4 Ο. We received your complete confirmation package. Are there any changes or is there 5 additional information that you'd like to provide б 7 for your personal data questionnaire or statement of economic interest? 8 9 Α. No, ma'am. 10 MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, if we can 11 have his edited personal data questionnaire entered 12 into the record. 13 Madam Court Reporter, SENATOR RANKIN: 14 you'll note that for the record. Thank you. 15 (EXH. 1, Edited Senate Confirmation 16 Personal Data Questionnaire, was marked 17 for identification.) BY MS. ANDERSON: 18 19 And we also sent you a set of questions Q. and received your responses. And if we asked you 20 21 these questions today would your answers be the 22 same? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α. MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, if we could 24 25 also have those entered into the record.

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

scheduledepo.com

R

| | March 29, 2016 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 6 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON SENATOR RANKIN: So noted. |
| 2 | (EXH. 2, Mr. Charles Leaird - |
| 3 | Preliminary Questions, was marked for |
| 4 | identification.) |
| 5 | MS. ANDERSON: And for a very brief |
| 6 | background summary, Mr. Leaird, he received his |
| 7 | bachelor of science from Clemson Clemson |
| 8 | University. He was a CEO for Black River Electric |
| 9 | Co-Op from 1983 to 2015. And he was a CEO of the |
| 10 | Lynches River Electric Cooperative from 1970 to |
| 11 | 1982. And prior to his employment with the Co-Ops |
| 12 | he served in the U.S. Marine Corps. |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Leaird, could you describe what you |
| 14 | did as CEO with the Co-Ops? I know there probably |
| 15 | wasn't a typical day-to-day, but provide a little |
| 16 | bit more of the background, the experience. |
| 17 | A. Chief executive officer has to work with |
| 18 | the Board of Directors and also manage all the |
| 19 | functions functions of the Co-Op, particularly |
| 20 | employees, public relations, consumer relations. |
| 21 | It's a wide range. Cooperatives distribution |
| 22 | cooperatives are small in this state so a CEO has to |
| 23 | do a lot of functions. |
| 24 | Q. And as a housekeeping matter on your |
| 25 | personal data questionnaire on Number 21 you |

R

W

A

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 mentioned that while you were CEO of Black River that there was a lawsuit in which you were named. 2 Can you provide a little bit more information as to 3 4 that? There was a consumer dispute and the 5 Α. consumer had an issue about rates. And he ended up б 7 suing the Co-Op and myself and the chairman of the board, but it was later dropped. 8 9 What experience would you bring Q. Okay. 10 to the Santee Cooper Board of Directors that would be beneficial to an energy utility board? 11 12 Well, I think I know the utility Α. 13 business pretty well and I have had relations with 14 Santee Cooper since 1970, way back. And I've always 15 taken a great interest in Santee Cooper. I regret 16 the situation that exists today very much so and 17 I -- I really hope that I will be able to help in 18 some way -- help resolve some of the issues that are 19 going on now. 20 Thank you. If you'll take a look at the Ο. 21 preliminary questions and responses that you 22 submitted to us, and I'll go through a few of those 23 questions and get some additional information

24 | clarification on those questions.

25

 $A \|W\| R$

On Page 1, Question A3, you stated that:

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON Santee Cooper needs board members who have a keen interest in protecting the interest of the people and businesses it serves. I also believe that transparency would have prevented some of the past mistakes.

Could you elaborate on that, please? б 7 Α. Well, there have been some issues, as we all know, with the Freedom of Information Act and 8 9 secrecy. And in a -- Santee Cooper is an agency of 10 the State of South Carolina so it's a government --11 quasi government. I believe if some of these 12 matters were more available, let's say, to the 13 General Assembly and possibly the Governor and the 14 Governor and the -- the Advisory Board to Santee 15 Cooper, which is the Governor and constitutional --16 basically constitutional officers, we may not be in 17 the situation that we're in right now. I think 18 transparency is a -- a good thing.

Q. Okay. And in Question A4, part of your response was -- the last sentence that you had was: I can't help but wonder where the Board was when the decision was made on Summer 2 and 3.

23 Could you also elaborate on that 24 response as well?

25

A. Well, I recall when -- when those

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 decisions were made, certainly wasn't knowledgeable of the details or necessarily the time frame or the 2 players involved who made the decision, but I never 3 saw -- I was sitting on the Central board at that 4 5 time and, of course, they were asking us to extend the contract out to 2058 which eventually was done, б 7 but I was against that the whole time. Just didn't make any sense to me for a utility, not knowing 8 9 what's going to happen in the next five years, much 10 less in the next 35 years, to sign a contract that 11 amounted to sign a promissory note on the nuclear 12 plant. And there's a host of other issues -- you 13 know, other points that I can make but it was -- it 14 was a no brainer, in my opinion, not to do it.

15 And I want to state for the record that Ο. 16 on Page 2 your question to A7 regarding political 17 contributions in the past five years that you 18 could -- that there was onlt one that you could 19 recall to Senator McElveen. After a search of the 20 State Ethics Commission web site, I'd like to 21 confirm on the record that there was only one 22 donation made to Senator McElveen in the past five 23 years in your name.

24On Page 3, Question B4, you stated: I25want to see Santee Cooper continue to exist as a

10 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON quasi-state entity; however, with 8 billion in debt 1 and their assets being much less, it is hard to 2 visualize a model going forward which would not 3 require a heavy burden for the ratepayers. 4 5 How do you envision Santee Cooper's future? б 7 Α. Well, let me say that I hope Santee Cooper survives. I was hoping to get around to --8 to make this comparison and I think what we're all 9 10 thinking is about a sale of Santee Cooper. And this 11 would be how I would judge the matter from one side 12 all the way to the other. 13 If the State's going to sell -- if the 14 General Assembly is going to sell South Carolina for 15 \$15 billion and the debt's paid off -- this is 16 hypothetical -- and they allowed the purchasing 17 utility to put 15 billion into the rate base, that's 18 not going to help the ratepayers of South Carolina. On the other hand, if they can sell it 19 for 15 billion and pay off -- redeem the bonds, pay 20 21 the debt off and allow the purchasing utility to 22 only put the debt, eight billion let's say, into the 23 rate base, therefore affording the ratepayers a more reasonable rate going forward than what Santee 24 25 Cooper would end up with, then that would be a for



CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 sale situation in my opinion. Thank you. And kind of in the same vein 2 Ο. 3 to that, in the Question B5 and your response was in 4 regard to surplus equipment or property that you 5 think that the Board would be well advised to -- to not sell or lease any assets. And just to clarify, б 7 does that also include surplus property or equipment? 8 9 Α. Well, insignificant equipment and 10 surplus would not be an issue, I don't think, but I was thinking more about the substantial assets. 11 12 Okay. And this is another question for Ο. 13 clarification. On B9, dealing with the fuel sources 14 for Santee Cooper, you mentioned that about 50 15 percent consists of gas, nuclear, off system 16 purchases, hydro and renewables. 17 Does -- what does the other 50 percent 18 constitute? 19 Well, the latest figures that I've seen Α. still show 42 -- 52 percent coal, and then all the 20 21 other -- predominantly off system purchases which, 22 more than likely, would be gas and the gas that they 23 use in the Anderson plant is a substantial portion of the remainder. And then there's some renewables 24 25 and -- and some gas turbines and some landfill gas

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 generation. What is your opinion regarding the role 2 Ο. 3 of renewable energy in Santee Cooper's energy needs? 4 Α. Well, I think the renewable energy -and I suppose what we're talking about here in South 5 Carolina is predominantly solar. It's come a long б 7 way, the price has gone down. The reliability of the equipment is probably better. 8 9 The one big thing you say with the 10 renewables and particularly solar is the emissions 11 of the carbon and so forth. That's a -- that's a 12 good thing. And from a more recent standpoint I 13 think the future looks bright for renewables in 14 South Carolina. 15 And you've already mentioned that one of Ο. 16 the biggest challenges you think that Santee Cooper 17 currently faces is the amount of the debt and the 18 lack of assets. Are -- can you name two more 19 challenges that Santee Cooper is currently facing and ideas on how to address those challenges? 20

A. Well, they're facing a tremendous political challenge right now. You know, things are not going good for Santee Cooper politically and that all needs to be healed if it's possible.

AWR

25

And -- and I don't know about the

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 employment -- employee situation at Santee Cooper, but there's only one or two employees left down 2 there that I -- I remember, so they've had a big 3 turnover in employment. I would think that Human 4 5 Resources would be another primary issue for Santee б Cooper.

7 Ο. In the question regarding if a company is doing business with Santee Cooper and you're 8 personally acquainted with the company's management 9 10 or familiar with their line of work, you were asked 11 how would you handle the situation and if you think 12 it could be a benefit or a conflict of interest. 13 And your response was: It could be a benefit or a 14 conflict of interest depending on how the director 15 reacts.

Can you elaborate on that, please? 17 Well, of course, it would be a conflict Α. 18 if the director tried to achieve personal -- any 19 sort of personal gain. That would be definitely a But if a director knew someone who Santee 20 no-no. 21 Cooper wanted to enter into a business agreement 22 with, let's say a wholesale power contractor or 23 something like that, then it may be -- may be 24 beneficial to have a personal relationship. It 25 couldn't -- it couldn't result in any gains to the



16

14 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 director. Please provide an overview of your view 2 Ο. 3 of the role of director on the Santee Cooper board and how was that role different from its executive 4 5 staff? Α. Well, directors are not employees. б 7 They're advisory. And basically the role of the directors is to set policy and -- and do oversight. 8 Of course, they have the task of selecting the CEO. 9 10 It's -- it's a line that doesn't need to be crossed 11 by the director getting into management activities. 12 Aside from annual audits by outside Ο. 13 firms, what other types of independent expertise 14 should a member of the Board utilize in 15 decision-making? 16 Well, of course, you have to have legal Α. 17 advice on almost every issue basis, but I'm not -- I 18 never have been real big on using consultants. Ιf you don't know what to tell a consultant -- in other 19 20 words, if you're asking somebody to solve your own 21 problems, chances are it might not turn out too 22 qood. I think there's been a lot of consulting 23 done, based on what I have observed at Santee 24 Cooper, that probably hasn't turned out well for 25 them.

| | Maich 29, 2010 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 15 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON Q. What has your experience been with |
| 2 | interpreting financial documents? |
| 3 | A. Well, of course, I'm not a lawyer and |
| 4 | but you've got to be able to read a contract to |
| 5 | understand it. |
| 6 | Q. Does your affiliation with any |
| 7 | professional, civic, charitable or other similar |
| 8 | organization present a conflict with your |
| 9 | appointment? |
| 10 | A. No, ma'am. |
| 11 | Q. And do you have any direct or indirect |
| 12 | business relationships with Santee Cooper or any of |
| 13 | its wholesale power customers? |
| 14 | A. No. I, of course, know the Central |
| 15 | group pretty well and I may know some other people |
| 16 | that buy power from Santee Cooper, but I don't have |
| 17 | any direct relationship nowadays. |
| 18 | Q. Do you have any financial conflicts of |
| 19 | interest that can result from your appointment? |
| 20 | A. No, ma'am. |
| 21 | Q. And if a conflict were to arise, |
| 22 | financial or otherwise, what would you do? |
| 23 | A. I would certainly excuse myself from any |
| 24 | decision-making. |
| 25 | Q. Do you have any holdings in any |
| | |

A W R

16 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MS. ANDERSON 1 electrical utilities or other entities that may be impacted by the decision of the Santee Cooper board? 2 Well, I stated I think I own stock in 3 Α. 4 Duke Power Company and Southern Company and there 5 may be some others that I'm not aware of, but I certainly would categorize myself as a standoff б 7 investor. Do you understand the obligations and 8 Ο. responsibilities of this position? 9 10 I do. Α. 11 Ο. What have you done in preparation for 12 serving as a member of the Board? 13 Well, I've read all their reports, their Α. 14 up-to-date reports, and I have talked with some 15 people about Santee Cooper and I read the newspaper 16 and I'm aware of what's going on right now. I have 17 all that experience from being active on the Central 18 board and the managing distribution cooperatives. I 19 don't know how you could know more. I'm not bragging but I know -- I know the business. 20 21 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Leaird. 22 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 23 SENATOR RANKIN: Any questions from the gentlemen at this point? If not, I'm going to kind 24 25 of jump in here. Do you have anything?

| | IVIAIGIT 29, 2010 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 17 REPRESENTATIVE MACK: (Moves head from |
| 2 | side to side.) |
| 3 | EXAMINATION |
| 4 | BY SENATOR RANKIN: |
| 5 | Q. Forgive me, I kind of ping pong back and |
| 6 | forth. My mind is perhaps like a pinball, so let me |
| 7 | go to your question about Number 4 and back in |
| 8 | your questionnaire preliminary questions. You said |
| 9 | I hope they survive; right? |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 11 | Q. You recall that? |
| 12 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 13 | Q. What does that mean? |
| 14 | A. Well, it would be a bad thing for South |
| 15 | Carolina not to have a public service authority in |
| 16 | existence. You know, they've been around all these |
| 17 | years and and they've they've done a great job |
| 18 | with economic development. There's been disputes |
| 19 | with the cooperatives, but there was always hope |
| 20 | that those disputes would be resolved. I don't I |
| 21 | don't know of anybody that just wants to see Santee |
| 22 | Cooper sold off. |
| 23 | But as I stated in my questionnaire the |
| 24 | rate the ratepayers come first. You know, if the |
| 25 | there's an opportunity to sell Santee Cooper and it |
| | |

| | March 23, 2010 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 18 benefits the State of South Carolina and the |
| 2 | ratepayers, I believe it ought to be done. |
| 3 | Q. Well, you are you are no stranger to |
| 4 | a long relationship with Santee Cooper. You, as |
| 5 | both Lynch River Lynches River's CEO and then the |
| 6 | Black River Co-Op's CEO, you are well aware of the |
| 7 | back and forth the relationship, the marriage |
| 8 | that you have your previous professional hat that |
| 9 | Central enjoyed with Santee Cooper. So this is |
| 10 | not this is not new to you; right? |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir. That's correct. |
| 12 | Q. You were asked you said you were |
| 13 | it was a no brainer not to do the V.C. Summer |
| 14 | decision but Central endorsed that decision and |
| 15 | agreed, I guess, by extension of the rates to 2058 |
| 16 | or thereabouts to pursue this plan; right? |
| 17 | A. That's correct. But it was not what |
| 18 | they wanted, in my opinion. |
| 19 | Q. Well, time has proven your no brainer |
| 20 | decision to be the wise decision but, nonetheless, |
| 21 | there was an agreement that you and the other Co-Ops |
| 22 | pursued with Santee Cooper to go into this project; |
| 23 | correct? |
| 24 | A. I, personally, did not ever agree |
| 25 | you know, Senator, I fought that thing like a tiger |
| | |

| 1 | 19 and and in the end I was told, well, if we don't |
|----|--|
| 2 | do it they're going to they're going to go ahead |
| 3 | and enter into the agreement and build the plant and |
| 4 | charge us for it and amortize it over through the |
| 5 | end of the existing contract, which I think went to |
| 6 | '28 which meant we would have to pay a whole lot |
| 7 | more as compared to being spread out to '58. It was |
| 8 | a matter of how long you're going to amortize it. |
| 9 | I personally think, and I want to |
| 10 | emphasize personally, that Central if they had |
| 11 | been steadfast in their objection might have saved |
| 12 | the day. But I also recall and this is |
| 13 | important when at a Board meeting Kelly Marsh, |
| 14 | who's the top executive with Electric & Gas, came |
| 15 | over to Central and someone asked him the question |
| 16 | what would you do if Santee Cooper would not be a |
| 17 | partner, he said, we're going to go ahead and do it |
| 18 | anyhow. We have the financial backing to do it. |
| 19 | That was his answer. |
| 20 | Q. So your point to that? |
| 21 | A. The point is is I don't think a small |

A. The point is is I don't think a small
group of people could have averted the construction.
Once it was rolling, it was rolling.

24 Q. And, again, that note, whenever -- what 25 is it? Success has many fathers, failure is a

| | IVIAIGIT 29, 2010 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 20 bastard child. Many of us, including the 170 |
| 2 | members or those who voted for the Base Load Review |
| 3 | Act, don't recall perhaps vividly being in support |
| 4 | of it. That decision was your opposition was |
| 5 | certainly the right decision as it turns out. |
| 6 | The selling of Santee Cooper, at the |
| 7 | outset I harken back to your history with this |
| 8 | subject and as a customer of the largest customer |
| 9 | of Santee Cooper. The political winds that are |
| 10 | blowing about Santee Cooper are not different than |
| 11 | they were back when Governor Sanford was in office. |
| 12 | Do you agree? |
| 13 | A. I agree with that. |
| 14 | Q. Except they're blowing in a totally |
| 15 | different direction now; right? |
| 16 | A. Outside of Governor Sanford and his |
| 17 | family, let's say, I don't think there was a whole |
| 18 | lot of interest in selling Santee Cooper back then. |
| 19 | But now the interest in selling Santee Cooper is |
| 20 | much broader. |
| 21 | Q. And where do you land on that? And not |
| 22 | you as the citizen, not you as the former CEO, but |
| 23 | you as the would-be appointee who is charged by |
| 24 | law, which I know you're aware of. If you are |
| 25 | successful in this and the Senate confirms you, |
| | |

21 where do you fall on to or not to sell Santee 1 2 Cooper? I would be against. If I'm confirmed I 3 Α. would be against selling. 4 5 Ο. And why? 6 Because I have a loyalty to Santee Α. 7 Cooper. And, again, I'm not --8 Q. 9 Α. I pledge to support them. 10 I'm not going to test you on what that 0. 11 is but you're familiar with it by either literally 12 or in subject that you, as a Santee Cooper director, 13 must discharge your duties in good faith with the 14 care an ordinary prudent person would exercise in a 15 manner you reasonably believe to be in the best 16 interest of Santee Cooper, defined and determined by 17 balancing three factors -- customer interest, 18 economic development of service area and 19 preservation of financial integrity of Santee 20 Cooper. Again, you know that; right? 21 I read that a great many times in the Α. 2.2 last two or three months, but that doesn't mean if 23 everything turns sour and it appears that there would be a better solution that a Board member would 24 25 blindly support not selling Santee Cooper -- well,



| 1 | 22 let's all let's face the facts. The Board of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Santee Cooper is not going to play much of a role, |
| 3 | if any, in the sale or the preservation of Santee |
| 4 | Cooper as I see it. I think that rests totally with |
| 5 | the General Assembly and the Governor. |
| 6 | Q. Before we changed the law ten years ago |
| 7 | it was assumed that the Governor could do it. But |
| 8 | we changed the law that allows only now the General |
| 9 | Assembly to have that right; correct? |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir. That's correct. |
| 11 | Q. And you recall, again, back in the day |
| 12 | you worked you were on Central when? When did |
| 13 | you |
| 14 | A. When I retired, Senator, I was the |
| 15 | longest serving member that had ever been on the |
| 16 | Central board. I was on there for 45 years. |
| 17 | Q. So what I'll call it going off-line, |
| 18 | some would call it rogue behavior of some of the |
| 19 | Board members back in the day. We won't name names, |
| 20 | but those who either with the blessing, with a wink |
| 21 | and a nod of the Governor or his family played |
| 22 | footsie with the credit rating agencies, Credit |
| 23 | Suisse particularly, with an eye towards evaluating |
| 24 | its potential sale. So fast forward to today and |
| 25 | you've been your Question Number 3 answer: I |



23 believe that transparency would have prevented some 1 2 of the past mistakes. Do you particularly cite or take issue 3 with any of the Board members or their action or 4 behavior with the lens of what their duty is and 5 what your duty will be if you're confirmed? 6 7 Α. Going forward? Looking back. Q. 8 9 Looking back. Α. 10 You had made the answer to Question 3, 0. 11 again: I also believe that transparency would 12 prevented some of the past mistakes. 13 Are you citing not -- not trying to name 14 names, but what conduct that has been violated by 15 corporately the Board that you think calls into 16 question their transparency? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Or their fiduciary duty? 0. 19 Yes. Let me -- let me explain it this Α. 20 As I recall when the Santee Cooper people came way. 21 to Central and told them that they were going to 2.2 enter into an agreement with Electric & Gas as a 45 23 percent partner to build a nuclear plant, the 24 decisions were already made to do it. Central did 25 not have any -- in my recollection Central did not



24 have any input into the decision to do it. 1 Thev 2 came to Central and said we need you to extend this contract so we can get -- we can borrow money to do 3 it, is basically what it amounted to. 4 I certainly think if -- in the 5 6 generation planning phase of Santee Cooper if it had 7 been more transparent about what their intentions were that situation -- the predicament we find 8 ourselves in today may not -- make it a better 9 10 burden. 11 Not to belabor the point, but what 0. 12 specifically are you citing that could have been 13 averted that had they done it with more transparency 14 we could have averted? Do you have an example that 15 you're pointing to? 16 Α. No specific -- specific example, 17 Senator. 18 The question of debt in your -- again, 0. 19 you rated that as the Number 1 issue. Answer 10, 20 the biggest challenge being the \$8 billion debt and 21 adverse effects from the debt. 2.2 Are you -- if you have it I would 23 commend you to reading it, the Moody's report issued 24 February 26, 2018 that specifically addresses the 25 financial stability and rating -- credit rating of

| | 25 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 25 Santee Cooper. Are you familiar with that Moody's |
| 2 | report? |
| 3 | A. I'm familiar with the flier that they |
| 4 | sent out, but I haven't read the report. |
| 5 | Q. Well, the \$8 billion in debt in terms of |
| 6 | its Santee Cooper's leverage historically |
| 7 | again, but for taking on V.C. Summer 45 percent, if |
| 8 | you can back that out which is, again, an incredible |
| 9 | hypothetical, has Santee Cooper not always been very |
| 10 | heavily leveraged? |
| 11 | A. They I'm and I'm not certain about |
| 12 | this, it's just my personal recollection. They |
| 13 | never had a strong net worth. In other words, |
| 14 | they they have been leveraged, that's correct. |
| 15 | And that's been their theory, you know, to stay |
| 16 | leveraged, I believe. |
| 17 | Q. Because they don't have to issue |
| 18 | they're not issuing capital. It is, as you say, a |
| 19 | quasi |
| 20 | A. That's correct. |
| 21 | Q. So they don't they have borrowing |
| 22 | capacity. They can't go to the market and raise |
| 23 | capital and sell a private equity private shares; |
| 24 | right? |
| 25 | A. Would you mind repeating it? |
| | |

Г

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | 26 Q. We're on the same page on that. And |
| 2 | this is I may be far in the weeds but I guess |
| 3 | the question is there's a sense someone could call |
| 4 | it a false narrative, some could call it an accurate |
| 5 | narrative, that Santee Cooper cannot survive under |
| 6 | its existing financial structure that is teetering |
| 7 | on the brink of collapse. And, again, I'm |
| 8 | paraphrasing but there's two different camps on |
| 9 | this. Where would you if you had an opinion on |
| 10 | that, where would you fall? |
| 11 | A. In a market market conditions and |
| 12 | rate comparability, market conditions and rate |
| 13 | comparability has a lot to do with the utility |
| 14 | business. In other words, if a consumer says that I |
| 15 | can buy from Electric & Gas cheaper than I can buy |
| 16 | from Duke Power Company, which is actually opposite |
| 17 | right now, but that puts pressure on the higher |
| 18 | utility to have a good relationship with the |
| 19 | consumer, let's say. |
| 20 | Going specifically right to the question |
| 21 | that you asked me, I do think there is a path that |
| 22 | Santee Cooper could survive with the debt that |
| 23 | they've got. It's going to be very difficult. But |
| 24 | if you look at the rates that they have right now |
| 25 | they're not not all that bad, you know. They're |



| 1 | 27 pretty much the same as Duke Power Company. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And there's been talk of having to raise |
| 3 | that rate and if you can't hear me at any |
| 4 | point |
| 5 | A. I can hear you. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. There's been talk of raising the |
| 7 | rate to go seven percent, I've heard perhaps nine |
| 8 | percent over the balance of the term of the bonds. |
| 9 | Have you got an opinion of whether that is a viable |
| 10 | decision and, again, whether that would comport with |
| 11 | any credit rating agencies' opinions? |
| 12 | A. Well, they traditionally have had one |
| 13 | and a half times debt service coverage to to get |
| 14 | the bond rating that they've got. And they've got |
| 15 | to keep that effort or the bond ratings are going to |
| 16 | go down. They're leveraged up to the top, Senator, |
| 17 | in my opinion. |
| 18 | Q. The political challenge which you say, |
| 19 | again, in answer to Question 10 earlier was the |
| 20 | second biggest is the political, again, challenge |
| 21 | which we've touched on. I'm curious, you have been |
| 22 | nominated by a governor who makes no secret of the |
| 23 | fact, repeatedly to the public, that the only path |
| 24 | forward for Santee Cooper is to sell it. Do you |
| 25 | agree with his opinion and, if not, where do you |



disagree?

1

| 2 | A. Well, the Governor never asked me what |
|----|---|
| 3 | my position was. The only thing the Governor ever |
| 4 | asked asked me was my qualifications. He didn't |
| 5 | indicate that he was talking to me one way or the |
| 6 | other about his desires to sell or not sell. So, |
| 7 | frankly, I don't know where the Governor is. I'm |
| 8 | just going to be honest with you. So it wouldn't be |
| 9 | proper for me to say whether I agree with him or |
| 10 | not, Senator, in my position right now. |
| 11 | Q. Well, I'm going to disagree with you |
| 12 | because you while you have been nominated by him |
| 13 | as you at the outset said what you read in the |
| 14 | papers. I guess my particular question is, have you |
| 15 | had a discussion with Governor McMaster about his |
| 16 | intentions to sell this and your position and |
| 17 | whether you agree with him or not? |
| 18 | A. No, sir. He has not brought that |
| 19 | subject up with me. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You said that you had discussed |
| 21 | in preparation for today's hearing and/or this |
| 22 | nomination process or confirmation process with a |
| 23 | number of people. Who have you discussed in |
| 24 | preparation for today or this process? Who all have |
| 25 | you discussed your nomination with? |

| 1 | 29 A. I talked I've talked with Central |
|----|--|
| 2 | people a little bit, just curious as to know what |
| 3 | their positions were. They did not divulge to me |
| 4 | in-depth their business. I've talked with the |
| 5 | Association of Electric Cooperatives a little bit, |
| 6 | they didn't say much except they supported my |
| 7 | candidacy. |
| 8 | I've talked with some people at Santee |
| 9 | Cooper, I've talked with some Santee Cooper board |
| 10 | members, and basically what I've told all three is |
| 11 | that I'm in the middle. I'm not committed one way |
| 12 | or the other. If I get to serve, if the Senate |
| 13 | confirms me, I will serve with an open mind and in |
| 14 | the best interest of the ratepayers of South |
| 15 | Carolina. I'm not hooked to anybody. |
| 16 | Q. And I appreciate that answer because, |
| 17 | ultimately, again, going back to your qualifications |
| 18 | your loyalty, your fealty does not lie with the |
| 19 | Governor. It allies or lies upon or rests with |
| 20 | the best interests of Santee Cooper. Again, |
| 21 | customer interest, economic development of the |
| 22 | service area and preservation of financial integrity |
| 23 | of Santee Cooper. That's your gold star, that's |
| 24 | your pillar that you're to follow, not the political |
| 25 | winds of this group who a decade before is to |
| | |

AWR

preserve it/a decade later to sell it. 1 Do you 2 agree? 3 Α. I agree. Your -- tagging back again to my 4 0. invitation of ping pong or pinball, I'm quilty of 5 it, but specifically Question Number 4. You -- you 6 7 It is hard to visualize a model going answered: forward that would not require a heavy burden for 8 9 the ratepayers. 10 Do you think -- again, with your 11 experience in this industry, that there is a free 12 lunch and that we should be able to walk away from 13 the debt without some consequence? 14 Α. No, I don't believe that. 15 0. So what -- how do you define what makes 16 the heavy -- the burden heavy for the ratepayers? 17 Is there a particular percentage going up? 18 Well, the debt service is X amount, Α. 19 whatever it is, on \$8 or 9 billion. That's a direct 20 ongoing expense, it's got to be put into the rate 21 If it were less, it would be less. The rate base. 2.2 would be less -- in theory. 23 All these years Santee Cooper's had one 24 tremendous advantage. They've had very little in 25 lieu -- payments to the State in lieu of income tax,

31 they've not paid much property tax, they've had a 1 2 tremendous advantage in selling bonds. I looked at the overall cost on the bonds outstanding and it's 3 in the neighborhood of three and a half percent. 4 They've done a great job, you know, placing their 5 bonds at a very low rate. Their commercial rate is 6 7 even lower than that. We should have a very good electric rate from Santee Cooper with all those 8 9 breaks. 10 And is that -- do you disagree with 0. 11 that as the history and that is the charge and the 12 advantage? I mean, is that a bad thing? 13 No, sir. That's not a bad thing, it's a Α. 14 good thing. But I'm saying it should have showed up 15 on the bottom line. 16 0. And do you think that it has not shown 17 up on the bottom line? 18 Not as much as -- I've never -- in my Α. 19 experience on the Central board there's not been a 20 high degree of satisfaction that they were charging 21 the right rates. 2.2 And I'm chasing a rabbit. Take me down 0. 23 that rabbit hole. What -- what do you mean? 24 Well, you know, if you're buying Α. 25 insurance from State Farm and they send you a \$900

| | 32 |
|----|--|
| 1 | bill for your automobile and you think it's too |
| 2 | high, I guess any consumer buying it would be |
| 3 | natural for individual distribution cooperatives to |
| 4 | think that the rate that they were getting from |
| 5 | Santee Cooper may be too high. Significantly I |
| 6 | don't think that we were ever real comfortable, |
| 7 | let's put it this way, with the rate-making process. |
| 8 | Q. And that which has been factored into |
| 9 | the whatever the contract would send it into |
| 10 | 2058, the Intergovernmental what is your term for |
| 11 | that? |
| 12 | A. They call it the Coordination Agreement, |
| 13 | I believe. They the Central position was it |
| 14 | achieved some advantages like you know when |
| 15 | you're buying the cost of service contract it's just |
| 16 | like you know what that is. So I think they |
| 17 | thought that they were able to achieve some |
| 18 | parameters as far as the cost was concerned, let's |
| 19 | say, and maybe some other things. But in hindsight, |
| 20 | of course, it was not beneficial. |
| 21 | Q. And, again, one little further step down |
| 22 | this rabbit hole chase. Your rates not yours but |
| 23 | your predecessor's rates would be higher than the |
| 24 | Santee Cooper residential rate; correct? |

25

A. Yes, sir. I believe it always was.

| March 29, 20 |
|---|
| 3 As as close as we could manage Black River we |
| never could get it right down to the bottom line |
| where Santee Cooper was. But Santee Cooper's |
| residential business is vastly different from the |
| electric cooperatives' residential business. Santee |
| Cooper I don't know what their density is along |
| the Coast, and you know very well where it is, it's |
| very high as compared to any other power company in |
| South Carolina let's say. So they had this |
| advantage of all the watermelons in the truck, you |
| know, whereas the electric Co-Op has to struggle |
| with seven or eight or nine members per mile. The |
| discharges are much greater. |
| Q. The conversations with folks in |
| preparation of this, you cited a number of \$15 |
| billion as in two scenarios of that as a price. |
| Where did that figure come from? |
| A. I read that in the newspaper. That's |
| NextEra's offer as been reported in the newspaper. |
| I don't have any factual basis for that. |
| Q. Because reading it in the paper don't |
| make it so necessarily; right? |
| A. Well, even you've got to be careful |
| these days. |

Q. So have you had any conversations with

б

| 1 | 34 anyone from NextEra? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. No, sir. |
| 3 | Q. Or any other would-be buyer? |
| 4 | A. No, sir. |
| 5 | Q. All right. And you gave two scenarios, |
| 6 | one that would if the 15 billion was put back into |
| 7 | the rate base that would not be a check or a |
| 8 | positive for the ratepayers, the second if the \$8 |
| 9 | billion debt was put back into the rate base |
| 10 | flesh that out a little more for me. How are you |
| 11 | deciding on one is a good and the other is a bad? |
| 12 | A. I was just using that as an example. |
| 13 | Moreover let's put it this way. If a power |
| 14 | company an out-of-state power company comes in |
| 15 | here and buys Santee Cooper and as they would put |
| 16 | all their costs into the rate base, I don't have any |
| 17 | reason to believe that they could manage Santee |
| 18 | Cooper better than Santee Cooper could. So if we |
| 19 | end up with the same rate to the ratepayers, that's |
| 20 | an advantage. |
| 21 | Q. Those rates are going to go up? |
| 22 | A. I know. Senator, they've gone up all my |
| 23 | life every ten years, let's say. They have to |
| 24 | have a rate increase. When you're in the utility |
| 25 | business and rates were going down, I often said a |
| | |

monkey could run a utility. But you're in the 1 2 utility business and the rates are going up, that's when it gets tough. 3 The two final areas --4 0. SENATOR RANKIN: I feel like I need to 5 6 apologize to the chairman because I'm just talking 7 and talking and talking. Y'all jump in if you have any questions or leave if you're tired of me asking 8 9 my questions. 10 Mr. Leaird, perhaps more acutely to you, Ο. 11 I'm almost done. Economic development, we have not 12 talked about that. Do you have page and verse 13 examples of -- Exhibit A, B, C examples of positive 14 impact that Santee Cooper has had in the State of 15 South Carolina with regard to that second marker, 16 that being economic development? 17 Yes, sir. Santee Cooper has always been Α. 18 a positive player with economic development and they 19 had a close association with electric Co-Ops in that 20 regard and they provided incentives which is important. I don't know that -- I don't know how 21 2.2 they're justified, but they are important. 23 I had an opportunity a while back when I 24 was managing Black River, we had located two 25 Caterpillar plants in Sumter. And we went up to



York, Pennsylvania and -- along with members of the Palmetto Economic Development Corporation and Santee Cooper and represented the State of South Carolina and ourselves very well and were able to make a deal and get that business and it meant a lot to the people who work in Sumter, South Carolina, that specific example.

8 Anything that any utility can do to help 9 locate an industry and keep an industry in South 10 Carolina is a good thing. Of course, there's always 11 a limit as to how much resources you could put into 12 it.

13 And, again, in your \$15 billion if the Ο. 14 rate is -- that's put back into the rate base, 15 hypothetical, the ability for a would-be buyer --16 and, again, we only have on the table effectively 17 one offer, that being from NextEra who is based in 18 Florida, do you have any concerns whether they could 19 fulfill the duty or the past history of economic 20 development for the State of South Carolina?

A. I don't have any real reason to doubt that they would not be good or -- I just don't think that managing a South Carolina business from Tallahassee or wherever they're located would do as well as managing it from Moncks Corner.

37 Okav. Consultants. You mentioned that 1 0. 2 if you have to hire a consultant, you know, again it's not -- you're not managing it well -- something 3 to that effect. 4 Well, here's the problem with 5 Α. consultants in my opinion. You don't know -- let's 6 7 say you were going to hire a consul -- a consultant to help us understand this problem or help us solve 8 9 this problem and the consultant comes and he 10 assesses the situation and gives you an opinion. 11 I've seen cases where that didn't work very well. 12 My position is if you know -- if you know your business, you know what the problems are, 13 14 you have the resources to do it, come closer to 15 solving the problem than a consultant can. 16 0. Is there a particular example of a 17 recent employment of a consultant that has peaked 18 your interest in your noting that to us today? 19 No, sir. That's experience from over Α. 20 the years. 21 How does that relate to Santee Cooper, Ο. 2.2 though? 23 Well, I think they've relied -- based on Α. 24 my opinion with -- excuse me. Based on my 25 conversations with some of the other Board members, A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

scheduledepo.com

 $A \|W\| R$

38 I think they relied heavily on the use of 1 2 consultants. And who have they indicated --3 Ο. Α. They didn't name --4 5 What type consultants? 0. 6 They didn't name one, Senator. It's --Α. 7 you know, I know that they engaged consultants today, you know, you read that in the paper. You 8 may know more about it than I do, I'm sure you do. 9 10 You're talking about the press release 0. vesterday of Clear View or Clear somebody? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Who was hired by Santee Cooper? Ο. 14 Α. To help them evaluate what the business 15 was worth and possibly to advise them on a sale. 16 Ο. Do you think that that is a violation, 17 if that is a Board decision, of their legal 18 fiduciary duty to the ratepayers? 19 Α. I don't know. I can't answer that 20 question. 21 What would you need to know to form an 0. 2.2 answer to that question? What information would you 23 need to know? 24 I need to have a better understanding of Α. 25 the law.

39 With regard to your fiduciary duty or ... 1 Ο. 2 No, with regard to the General Α. 3 Assembly's responsibility. And, again, just a rabbit chase here, 4 0. 5 what -- what -- what makes your answer one way or 6 the other? If the law is X then you're aware that 7 the law is Y, you're where? Α. Well, I believe the Governor's 8 questioned the fact that it would have been 9 10 better -- I think he stated in the newspaper, for 11 whatever that's worth, that it would be better for 12 the General Assembly to make that decision to hire 13 the consultant rather than Santee Cooper do it. Т 14 don't know whether that's right or not. 15 If there is -- and no doubt there is not 0. 16 any question about the Governor's position on 17 selling Santee Cooper. But if there's a doubt, if 18 there's some preconceived notion that 170 members of 19 the General Assembly want to sell Santee Cooper, the 20 best offer come as they may, is it not in your 21 charge under the law, existing law, in your 2.2 fiduciary duty to have some sense of weighing one 23 offer versus another? Though it is not your 24 decision as a Board member to sell or not to sell, 25 do you think that that's a violation of a fiduciary

duty for you as a Board member --

A. No, I don't.

3

1

2

Q. -- for the ratepayer?

A. No, I don't. I'm just questioning
whether my own judgment -- staff judgment and other
Board members' judgment would be less than -- than
that of a consultant. That's where I was coming
from on that issue.

9 We -- you may not be but you're familiar 0. 10 with, again, the political winds of all things Santee Cooper/V.C. Summer. And myself and others 11 12 have been charged to study this subject, again 13 SCANA, SCE&G and Santee Cooper. And I don't recall 14 the date but we were in the kind of wrap-up session 15 and there was discussion of an evaluation that 16 someone in the General Assembly has commissioned to 17 appraise the value of Santee Cooper. That \$15 18 billion figure that you offered that you have 19 divined from the paper or read in the paper has some 20 basis from some reports, somebody, some spoken word 21 perhaps.

At that hearing I made the motion that Santee Cooper should have the ability to do the very thing to appraise its own value in my efforts to try to look out for the ratepayer. Do you think that

AWR

1 that is a wrong opinion, that my view of that is off
2 base, if you understand my question?

3 Α. I understand. It depends on the consultant and I think what I was trying to point 4 5 out, the point I was trying to make, Senator, a consultant walks into the room, you've got to be 6 7 able to sit down and say this is what we want you to Then the next thing that happens is he says, I 8 do. need answers to all these questions. It might take 9 10 him a month to come up with all the answers.

11 Well, you've got that in your 12 possession, management knows about it. Those 13 answers -- they give the answers to the consultants. 14 Why is it not in our best interest to make our own 15 decisions rather than relying on the consultants? 16 I'm not saying a consultant is always bad, but I'm just saying I wouldn't -- I wouldn't put all my 17 18 rate-making -- or decision-making facts in the court 19 of the consultant.

20 Q. And I recall the longtime familiarity 21 with what you have been acutely aware of, and I 22 think there was some effort long ago for a cost of 23 service analysis that you, on behalf of Central, 24 either successfully persuaded Santee Cooper to 25 obtain or were chagrinned when they didn't do it.

42 1 Do you recall that? There was a cost of service analysis 2 Α. done on their residential business. 3 That's been eight, ten -- however many 4 0. 5 years? 6 Yes, at least. Α. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. Maybe 15. 9 And that was done by some consultant? 0. 10 I don't think so. I -- could have been Α. 11 a consultant involved, but I think Santee Cooper 12 finally gave the figures -- you know, Central can do 13 They have the ability to do that. that. 14 0. I -- forgive me if it appears that I'm 15 trying to pick on the subject of the consultant, but 16 it seemed more relevant given the news release 17 yesterday of an employment of a consultant on 18 evaluation of Santee Cooper. So as you know, if 19 you've read the paper in the last few days, the 20 Senate employed a consultant to help us to determine what rate the interim rate could be set at that 21 2.2 SCANA is charging its customers. Paige White was 23 employed by the South Carolina Senate to come up 24 with that view because, admittedly, we are way in 25 over our heads on that subject and whether that

opinion is credible or not can be debated beyond.
 But that was an example of us needing help that we
 are not capable --

4

A. Right.

-- of performing on our own. Back to 5 0. 6 this employment of financial evaluation by Santee 7 Cooper, do you have an opinion as to whether that was a prudent decision and that you, within your 8 obligation and your fiduciary duty, that you would 9 10 have agreed with or disagreed with if you were 11 consulted as a Board member about the decision to 12 employ them?

13 I would -- if I were a sitting member of Α. Santee Cooper's board, I'd have an opinion on that. 14 15 I certainly wouldn't have done it in the executive There's rate consultants all over the 16 session. 17 place, Senator, and they have formulas that they go 18 by and they're all the same. I mean, you just put 19 the numbers in and turn the crank and it's not a 20 mystery, you know. I know that the Senate 21 wouldn't -- or your staff wouldn't be capable of 2.2 doing it, but there is -- must be 100 consultants 23 close by that can do it. All these power companies 24 can do it.

25

Q. But that's specifically as to rates

State Regulation of Public Utilities Screening Subccmeating Before the Honorable Luke A Rankin, Sr March 29, 2018 44 you're talking about or in general as to valuation? 1 2 Well, rates -- I was speaking of rates Α. 3 there. That's not what Santee Cooper's done. 4 0. Clear View -- is it Clear View -- Clear somebody. 5 6 Yes, I read that. Yes, sir, I read Α. 7 that. Is that a rate analysis? 8 Q. I was not familiar with it. I don't 9 Α. 10 know. 11 A Moody's investor service, a Moody's. 0. 12 Is that a consultant, again, of a different ilk or 13 is that somebody that we should give heed to, their 14 opinions? Is it... I don't know. I couldn't -- I can't 15 Α. answer that. I just don't know enough about them. 16 17 Okay. 0. There is some law in South Carolina 18 Α. 19 about how you evaluate a utility, about -- if one --20 for instance, if a municipal kicks out a Co-Op and 21 the Co-Op has to rebuild or partial plan and lose 2.2 customers and all that sort of thing, there's a 23 standard that you go by to determine the damages. 24 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. All right. 25 Representative?

AWR

| | Maich 29, 2010 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 45 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Mack. |
| 2 | SENATOR RANKIN: I know who you are. |
| 3 | REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Thank you, |
| 4 | Mr. Chairman. A quick question and a very, very |
| 5 | quick commentary that you can respond to if you'd |
| 6 | like. |
| 7 | EXAMINATION |
| 8 | BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK: |
| 9 | Q. The question is you touched on some |
| 10 | of it. Going forward, what with your experience do |
| 11 | you believe we should do? Because, again, it's a |
| 12 | very complex area and I've used the term many times |
| 13 | in these meetings and with the media that in my |
| 14 | estimation, and I think in everybody's estimation, |
| 15 | we're not going to quote, unquote, make the |
| 16 | ratepayer whole, that's not going to happen based on |
| 17 | what has happened. But going forward, your thoughts |
| 18 | pragmatically on what we should do? |
| 19 | A. What we should do, do you mean the |
| 20 | General Assembly? |
| 21 | Q. For the ratepayer no, with regards to |
| 22 | everyone in this. The General Assembly, the Board, |
| 23 | with regards to your position, your philosophy, with |
| 24 | your background, what do you believe should be done |
| 25 | for the ratepayer? |
| | |

CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK A. Well, you can't be frugal in managing your business unless you manage -- you've got to imagine you've heard the old phrase you've got to manage the pennies before you manage the dimes and the quarters and the dollars and you get on up the figures like has been discussed here.

7 Santee Cooper in the future has got to make sure -- and I'm not inferring that they haven't 8 done this in past. I'm just saying in the future 9 10 they've got to make every dollar count, and they 11 certainly cannot make any more mistakes like they've 12 made in the past. You know, we've talked about the 13 nuclear thing here this morning, there was the 14 other -- the other situation where they tried to 15 build a coal plant over there and that failed. 16 That's the type of mistakes that they cannot afford 17 to make in the future. And they need a board that 18 will be very vigilant as far as their spending is 19 concerned.

20 Q. And my very, very quick commentary as 21 relates to consultants. I'm of the belief that 22 consultants in anything that you have them in, you 23 make the decision who's going to come in and how 24 much advice you're going to take from them if any. 25 Hundred percent, 50 percent, ten percent, zero --



47 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MR. JONES 1 and even if it's five to ten percent, that might be a significant five or ten percent; but, at the same 2 time it's the responsibility of that entity -- in 3 this case we're talking about Santee Cooper. It's 4 their responsibility ultimately, and you've said it 5 many times, to make the decision, to make the call, б to watch the dollars, to decide what direction we're 7 going to go and how we're going to get there. 8

But I think in terms of consultants --9 10 of course we live in a global society now, just not 11 only somebody from this country but anyone with 12 expertise -- but the decision has to be made with 13 Santee Cooper or whomever that entity is how much, 14 if any, of that information they're going to get 15 from consultants. So I don't think we should just 16 rule out consultants but you have to be very, very 17 careful with regard to the bottom line how you're 18 going to use any of that information if at all.

A. Representative Mack, that's exactly thepoint that I was trying to make.

21 22

24

23

REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Okay.

SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. JONES:

A

R

| | March 29, 2016 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 48 CHARLES H. LEAIRD - EX. BY MR. JONES Q. And thank you for your service and I |
| 2 | might say your willingness to serve. The this |
| 3 | question that I only had one but it may open up |
| 4 | another can of worms and we'll have to defer. You |
| 5 | were on Central's board for a long time still |
| б | are? |
| 7 | A. No, sir. I'm not on it now. |
| 8 | Q. You were for a long time? |
| 9 | A. That's correct. |
| 10 | Q. When the decision was made to build VC1, |
| 11 | what was different? What changed things? That was |
| 12 | a partnership, agreed upon. Were you in favor of |
| 13 | that, to build a joint joint ownership? |
| 14 | A. I don't remember any discussion about |
| 15 | that, Mr. Jones, but one of the things I said about |
| 16 | 2 and 3 we would be a lot better off if we had just |
| 17 | built 1 instead of 2. I believe that the V.C. |
| 18 | Summer 1 was built somewhere around 1980, in that |
| 19 | time frame. There was a more favorable attitude |
| 20 | toward building a nuclear plant amongst the public |
| 21 | than there is now, and they had a tremendous problem |
| 22 | with building that first plant. You remember they |
| 23 | had all kinds of work stoppages and work-related |
| 24 | abuses and delivery problems same problems that |
| 25 | happened right now. |
| | |

| | Watch 29, 2010 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 49 Q. That's why I wondered. |
| 2 | A. You recall that and I do, too. |
| 3 | Q. Why why go back and do it again? |
| 4 | A. Well, that's a good question. |
| 5 | Q. Of course, I'm looking back. |
| 6 | A. That's a great question. |
| 7 | Q. But I I feel like and you brought |
| 8 | up transparency. And the partnership seems to lack |
| 9 | some communication or a lot of communication |
| 10 | among the co-owners. I just wondered if you |
| 11 | could I don't guess you would bring it to one |
| 12 | issue, but there's a huge difference in the |
| 13 | cooperation it seems. I mean, things that I've read |
| 14 | in discovery are incredible. |
| 15 | EXAMINATION |
| 16 | BY SENATOR RANKIN: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Mr. Leaird, I appreciate your |
| 18 | hanging out here with us and the we were just |
| 19 | pulling up the press release yesterday about this |
| 20 | group to confirm the name of it. Centerview |
| 21 | Partners, hired in part to help, quote, seek |
| 22 | settlement recoveries from SCANA. Again, the press |
| 23 | reporting this: Financial advisers also agree to, |
| 24 | quote, participate in negotiations between the |
| 25 | utilities. Contract released Wednesday floats |
| | |

AWR

50 possibility of a cash settlement or other kind of 1 2 arrangements such as discounted electricity. And that, again, in the vein of a 3 consultant being hired. And I have heard, as you 4 mentioned, the fact that that was done in executive 5 session as a big problem. Governor McMaster cites 6 7 apparently the law that prohibits, as we talked earlier, about the Sanford era days of the Board 8 privately pursuing negotiations of a sale that they 9 10 not inquire into the feasibility of the sale of its 11 assets is the mandate of the 2005 law that, again, 12 we're talking about here. That, again, makes it 13 clearer not at all to me what the purpose of their 14 role is here. 15 So I'm going to ask you a couple of 16 things and then let you close it, if you want to 17 make some closing statements, and unless there's 18 other questions we'll wrap this up.

So, again, do you have a position any differently now, after this long conversation, on the sale of Santee Cooper and the opinion you stated earlier?

A. Well, I knew I was going to say too muchwhen I came in here, that's just my nature.

Q. You haven't, let me assure you. For my

purposes you have not; otherwise, I'd quit talking
 and ask you questions if you said too much.

I can't take my Co -- Co-Op and cut it 3 Α. off and throw it in the trash, you know. So a lot 4 of my experience and opinions come from that. You 5 know, the best way to leave it is we've got to be in 6 7 favor of doing the best we can by the ratepayers. We've got to go back to the basics and see where we 8 can make some progress. I don't have anything that 9 10 I would change.

11 Q. So your opinion is that it should not be 12 sold?

13 Well, it shouldn't be sold for a get out Α. 14 of business price. I'm saying if -- I would work diligently not to sell it. But if it appeared that 15 16 it was in the best interest to the ratepayers, in 17 other words, they're going to give them a cheaper 18 rate and better service, you know, the question 19 about the rates, Senator, all that is in play, I 20 just don't see Santee Cooper going away. I hope 21 not. But some -- if the General Assembly voted to 2.2 sell Santee Cooper, I would more likely side with 23 the General Assembly.

24 Q. Well -- and, ultimately, it isn't your 25 decision, but your answer that you would pursue your

| | Maich 29, 2010 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 52 diligence or, again, whatever the exact words you |
| 2 | said to not put in a position to not sell it is all |
| 3 | that we can ask of you because, ultimately, you |
| 4 | won't decide. 170 members |
| 5 | A. That's right. |
| 6 | Q of the General Assembly will. |
| 7 | A. That's right. |
| 8 | Q. You invoked the coat the Co-Op coat |
| 9 | and which no one would ever ask you to take off |
| 10 | your past, your experiences define who you are. Is |
| 11 | there a conflict, in your view, of your historical |
| 12 | coat that you wore and Santee Cooper? And if there |
| 13 | is you were asked earlier in the general sense a |
| 14 | conflict, what would you do? We know what |
| 15 | everyone would recuse, denounce, et cetera, |
| 16 | particularly with Central and Lynches and Black |
| 17 | River. Do you see any conflict of your ability to |
| 18 | carry out your fiduciary duty on behalf of the |
| 19 | ratepayer? |
| 20 | A. No, sir. I think all the Co-Ops are |
| 21 | asking for is a fair deal, a level playing field. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. |
| 23 | A. They don't want to harm Santee Cooper. |
| 24 | Q. Anything you'd like to say in closing? |
| 25 | A. I had some things I wanted to say but I |
| | A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Page 52 scheduledepo.com Page 52 |

| 1 | 53 think I'll leave it where it is. |
|----|---|
| 2 | It's just one other thing that troubles |
| 3 | me a little bit is all these lawsuits that are |
| 4 | flying around. You know, everybody's got everybody |
| 5 | in a suit. And one of the reasons in this hearing |
| 6 | that I wanted to state what my position was way back |
| 7 | about the building of the nuclear plants is I don't |
| 8 | want to get sued in this matter. You know, I want |
| 9 | to make sure that I have my little retirement nest |
| 10 | egg when I meet my maker. |
| 11 | Q. And you mean, for the record, personally |
| 12 | sued, not as the Board or not as the Santee Cooper |
| 13 | who is in litigation now? |
| 14 | A. Sir, say again, please? |
| 15 | Q. You're stating that you were against |
| 16 | V.C. Summer, you fought like a tiger and I think you |
| 17 | earlier way back said that you were against this. |
| 18 | Your not wanting to be sued is in the context of |
| 19 | you, personally, as a would-be board member? |
| 20 | A. That's correct. |
| 21 | Q. Because you know you are in litigation |
| 22 | now not you, but Santee Cooper is in litigation? |
| 23 | A. I do know that. |
| 24 | Q. With the world, with Central, and not |
| 25 | and SCANA, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. But, |
| | A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Page 53 scheduledepo.com Page 53 |

| | Maich 29, 2018 |
|----|---|
| 1 | 54 again, yours is from a personal |
| 2 | A. That's correct. |
| 3 | Q standpoint, which who would argue |
| 4 | with you on that? |
| 5 | A. That's right. |
| б | Q. With that as the context you are walking |
| 7 | into it freely, willingly and voluntarily, you want |
| 8 | to do this still? |
| 9 | A. Yes, sir. I do. I've thought about it |
| 10 | a good bit. I do want to do it. |
| 11 | Q. And I appreciate your as Mr. Jones |
| 12 | said at the outset of his comments, I appreciate |
| 13 | your willingness to do this. You are walking into |
| 14 | perhaps the most volatile, the most odd, most |
| 15 | political trauma that this state has seen that makes |
| 16 | the 2005 era with Sanford's appointees and their |
| 17 | their rogue behavior look tame. And so your |
| 18 | willingness to do this, God speed to you. You're |
| 19 | going to have to have Solomon's wisdom as your |
| 20 | guidepost as well as the law so with that, unless |
| 21 | there's any comments, anything further you'd like to |
| 22 | add? Otherwise we'll close. |
| 23 | A. No, sir. |
| 24 | SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Leaird. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: You're welcome. |
| | A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Page 54 scheduledepo.com Page 54 |



56 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 3 SS: 4 5 COUNTY OF LEXINGTON б 7 8 I, Lori S. Mortge, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 9 South Carolina at large, do hereby certify that the 10 above-entitled cause was heard as hereinafter set 11 12 out; that I was authorized to and did report in 13 shorthand the proceedings and evidence adduced and offered in the said proceedings, and that the 14 foregoing and annexed pages, numbered 2 through 55, 15 16 inclusive, comprise a true and correct transcription 17 of my stenographic report of the said cause taken during the said hearing. 18 19 In witness whereof, I have hereunto 20 affixed my signature this 30th day of March, 2018. 21 22 23 24 CCR 25 Lori S. Mortge, CCR and Notary Public My Commission Expires: 12/13/26 A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Page 56 A∥W R scheduledepo.com

| | | | March 29, 2018 |
|----|--|---------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | INDEX | | 57 |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | Page | Line |
| 4 | CHARLES H. LEAIRD | 2 | 20 |
| 5 | EXAMINATION | 4 | 22 |
| 6 | BY MS. ANDERSON | | |
| 7 | EXAMINATION | 17 | 3 |
| 8 | BY SENATOR RANKIN | | |
| 9 | EXAMINATION | 45 | 7 |
| 10 | BY REPRESENTATIVE MACK | | |
| 11 | EXAMINATION | 47 | 24 |
| 12 | BY MR. JONES | | |
| 13 | EXAMINATION | 49 | 15 |
| 14 | BY SENATOR RANKIN | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | REQUESTED INFORMAT | ION INDEX | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | (No Information Re | equested) | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOC | CIATES (800) | 743-depo |
| | A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSO scheduledepo.c | CIATES (800) 743-E com | DEPO Page 57 |

| 1 | EXHIBITS | 58 |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Page Line | |
| 4 | EXH. 1, Edited Senate 5 15 | |
| 5 | Confirmation Personal Data | |
| 6 | Questionnaire | |
| 7 | EXH. 2, Mr. Charles Leaird - 6 2 | |
| 8 | Preliminary Questions | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPC |) |
| | A W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO scheduledepo.com | Page 58 |

| | | 1 | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2015 | | advantages | 24 6:5 11:23 16:21 |
| Exhibits | 6:9 | 9 | 32:14 | annual |
| LEAIRD.EXH.001 | 2018 | 9 | adverse | 14:12 |
| 5:15 58:4 | 24:24 | 30:19 | 24:21 | answers |
| LEAIRD.EXH.002 | 2058 | 50.17 | advice | 5:21 41:9,10,13 |
| 6:2 58:7 | 9:6 18:15 32:10 | A | 14:17 46:24 | apologize |
| 0.2 50.7 | 21 | | advise | 35:6 |
| \$ | 6:25 | a.m. | 38:15 | apparently |
| Ψ | 26 24:24 | 55:2 | advised | 50:7 |
| \$15 | | A3 | 11:5 | appeared |
| 10:15 33:15 36:13 | 28 19:6 | 7:25 | advisers 49:23 | 51:15 |
| 40:17 | | A4 | | appears |
| \$8 | 29150 5:3 | 8:19 | advisory 8:14 14:7 | 21:23 42:14 |
| 24:20 25:5 30:19 | 5.5 | A7 | | appointee |
| 34:8 | 2 | 9:16 | affiliation 15:6 | 20:23 |
| \$900 | 3 | ability | | appointees |
| 31:25 | 3 | 36:15 40:23 42:13 | afford 46:16 | 54:16 |
| | 8:22 9:24 22:25 | 52:17 | | appointment 2:12 15:9,19 |
| 1 | 23:10 48:16 | abuses | affording 10:23 | |
| 1 | 35 | 48:24 | | appraise 40:17,24 |
| 5:15 7:25 24:19 | 9:10 | accurate | agencies 22:22 | |
| 48:17,18 | 39 | 26:4 | | area 21:18 29:22 45:12 |
| 10 | 3:22 | achieve | agencies' 27:11 | |
| 24:19 27:19 | | 13:18 32:17 | agency | areas 35:4 |
| 100 | 4 | achieved | 8:9 | |
| 43:22 | | 32:14 | agree | argue 54:3 |
| 1030 | 4 17:7 30:6 | acquainted 13:9 | 18:24 20:12,13 | arise |
| 5:2 | 42 | | 27:25 28:9,17 | 15:21 |
| 10:30 | 42 11:20 | Act 8:8 20:3 | 30:2,3 49:23 | arrangements |
| 2:3 | 45 | action | agreed | 50:2 |
| 11:23 | 45 22:16 23:22 25:7 | 23:4 | 18:15 43:10 48:12 | Assembly |
| 55:1 | 22.10 23.22 23.7 | active | agreement | 8:13 10:14 22:5,9 |
| 15 | 5 | 16:17 | 13:21 18:21 19:3 | 39:12,19 40:16 |
| 10:17,20 34:6 42:8 | | activities | 23:22 32:12 | 45:20,22 51:21,23 |
| 170 | 50 | 14:11 | ahead | 52:6 |
| 20:1 39:18 52:4 | 11:14,17 46:25 | acutely | 4:5 19:2,17 | Assembly's |
| 1970 | 52 | 35:10 41:21 | allies 29:19 | 39:3 |
| 6:10 7:14 | 11:20 | add | | assesses |
| 1980 | 58 | 54:22 | allowed 10:16 | 37:10 |
| 48:18 | 19:7 | addition | amortize | assets 10:2 11:6,11 12:18 |
| 1982 6:11 | | 3:9 | 19:4,8 | 50:11 |
| | 7 | additional | amount | association |
| 1983 6:9 | 70 | 5:6 7:23 | 12:17 30:18 | 29:5 35:19 |
| 0.2 | 79 3:25 | address | amounted | assumed |
| 2 | 5.25 | 4:25 12:20 | 9:11 24:4 | 22:7 |
| <u> </u> | 8 | addresses | analysis | assure |
| 2 | . | 24:24 | 41:23 42:2 44:8 | 50:25 |
| 6:2 8:22 9:16 | 8 | admittedly | and/or | attitude |
| 48:16,17 | 10:1 | 42:24 | 28:21 | 48:19 |
| 2005 | | advantage | Anderson | audits |
| 50:11 54:16 | | 30:24 31:2,12 | 2:9 4:23 5:10,18, | 14:12 |
| | | 33:10 34:20 | | |
| | | | | |

AWR

| | | | | March 29, 2018 |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| authority | behalf | borrow | | 14:9 18:5,6 20:22 |
| 17:15 | 41:23 52:18 | 24:3 | <u> </u> | |
| 1,110 | | | | cetera |
| automobile | behavior | borrowing | call | 52:15 53:25 |
| 32:1 | 22:18 23:5 54:17 | 25:21 | 22:17,18 26:3,4 | chagrinned |
| averted | belabor | bottom | 32:12 47:6 | 41:25 |
| 19:22 24:13,14 | 24:11 | 31:15,17 33:2 | | chairman |
| aware | belief | 47:17 | calls | 2:13,21 5:10,24 |
| 16:5,16 18:6 20:24 | 46:21 | bragging | 23:15 | 7:7 16:22 35:6 |
| 39:6 41:21 | beneficial | 16:20 | camps | 45:4 47:23 |
| | 7:11 13:24 32:20 | brainer | 26:8 | challenge |
| | | 9:14 18:13,19 | candidacy | 12:22 24:20 27:18, |
| <u> </u> | benefit | | 29:7 | 20 |
| B4 | 13:12,13 | breaks | capable | |
| 9:24 | benefits | 31:9 | 43:3,21 | challenges |
| | 18:1 | briefly | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 12:16,19,20 |
| B5 | big | 3:19 | capacity | chances |
| 11:3 | 12:9 13:3 14:18 | bright | 25:22 | 14:21 |
| B9 | 50:6 | 12:13 | capital | change |
| 11:13 | biggest | bring | 25:18,23 | 51:10 |
| bachelor | 12:16 24:20 27:20 | 7:9 49:11 | carbon | changed |
| 6:7 | bill | brink | 12:11 | 22:6,8 48:11 |
| back | 32:1 | 26:7 | care | charge |
| 2:16 7:14 17:5,7 | | | 21:14 | 19:4 31:11 39:21 |
| 18:7 20:7,11,18 | billion | broader | careful | |
| 22:11,19 23:8,9 | 10:1,15,17,20,22 | 20:20 | 33:23 47:17 | charged |
| 25:8 29:17 30:4 | 24:20 25:5 30:19 | brought | | 20:23 40:12 |
| 34:6,9 35:23 36:14 | 33:16 34:6,9 36:13 40:18 | 28:18 49:7 | Carolina | charging |
| 43:5 49:3,5 51:8 | | build | 5:3 8:10 10:14,18 | 31:20 42:22 |
| 53:6,17 | bit | 19:3 23:23 46:15 | 12:6,14 17:15 18:1 | charitable |
| background | 3:20 6:16 7:3 29:2, | 48:10,13 | 29:15 33:9 35:15 | 15:7 |
| 6:6,16 45:24 | 5 53:3 54:10 | building | 36:3,6,10,20,23 42:23 44:18 | Charles |
| backing | Black | 48:20,22 53:7 | | 2:20 5:2 6:2 |
| 19:18 | 6:8 7:1 18:6 33:1 | built | carry | |
| | 35:24 52:16 | 48:17,18 | 52:18 | chase 32:22 39:4 |
| bad | blessing | | case | |
| 17:14 26:25 31:12, 13 34:11 41:16 | 22:20 | burden | 47:4 | chasing |
| | blindly | 10:4 24:10 30:8,16 | cases | 31:22 |
| balance | 21:25 | business | 37:11 | cheaper |
| 27:8 | | 7:13 13:8,21 15:12 | cash | 26:15 51:17 |
| balancing | blowing 20:10,14 | 16:20 26:14 29:4 | 50:1 | check |
| 21:17 | | 33:4,5 34:25 35:2 | | 34:7 |
| base | board | 36:5,23 37:13 | categorize 16:6 | Chief |
| 10:17,23 20:2 | 2:12 6:18 7:8,10, | 38:14 42:3 46:2 | | 6:17 |
| 30:21 34:7,9,16 | 11 8:1,14,21 9:4 11:5 14:3,14 16:2, | 51:14 | Caterpillar | child |
| 36:14 41:2 | 12,18 19:13 21:24 | businesses | 35:25 | 20:1 |
| based | 22:1,16,19 23:4,15 | 8:3 | Centerview | |
| 14:23 36:17 37:23, | 29:9 31:19 37:25 | buy | 49:20 | cite |
| 24 45:16 | 38:17 39:24 40:1,6 | 15:16 26:15 | Central | 23:3 |
| basically | 43:11,14 45:22 | buyer | 9:4 15:14 16:17 | cited |
| 8:16 14:7 24:4 | 46:17 48:5 50:8 | 34:3 36:15 | 18:9,14 19:10,15 | 33:15 |
| 29:10 | 53:12,19 | buying | 22:12,16 23:21,24, | cites |
| basics | bond | 31:24 32:2,15 | 25 24:2 29:1 31:19 | 50:6 |
| 51:8 | 27:14,15 | | 32:13 41:23 42:12 | citing |
| | bonds | buys 34:15 | 52:16 53:24 | 23:13 24:12 |
| basis | 10:20 27:8 31:2,3, | 54.15 | Central's | citizen |
| 14:17 33:20 40:20 | 6 | | 48:5 | 4:2 20:22 |
| bastard | | | CEO | T.2 20.22 |
| 20:1 | | | 6:8,9,14,22 7:1 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

R AW

| | | | | March 29, 2018 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| civic 15:7 clarification 7:24 11:13 clarify 11:6 Clear 38:11 44:5 clearer 50:13 | committed 29:11 committee 3:9 communication 49:9 companies 43:23 company 13:7 16:4 26:16 | constitute 11:18 constitutional 8:15,16 construction 19:22 consul 37:7 consultant 14:19 37:2,7,9,15, | 17 36:3 37:21 38:13 39:13,17,19 40:13,17,23 41:24 42:11,18 43:7 46:7 47:4,13 50:21 51:20,22 52:12,23 53:12,22 Cooper's 10:5 12:3 25:6 30:23 33:3 43:14 44:4 | March 29, 2018 43:1 credit 22:22 24:25 27:11 crossed 14:10 curious 27:21 29:2 current 4:25 |
| Clemson 6:7 close 33:1 35:19 43:23 50:16 54:22 closer 37:14 closing 50:17 52:24 clue 3:21 Co-op 6:9,19 7:7 33:11 44:20,21 51:3 52:8 Co-op's 18:6 Co-ops 6:11,14 18:21 35:19 52:20 | 27:1 33:8 34:14 company's 13:9 comparability 26:12,13 compared 19:7 33:8 comparison 10:9 complete 5:4 complex 45:12 comport 27:10 concerned 32:18 46:19 concerns 36:18 | 17 39:13 40:7 41:4,6,16,19 42:9, 11,15,17,20 44:12 50:4 consultants 14:18 37:1,6 38:2, 5,7 41:13,15 43:16,22 46:21,22 47:9,15,16 consulted 43:11 consulting 14:22 consumer 6:20 7:5,6 26:14, 19 32:2 context 53:18 54:6 continue 9:25 | Cooper/v.c. 40:11 cooperation 49:13 Cooperative 6:10 cooperatives 6:21,22 16:18 17:19 29:5 32:3 cooperatives' 33:5 Coordination 32:12 Corner 36:25 corporately 23:15 Corporation 36:2 | customer 20:8 21:17 29:21 customers 15:13 42:22 44:22 cut 51:3 D damages 44:23 Dan 3:16,17 data 5:7,11,16 6:25 date 40:14 day 19:12 22:11,19 day-to-day |
| co-owners 49:10 coal 11:20 46:15 Coast 33:7 coat 52:8,12 collapse 26:7 comfortable 32:6 commend 24:23 commentary 45:5 46:20 comments 54:12,21 commercial 31:6 Commission 9:20 commissioned 40:16 | concluded 55:1 conditions 26:11,12 conduct 23:14 confirm 9:21 49:20 confirmation 5:4,15 28:22 confirmed 21:3 23:6 confirms 20:25 29:13 conflict 13:12,14,17 15:8, 21 52:11,14,17 conflicts 15:18 consequence 30:13 consists 11:15 | contract 9:6,10 15:4 19:5 24:3 32:9,15 49:25 contractor 13:22 contributions 9:17 conversation 50:20 conversations 33:14,25 37:25 Cooper 2:12 7:10,14,15 8:1,9,15 9:25 10:8, 10,25 11:14 12:16, 19,23 13:1,6,8,21 14:3,24 15:12,16 16:2,15 17:22,25 18:4,9,22 19:16 20:6,9,10,18,19 21:2,7,12,16,20,25 22:2,4 23:20 24:6 25:1,9 26:5,22 27:24 29:9,20,23 31:8 32:5,24 33:3, 6 34:15,18 35:14, | Corps 6:12 correct 18:11,17,23 22:9, 10 25:14,20 32:24 48:9 53:20 54:2 cost 31:3 32:15,18 41:22 42:2 costs 34:16 count 46:10 country 47:11 couple 50:15 court 2:24 5:3,13 41:18 coverage 27:13 crank 43:19 credible | day-to-day 6:15 days 33:24 42:19 50:8 deal 36:4 52:21 dealing 11:13 debated 43:1 debt 10:1,21,22 12:17 24:18,20,21 25:5 26:22 27:13 30:13, 18 34:9 debt's 10:15 decade 29:25 30:1 decide 47:7 52:4 deciding 34:11 decision 8:22 9:3 16:2 18:14,20 20:4,5 |



| 24:1 27:10 38:17 15:11,17 30:1 39:12,24 43:8,11 46:23 47:6,12 48:10 51:25 20:15 47:7 decision-making 14:15 15:24 41:18 decisions 9:1 23:24 41:15 defer 3,11 21:12 define 21:16 defined 21:16 degree 21:13 31:20 discharge delivery 33:13 48:24 discounted denounce 50:2 52:15 discounted depending 28:15 40:15 4 13:14 discussion describe 7:5 6:13 dispute desires 17:18,20 28:6 distribution details 9:2 | $ \begin{array}{c} 23:5,6,18 \ 36:19 \\ 38:18 \ 39:1,22 \ 40:1 \\ 43:9 \ 52:18 \\ \hline E \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline e \ order \\ \hline \end{array} $ | 4:17 end 4:18,19 10:25 19:1,5 34:19 ended 7:6 endorsed 18:14 | executive 6:17 14:4 19:14 43:15 50:5 exercise 21:14 EXH 5:15 6:2 |
|---|---|--|--|
| 14:15 15:24 15:14,16,20 decisions 3,11 21:12 defer 48:4 directors define 2:12 6:18 30:15 52:10 disagree defined 21:16 discharge defined 21:13 31:20 delivery 33:13 discharges delivery 33:13 discounted 52:15 discounted 50:2 denounce 50:2 discounted 52:15 discussed 28:20,23,25 depending 28:15 40:15 13:14 discussion 28:15 describe 7:5 6:13 desires 17:18,20 28:6 distribution 6:21 16:18 9:2 divined 32:16 | 0 E earlier 27:19 50:8,22 | 7:6 endorsed 18:14 | ЕХН |
| decisions 9:1 23:24 41:15 directors defer 14:6,8 48:4 disagree define 28:1,11 31:10 30:15 52:10 disagree defined 21:16 degree 21:13 31:20 discharge delivery 33:13 48:24 discounted denounce 50:2 52:15 discovery depending 28:20,23,25 4 13:14 discussed depends 28:15 40:15 4 41:3 dispute describe 7:5 6:13 disputes 17:18,20 distribution 6:21 16:18 32 9:2 | 0 27:19 50:8,22 | endorsed 18:14 | 5:15 6:2 |
| determine 40:19 42:20 44:23 divulge determined 29:3 21:16 documents 17:18 21:18 29:21 dollar 35:11,16,18 36:2, 46:10 difference 46:5 47:7 49:12 donation differently 9:22 50:20 doubt difficult 36:21 39:15,7 dropped dropped | 5:8 17:18 21:18 29:21 35:11,16,18 36:2,19 edited 5:11,15 effect 37:4 effects 24:21 effort 27:15 41:22 efforts 46:6 27:15 41:22 efforts 40:24 egg 53:10 elaborate 8:6,23 13:16 electric 6:8,10 19:14 23:22 26:15 29:5 31:8 33:5,11 35:19 electrical 16:1 electricity 50:2 emissions 12:10 employed | energy 7:11 12:3,4 engaged 38:7 enjoyed 18:9 enter 13:21 19:3 23:22 entered 5:11,25 entities 16:1 entity 10:1 47:3,13 envision 10:5 equipment 11:4,8,9 12:8 equity 25:23 era 50:8 54:16 estimation 45:14 Ethics 9:20 evaluate 38:14 44:19 evaluating 22:23 evaluation 40:15 42:18 43:6 eventually 9:6 everybody's 45:14 53:4 exact 52:1 | Exhibit 35:13 exist 9:25 existence 17:16 existing 19:5 26:6 39:21 exists 7:16 expense 30:20 experience 6:16 7:9 15:1 16:17 30:11 31:19 37:19 45:10 51:5 experiences 52:10 expertise 14:13 47:12 explain 23:19 extend 9:5 24:2 extension 18:15 eye 22:23 F face 22:11 faces 12:17, facing 12:19,21 fact 27:23 39:9 50:5 factored |
| diligence 52:1 Duke | 13:1 employees | EXAMINATION 4:22 17:3 45:7 | 32:8 |
| diligently 16:4 26:16 27 | 6:20 13:2 14:6 | 47:24 49:15 | factors 21:17 |
| dimes 46:4 directduly 2:21 duties 21:13 | employment 6:11 13:1,4 37:17 42:17 43:6 encourage | examples 35:13 excuse 15:23 37:24 | facts 22:1 41:18 factual 33:20 |

AWR

| | | | | IVIAICI 29, 2016 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| failed | 29:22 43:6 49:23 | full | great | heavy |
| 46:15 | find | 4:25 | 7:15 17:17 21:21 | 10:4 30:8,16 |
| failure | 24:8 | functions | 31:5 49:6 | heed |
| 19:25 | | 6:19,23 | | 44:13 |
| | fire | | greater | |
| fair | 2:5 | future | 33:13 | Herbert |
| 52:21 | firms | 10:6 12:13 46:7,9, | group | 5:2 |
| faith | 14:13 | 17 | 15:15 19:22 29:25 | high |
| | | | 49:20 | |
| 21:13 | flesh | | | 31:20 32:2,5 33:8 |
| fall | 34:10 | G | guess | higher |
| 21:1 26:10 | flier | | 18:15 26:2 28:14 | 26:17 32:23 |
| | | gain | 32:2 49:11 | |
| false | 25:3 | 13:19 | guidepost | hindsight |
| 26:4 | floats | | 54:20 | 32:19 |
| familiar | 49:25 | gains | | hire |
| 3:24 13:10 21:11 | | 13:25 | guilty | 37:2,7 39:12 |
| 25:1,3 40:9 44:9 | Florida | gas | 30:5 | |
| | 36:18 | 11:15,22,25 19:14 | | hired |
| familiarity | flying | 23:22 26:15 | | 38:13 49:21 50:4 |
| 41:20 | 53:4 | | Н | historical |
| family | | gave | | 52:11 |
| 20:17 22:21 | folks | 34:5 42:12 | half | |
| 20:17 22:21 | 33:14 | general | 27:13 31:4 | historically |
| Farm | follow | 8:13 10:14 22:5,8 | | 25:6 |
| 31:25 | 29:24 | | hand 2:19 10:19 | history |
| | | 39:2,12,19 40:16 | 2:19 10:19 | |
| fast | footsie | 44:1 45:20,22 | handle | 20:7 31:11 36:19 |
| 22:24 | 3:3 22:22 | 51:21,23 52:6,13 | 13:11 | holdings |
| fathers | | generation | | 15:25 |
| 19:25 | forgive | 12:1 24:6 | hanging | |
| | 17:5 42:14 | | 4:1 49:18 | hole |
| favor | form | gentlemen | happen | 31:23 32:22 |
| 48:12 51:7 | 38:21 | 16:24 | 3:11 9:9 45:16 | honest |
| favorable | | give | | 28:8 |
| 48:19 | formulas | | happened | |
| | 43:17 | 4:14 41:13 44:13 | 45:17 48:25 | hooked |
| fealty | forward | 51:17 | hard | 29:15 |
| 29:18 | 4:4 10:3,24 22:24 | glad | 10:2 30:7 | hope |
| feasibility | 23:7 27:24 30:8 | 3:15 4:3 | | 7:17 10:7 17:9,19 |
| 50:10 | | | harken | 51:20 |
| 30:10 | 45:10,17 | global | 20:7 | |
| February | fought | 47:10 | | hoping |
| 24:24 | 18:25 53:16 | God | harm | 10:8 |
| | | 54:18 | 52:23 | |
| feel | Foxridge | | hat | host |
| 35:5 49:7 | 5:2 | gold | 18:8 | 9:12 |
| fiduciary | frame | 29:23 | | House |
| 23:18 38:18 39:1, | 9:2 48:19 | good | head | 3:12 |
| 22,25 43:9 52:18 | | | 17:1 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | frankly | 2:9 3:7 4:6,21,24 | heads | housekeeping |
| field | 28:7 | 8:18 12:12,23 | 42:25 | 6:24 |
| 52:21 | free | 14:22 21:13 26:18 | | huge |
| | 30:11 | 31:7,14 34:11 | healed | 49:12 |
| figure | | 36:10,22 49:4 | 12:24 | |
| 33:17 40:18 | Freedom | 54:10 | hear | Human |
| figures | 8:8 | | | 13:4 |
| 11:19 42:12 46:6 | freely | government | 27:3,5 | Hundred |
| | | 3:25 8:10,11 | heard | |
| final | 54:7 | governor | 27:7 46:3 50:4 | 46:25 |
| 35:4 | frugal | 2:11 8:13,14,15 | | hydro |
| finally | 46:1 | 20:11,16 22:5,7,21 | hearing | 11:16 |
| 42:12 | | 27:22 28:2,3,7,15 | 28:21 40:22 53:5 | |
| | fuel | | Heather | hypothetical |
| financial | 11:13 | 29:19 50:6 | 2:6 4:12 | 10:16 25:9 36:15 |
| 15:2,18,22 19:18 | fulfill | Governor's | | |
| 21:19 24:25 26:6 | 36:19 | 39:8,16 | heavily | |
| | 50.17 | , - | 25:10 38:1 | |
| | | | | |
| | • | + | • | |

 $A \| W \| R$

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO scheduledepo.com

| [| 1 | 1 | 1 | warch 29, 2016 |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 44:20 | 54:11 | lawyer | longtime |
| Ι | insurance | judge | 15:3 | 41:20 |
| | 31:25 | 10:11 | Leaird | looked |
| ideas | integrity | judgment | 2:11,14,16,17,18, | 31:2 |
| 12:20 | 21:19 29:22 | 40:5,6 | 20 3:20 4:7,12,24 | lose |
| identification | intentions | jump | 5:2 6:2,6,13 16:21 | 44:21 |
| 5:17 6:4 | 24:7 28:16 | 16:25 35:7 | 35:10 49:17 54:24 | lot |
| ilk | interest | justified | lease | 6:23 14:22 19:6 |
| 44:12 | 5:8 7:15 8:2 13:12, | 35:22 | 11:6 | 20:18 26:13 36:5 |
| imagine | 14 15:19 20:18,19 | | leave | 48:16 49:9 51:4 |
| 46:3 | 21:16,17 29:14,21 | K | 35:8 51:6 53:1 | low |
| impact | 37:18 41:14 51:16 | | left | 31:6 |
| 35:14 | interests | keen | 2:24 13:2 | lower |
| impacted | 29:20 | 8:1 | legal | 31:7 |
| 16:2 | Intergovernmental | Kelly | 14:16 38:17 | loyalty |
| important | 32:10 | 19:13 | lens | 21:6 29:18 |
| 19:13 35:21,22 | interim | kicks | 23:5 | lunch |
| in-depth | 42:21 | 44:20 | level | 30:12 |
| 29:4 | interpreting | kidding | 52:21 | Lynch |
| incentives | 15:2 | 4:8 | leverage | 18:5 |
| 35:20 | introduce | kind | 25:6 | Lynches |
| include | 3:8 | 11:2 16:24 17:5 | leveraged | 6:10 18:5 52:16 |
| 11:7 | introduction | 40:14 50:1 | 25:10,14,16 27:16 | |
| including | 2:8 3:10 | kinds | lie | М |
| 20:1 | investor | 48:23 | 29:18 | |
| income | 16:7 44:11 | knew | lies | Mack |
| 30:25 | invitation | 13:20 50:23 | 29:19 | 17:1 45:1,3,8 |
| increase | 30:5 | knowing | lieu | 47:19,21 |
| 34:24 | invoked | 9:8 | 30:25 | Madam |
| incredible | 52:8 | knowledgeable | life | 5:13 |
| 25:8 49:14 | involved | 9:1 | 34:23 | made |
| independent | 9:3 42:11 | | light | 4:3 8:22 9:1,3,22 23:10,24 40:22 |
| 14:13 | issue | L | 3:12 | 46:12 47:12 48:10 |
| indirect | 7:6 11:10 13:5 | | limit | make |
| 15:11 | 14:17 23:3 24:19 | lack | 36:11 | 4:16 9:8,13 10:9 |
| individual | 25:17 40:8 49:12 | 12:18 49:8 | literally | 24:9 33:22 36:4 |
| 32:3 | issued | lady | 21:11 | 39:12 41:5,14 |
| industry | 24:23 | 2:24 | litigation | 45:15 46:8,10,11, |
| 30:11 36:9 | issues | land | 53:13,21,22 | 17,23 47:6,20 |
| inferring | 4:5 7:18 8:7 9:12 | 20:21 | live | 50:17 51:9 53:9 |
| 46:8 | issuing | landfill | 47:10 | maker |
| information | 25:18 | 11:25 | Load | 53:10 |
| 5:6 7:3,23 8:8 | it/a | largest | 20:2 | makes |
| 38:22 47:14,18 | 30:1 | 20:8 | locate | 27:22 30:15 39:5 |
| input | | latest | 36:9 | 50:12 54:15 |
| 24:1 | J | 11:19 | located | manage |
| inquire | · | law | 35:24 36:24 | 6:18 33:1 34:17 |
| 50:10 | job | 20:24 22:6,8 38:25 | long | 46:2,4 |
| inquisitor | 17:17 31:5 | 39:6,7,21 44:18 | 2:4 3:10 4:1 12:6 | management |
| 3:21 | joint | 50:7,11 54:20 | 18:4 19:8 41:22 | 13:9 14:11 41:12 |
| insignificant | 48:13 | lawsuit | 48:5,8 50:20 | managing |
| 11:9 | Jones | 7:2 | longest | 16:18 35:24 36:23, 25 37:3 46:1 |
| instance | 3:16,18,22 4:8 | lawsuits | 22:15 | 25 57.5 40.1 |
| mounee | 47:22,23,25 48:15 | 53:3 | | |
| | | | | |

| A W R |
|-------|
|-------|

| | 1 | | 1 | March 29, 2018 |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| mandate 50:11 | mind | neighborhood | observed | oversight |
| | 4:20 17:6 25:25 | 31:4 | 14:23 | 14:8 |
| manner 21:15 | 29:13 | nest | obtain | overview |
| | miracle | 53:9 | 41:25 | 14:2 |
| Marine | 3:14 | net 25:13 | odd | ownership |
| 6:12 | mistakes | | 3:1 54:14 | 48:13 |
| marked 5:16 6:3 | 8:5 23:2,12 46:11, 16 | news 42:16 | off-line 22:17 | P |
| marker | model | newspaper | offending | package |
| 35:15 | 10:3 30:7 | 16:15 33:18,19 | 4:3 | |
| market | Moncks | 39:10 | offer 33:19 36:17 39:20, | 5:5 |
| 25:22 26:11,12 | 36:25 | Nextera | | paid |
| marriage | money | 34:1 36:17 | 23 | 10:15 31:1 |
| 18:7 | 24:3 | Nextera's | offered | Paige |
| Marsh | monkey | 33:19 | 40:18 | 42:22 |
| 19:13 | 35:1 | no-no | office | Palmetto |
| matter | month | 13:20 | 20:11 | 36:2 |
| 6:24 10:11 19:8 | 41:10 | nod | officer | paper |
| 53:8 | months | 22:21 | 6:17 | 33:21 38:8 40:19 |
| matters | 4:5 21:22 | nominated | officers | 42:19 |
| 8:12 Mcelveen | Moody's 24:23 25:1 44:11 | 2:11 27:22 28:12 | 8:16 | papers 28:14 |
| 9:19,22 | morning 2:9,10 4:24 46:13 | nomination 28:22,25 | ongoing 30:20 onlt | parameters 32:18 |
| Mcmaster | motion | nonetheless | 9:18 | paraphrasing |
| 28:15 50:6 | 40:22 | 18:20 | | 26:8 |
| meant | moves | note | open | part |
| 19:6 36:5 | 17:1 | 5:14 9:11 19:24 | 29:13 48:3 | 8:19 49:21 |
| media | municipal | noted | opening | partial |
| 45:13 | 44:20 | 6:1 | 4:15 | 44:21 |
| meet 53:10 | mystery 43:20 | noting 37:18 | opinion 9:14 11:1 12:2 18:18 26:9 27:9, | participate 49:24 |
| meeting | +3.20 | notion 39:18 | 17,25 37:6,10,24 | partition |
| 3:11,14 19:13 | N | | 41:1 43:1,7,14 | 3:6 |
| meetings | named | nowadays | 50:21 51:11 | partner |
| 45:13 | | 15:17 | opinions | 19:17 23:23 |
| member 3:16 14:14 16:12 21:24 22:15 39:24 | 7:2 names | nuclear 9:11 11:15 23:23 46:13 48:20 53:7 | 27:11 44:14 51:5 opportunity | Partners 49:21 |
| 40:1 43:11,13 | 22:19 23:14 | number | 4:14 17:25 35:23 | partnership |
| 53:19 | narrative | 6:25 17:7 22:25 | opposite | 48:12 49:8 |
| members | 26:4,5 | 24:19 28:23 30:6 | 26:16 | past |
| 8:1 20:2 22:19 | narrow | 33:15 | opposition | 8:4 9:17,22 23:2, |
| 23:4 29:10 33:12 | 2:2 | numbers | 20:4 | 12 36:19 46:9,12 |
| 36:1 37:25 39:18 | natural | 43:19 | ordinary | 52:10 |
| 52:4 members' | 32:3 | 0 | 21:14 organization | path 26:21 27:23 |
| 40:6 | 50:24 | objection | 15:8 | pay |
| mentioned | necessarily | | out-of-state | 10:20 19:6 |
| 7:1 11:14 12:15 | 9:2 33:22 | 19:11 | 34:14 | payments |
| 37:1 50:5 | | obligation | outset | 30:25 |
| middle 29:11 | needing | 43:9 | 20:7 28:13 54:12 | peaked |
| | 43:2 | obligations | outstanding | 37:17 |
| mile 33:12 | negotiations 49:24 50:9 | 16:8 | 31:3 | pennies 46:4 |

R AW

| Pennsylvania 36:1 | plants | 22.0.24.12.14 | | 1 |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 50.1 | 35:25 53:7 | 33:8 34:13,14 43:23 | process 28:22,24 32:7 | Q |
| people | play | pragmatically | professional | qualifications |
| 4:4 8:2 15:15 | 22:2 51:19 | 45:18 | 15:7 18:8 | |
| 16:15 19:22 23:20 | played 22:21 | preceding | progress | 28:4 29:17 |
| 28:23 29:2,8 36:6 | | 55:1 | 51:9 | quarters |
| PERC 3:9,13 | player 35:18 | preconceived 39:18 | prohibits 50:7 | 46:5 quasi |
| percent | players | predecessor's | project | 8:11 25:19 |
| 11:15,17,20 23:23 | 9:3 | 32:23 | 18:22 | quasi-state |
| 25:7 27:7,8 31:4 | playing | predicament | promissory | 10:1 |
| 46:25 47:1,2 | 3:2 52:21 | 24:8 | 9:11 | question |
| percentage 30:17 | pledge 21:9 | predominantly 11:21 12:6 | proper 28:9 | 7:25 8:19 9:16,24 11:3,12 13:7 17:7 19:15 22:25 23:10, |
| performing | point | preliminary | property | 16 24:18 26:3,20 |
| 43:5 | 16:24 19:20,21 | 6:3 7:21 17:8 | 11:4,7 31:1 | 27:19 28:14 30:6 |
| person | 24:11 27:4 41:4,5 | preparation | protecting | 38:20,22 39:16 |
| 21:14 | 47:20 | 16:11 28:21,24 | 8:2 | 41:2 45:4,9 48:3 |
| personal 5:7,11,16 6:25 13:18,19,24 25:12 | pointing 24:15 | 33:15 present | proven 18:19 | 49:4,6 51:18 questioned |
| 54:1 | points | 15:8 | provide | 39:9 |
| personally | 9:13 | preservation | 5:6 6:15 7:3 14:2 | questioning |
| 13:9 18:24 19:9,10 | policy | 21:19 22:3 29:22 | provided | 40:4 |
| 53:11,19 | 14:8 | preserve | 35:20 | questionnaire |
| persuaded | political | 30:1 | prudent | 5:7,11,16 6:25 |
| 41:24 | 9:16 12:22 20:9 | press | 21:14 43:8 | 17:8,23 |
| phase 24:6 | 27:18,20 29:24 40:10 54:15 | 38:10 49:19,22 pressure | public 6:20 17:15 27:23 | questions 2:5,10 4:7,13,18 5:19,21 6:3 7:21, |
| philosophy | politically | 26:17 | 48:20 | 23,24 16:22,23 |
| 45:23 | 12:23 | pretty | pulling | 17:8 35:8,9 41:9 |
| phrase | pong | 7:13 15:15 27:1 | 49:19 | 50:18 51:2 |
| 46:3 | 17:5 30:5 | prevented | purchases | quick |
| pick | portion | 8:4 23:1,12 | 11:16,21 | 2:7 45:4,5 46:20 |
| 42:15 | 11:23 | previous | purchasing | quit |
| pillar 29:24 | position 16:9 28:3,10,16 32:13 37:12 39:16 | 18:8 price | 10:16,21 purpose | 51:1 quote |
| pinball | 45:23 50:19 52:2 | 12:7 33:16 51:14 | 50:13 | 45:15 49:21,24 |
| 17:6 30:5 | 53:6 | primary | purposes | |
| ping | positions | 13:5 | 51:1 | R |
| 17:5 30:5 | 29:3 | prior | pursue | |
| place | positive | 6:11 | 18:16 51:25 | rabbit |
| 43:17 | 34:8 35:13,18 | private | pursued | 31:22,23 32:22 |
| placing | possession | 25:23 | 18:22 | 39:4 |
| 31:5 | 41:12 | privately | pursuing | raise |
| plan | possibility | 50:9 | 50:9 | 2:18 25:22 27:2 |
| 18:16 44:21 | 50:1 | privilege | put | raising |
| planning | possibly | 4:2 | 10:17,22 30:20 | 27:6 |
| 24:6 | 8:13 38:15 | problem | 32:7 34:6,9,13,15 | range |
| plant | potential 22:24 | 37:5,8,9,15 48:21 | 36:11,14 41:17 | 6:21 |
| 9:12 11:23 19:3 | | 50:6 | 43:18 52:2 | RANKIN |
| 23:23 46:15 48:20, 22 | power 13:22 15:13,16 16:4 26:16 27:1 | problems 14:21 37:13 48:24 | puts 26:17 | 2:1,15,18,23 3:1,7, 19 4:6,10,21 5:13 6:1 16:23 17:4 35:5 44:24 45:2 |

AWR

| | | | | March 29, 2018 |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 47:22 49:16 54:24 | 5:4,20 6:6 | repeatedly 27:23 | River's 18:5 | SCE&G 40:13 |
| rate 10:17,23,24 17:24 26:12 27:3,7 | recent 12:12 37:17 | repeating 25:25 | rogue 22:18 54:17 | scenarios 33:16 34:5 |
| 30:20,21 31:6,8 32:4,24 34:7,9,16, | recollection 23:25 25:12 | report | role | science |
| 19,24 36:14 42:21 | record 4:11 5:1,12,14,25 | 24:23 25:2,4 reported | 12:2 14:3,4,7 22:2 50:14 | 6:7 search |
| 43:16 44:8 51:18 rate-making | 9:15,21 53:11 | 33:19 | rolling 19:23 | 9:19 |
| 32:7 41:18 rated | recoveries 49:22 | reporter 2:24 5:13 | room | secrecy 8:9 |
| 24:19 | recuse 52:15 | reporting 49:23 | 41:6 rule | secret 27:22 |
| ratepayer 40:3,25 45:16,21, | redeem 10:20 | reports | 47:16 | seek 49:21 |
| 25 52:19 ratepayers | regard | 16:13,14 40:20 Representative | run 2:4 35:1 | selecting |
| 10:4,18,23 17:24 18:2 29:14 30:9,16 | 11:4 35:15,20 39:1,2 47:17 | 17:1 44:25 45:1,3, 8 47:19,21 | S | 14:9 sell |
| 34:8,19 38:18 51:7,16 | regret 7:15 | represented 36:3 | sale | 10:13,14,19 11:6 17:25 21:1 25:23 |
| rates 7:6 18:15 26:24 | relate 37:21 | require 10:4 30:8 | 10:10 11:1 22:3,24 38:15 50:9,10,21 | 27:24 28:6,16 30:1 39:19,24 51:15,22 |
| 31:21 32:22,23 34:21,25 35:2 | related 3:13 | residential 32:24 33:4,5 42:3 | Sanford 20:11,16 50:8 | 52:2 selling |
| 43:25 44:2 51:19 rating | relates | resolve | Sanford's 54:16 | 20:6,18,19 21:4,25 31:2 39:17 |
| 22:22 24:25 27:11, 14 | 46:21 relations | 7:18 resolved | Santee | Senate 3:13 5:15 20:25 |
| ratings | 3:25 6:20 7:13 | 17:20 | 2:12 7:10,14,15 8:1,9,14 9:25 10:5, | 29:12 42:20,23 |
| 27:15 reacts | relationship 13:24 15:17 18:4,7 | resources 13:5 36:11 37:14 | 7,10,24 11:14 12:3,16,19,23 | 43:20 Senator |
| 13:15 | 26:18 relationships | respond 45:5 | 13:1,5,8,20 14:3, 23 15:12,16 16:2, | 2:1,15,18,23 3:1,6, 7,19 4:6,10,21 |
| read 15:4 16:13,15 | 15:12 release | response 8:20,24 11:3 13:13 | 15 17:21,25 18:4, 9,22 19:16 20:6,9, | 5:13 6:1 9:19,22 16:23 17:4 18:25 |
| 21:21 25:4 28:13 33:18 38:8 40:19 | 38:10 42:16 49:19 | responses | 10,18,19 21:1,6, 12,16,19,25 22:2,3 | 22:14 24:17 27:16 28:10 34:22 35:5 |
| 42:19 44:6 49:13 reading | released 49:25 | 5:20 7:21 responsibilities | 23:20 24:6 25:1,6, 9 26:5,22 27:24 | 38:6 41:5 43:17 44:24 45:2 47:22 |
| 24:23 33:21 real | relevant 42:16 | 16:9 responsibility | 29:8,9,20,23 30:23 31:8 32:5,24 33:3, | 49:16 51:19 54:24 send |
| 14:18 32:6 36:21 | reliability 12:7 | 39:3 47:3,5 | 5 34:15,17,18 35:14,17 36:2 | 31:25 32:9 |
| reason 34:17 36:21 | relied | rests 22:4 29:19 | 37:21 38:13 39:13, 17,19 40:11,13,17, | sense 9:8 26:3 39:22 |
| reasonable 10:24 | 37:23 38:1 relying | result 13:25 15:19 | 23 41:24 42:11,18 43:6,14 44:4 46:7 | 52:13 sentence |
| reasons 53:5 | 41:15 remainder | retired 4:3 22:14 | 47:4,13 50:21 51:20,22 52:12,23 | 8:20 serve |
| rebuild | 11:24 | retirement | 53:12,22 satisfaction | 4:2 29:12,13 48:2 |
| 44:21 recall | remember 13:3 48:14,22 | 53:9 Review | 31:20 | served 6:12 |
| 8:25 9:19 17:11 19:12 20:3 22:11 | renewable 12:3,4 | 20:2 | saved 19:11 | serves 8:3 |
| 23:20 40:13 41:20 42:1 49:2 | renewables | River 6:8,10 7:1 18:5,6 | SCANA 40:13 42:22 49:22 | service |
| received | 11:16,24 12:10,13 | 33:1 35:24 52:17 | 53:25 | 17:15 21:18 27:13 29:22 30:18 32:15 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

 $A \| W \| R$

| | | | | March 29, 2018 |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 41:23 42:2 44:11 | sold | started | successful | Tallahassee 36:24 |
| 48:1 51:18 | 17:22 51:12,13 | 2:2,6 3:8,24 | 20:25 | |
| serving | Solomon's 54:19 | state | successfully | tame |
| 16:12 22:15 | | 4:25 6:22 8:10 | 41:24 | 54:17 |
| session 40:14 43:16 50:6 | solution 21:24 | 9:15,20 18:1 30:25 31:25 35:14 36:3, 20 53:6 54:15 | sued 53:8,12,18 | task 14:9 |
| set 5:19 14:8 42:21 | solve 14:20 37:8 | 20 33:0 34:13 State's 10:13 | suing 7:7 | tax 30:25 31:1 |
| settlement | solving | stated | Suisse 22:23 | teetering |
| 49:22 50:1 | 37:15 | 7:25 9:24 16:3 | | 26:6 |
| shares 25:23 | sort | 17:23 39:10 50:21 | suit | telling |
| | 13:19 44:22 | Statehouse | 53:5 | 3:4 |
| show | sour | 4:1 | summary | ten 22:6 34:23 42:4 |
| 11:20 | 21:23 | statement | 6:6 | |
| showed 31:14 | sources 11:13 | 4:15 5:7 statements | Summer 8:22 18:13 25:7 | 46:25 47:1,2 term |
| shown | South 5:3 8:10 10:14,18 | 50:17 | 40:11 48:18 53:16 | 27:8 32:10 45:12 |
| 31:16 | | stating | Sumter | terms |
| side 3:23 10:11 17:2 | 12:5,14 17:14 18:1 29:14 33:9 35:15 36:3,6,9,20,23 | 53:15 stay | 5:3 35:25 36:6 support | 25:5 47:9 test |
| 51:22 | 42:23 44:18 | 2:4 25:15 | 20:3 21:9,25 | 21:10 |
| sign | Southern | steadfast | supported | testified |
| 9:10,11 | 16:4 | 19:11 | 29:6 | 2:21 |
| significant | speaking | step | suppose | theory |
| 47:2 | 44:2 | 32:21 | 12:5 | 25:15 30:22 |
| Significantly | specific | stock | surplus | thereabouts |
| 32:5 | 24:16 36:7 | 16:3 | 11:4,7,10 | 18:16 |
| similar | specifically | stoppages | survive | thing |
| 15:7 sir | 24:12,24 26:20 30:6 43:25 | 48:23 strange | 17:9 26:5,22 survives | 3:1 8:18 12:9,12 17:14 18:25 28:3 31:12,13,14 36:10 |
| 2:15 4:9 17:10,12 18:11 22:10 23:17 28:18 31:13 32:25 | speed 54:18 | 3:23 stranger | 10:8 sworn | 40:24 41:8 44:22 46:13 53:2 |
| 34:2,4 35:17 37:19 | spending | 18:3 | 2:14,21 4:13 | things |
| 38:12 44:6 48:7 | 46:18 | strong | system | 3:12 12:22 32:19 |
| 52:20 53:14 54:9, | spoken | 25:13 | 11:15,21 | 40:10 48:11,15 |
| 23 | 40:20 | structure | | 49:13 50:16 52:25 |
| sit | spread | 26:6 | table | thinking |
| 41:7 | 19:7 | struggle | | 10:10 11:11 |
| site | stability | 33:11 | 3:23 36:16 | thought |
| 9:20 | 24:25 | study | tagging | 3:11 32:17 54:9 |
| sitting | staff | 40:12 | 30:4 | thoughts |
| 3:2 9:4 43:13 | 14:5 40:5 43:21 | subcommittee | | 45:17 |
| situation | stalwart | 2:3 | 25:7 | throw 51:4 |
| 7:16 8:17 11:1 | 3:15 | subject | talk | |
| 13:1,11 24:8 37:10 46:14 small | standard 44:23 | 20:8 21:12 28:19 40:12 42:15,25 | 27:2,6 talked | tiger 18:25 53:16 |
| 6:22 19:21 society | standoff 16:6 | submitted 7:22 | 16:14 29:1,4,8,9 35:12 46:12 50:7 | time 4:1 9:2,5,7 18:19 47:3 48:5,8,19 |
| 47:10 | standpoint | substantial | talking | times |
| solar | 12:12 54:3 | 11:11,23 | 12:5 28:5 35:6,7 | 21:21 27:13 45:12 |
| 12:6,10 | star 29:23 | Success 19:25 | 38:10 44:1 47:4 50:12 51:1 | 47:6 |
| | | | | |

A W R

| ſ | | | | March 29, 2018 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| tired 35:8 | U | violation 38:16 39:25 | 2:2 | York 36:1 |
| today | | visualize | winds 20:9 29:25 40:10 | young |
| 5:21 7:16 22:24 | U.S. | 10:3 30:7 | wink | 2:23 |
| 24:9 28:24 37:18 | 6:12 | vividly | 22:20 | |
| 38:8 | ultimately | 20:3 | | |
| today's | 29:17 47:5 51:24 | volatile | wisdom 54:19 | |
| 28:21 | 52:3 | 54:14 | | |
| told | understand | | wise | |
| 19:1 23:21 29:10 | 15:5 16:8 37:8 | voluntarily 54:7 | 3:13 18:20 | |
| top | 41:2,3 | | wondered | |
| 19:14 27:16 | understanding | voted | 49:1,10 | |
| totally | 38:24 | 20:2 51:21 | word | |
| 20:14 22:4 | University | | 40:20 | |
| | 6:8 | W | words | |
| touched 27:21 45:9 | unquote | | 14:20 25:13 26:14 | |
| | 45:15 | waited 3:10 | 51:17 52:1 | |
| tough 35:3 | up-to-date | | wore | |
| | 16:14 | walk | 52:12 | |
| traditionally | utilities | 30:12 | work | |
| 27:12 | 16:1 49:25 | walking | 6:17 13:10 36:6 | |
| transparency | | 54:6,13 | 37:11 48:23 51:14 | |
| 8:4,18 23:1,11,16 | utility 7:11,12 9:8 10:17, | walks | work-related | |
| 24:13 49:8 | 21 26:13,18 34:24 | 41:6 | 48:23 | |
| transparent | 35:1,2 36:8 44:19 | wanted | worked | |
| 24:7 | utilize | 13:21 18:18 52:25 | 22:12 | |
| trash | 14:14 | 53:6 | working | |
| 51:4 | 17.17 | wanting | 3:25 4:4 | |
| trauma | V | 53:18 | world | |
| 54:15 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | watch | 53:24 | |
| tremendous | V.C. | 47:7 | worms | |
| 12:21 30:24 31:2 | 18:13 25:7 48:17 | watermelons | 48:4 | |
| 48:21 | 53:16 | 33:10 | worth | |
| troubles | valuation | web | 25:13 38:15 39:11 | |
| 53:2 | 44:1 | 9:20 | would-be | |
| truck | vastly | Wednesday | 20:23 34:3 36:15 | |
| 33:10 | 33:4 | 49:25 | 53:19 | |
| turbines | VC1 | weeds | wrap | |
| 11:25 | 48:10 | 26:2 | 50:18 | |
| turn | vein | weighing | wrap-up | |
| 14:21 43:19 | 11:2 50:3 | 39:22 | 40:14 | |
| turned | | White | | |
| 14:24 | verse 35:12 | 42:22 | wrong 41:1 | |
| turnover | | wholesale | 11.1 | |
| 13:4 | versus 39:23 | 13:22 15:13 | Y | |
| turns | | whomever | I | |
| 20:5 21:23 | viable | 47:13 | Y'all | |
| type | 27:9 | | 2:9 35:7 | |
| 38:5 46:16 | view | wide 6:21 | | |
| | 14:2 38:11 41:1 42:24 44:5 52:11 | | years 3:22 9:9,10,17,23 | |
| types 14:13 | | willingly | 17:17 22:6,16 | |
| | vigilant | 54:7 | 30:23 34:23 37:20 | |
| typical 6:15 | 46:18 | willingness | 42:5 | |
| 0.15 | violated | 48:2 54:13,18 | yesterday | |
| | 23:14 | window | 38:11 42:17 49:19 | |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |

R AW